



**HAL**  
open science

# On the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants and Blagouchine's integrals

Marc-Antoine Coppo

► **To cite this version:**

Marc-Antoine Coppo. On the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants and Blagouchine's integrals. 2021. hal-03197403v14

**HAL Id: hal-03197403**

**<https://univ-cotedazur.hal.science/hal-03197403v14>**

Preprint submitted on 6 Oct 2021 (v14), last revised 3 Jun 2024 (v23)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# On the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants and Blagouchine's integrals

Marc-Antoine Coppo\*

*Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, LJAD (UMR 7351), Nice, France*

**Abstract** The main purpose of this article is to establish a close connection between a sequence of complex integrals introduced by Blagouchine and some important mathematical constants, namely the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants (also known as the Bendersky constants) which occur quite naturally in analysis and number theory.

**Keywords** Generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, infinite series with zeta values, complex integration.

## 1 Introduction

The main purpose of this article is to highlight the link between the sequence of complex integrals  $\{\mathcal{J}_{k,p}\}$  (for integers  $k \geq 0$  and  $p \geq 1$  with  $p$  odd) defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,p} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{p}{2} + ix)}{(2k + p + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx,$$

and some important mathematical constants, namely the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants (also known as the Bendersky constants) which occur quite naturally in analysis and number theory [1, 8, 10]. Blagouchine [3] introduced these integrals in the cases  $p = 1$  and  $p = 3$ . To establish this close connection, we make use of a relation between the integral  $\mathcal{J}_{k,p}$  and the alternating series

$$\sum_{n=N_p}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k} \quad \text{with } N_p = \max(2, \frac{p+1}{2})$$

that we deduce from the residue theorem (see Proposition 1). Previously, these series were studied in detail in [6]. In particular, this enables us to give a general

---

\*Corresponding author. *Email address:* coppo@unice.fr

expression of the integrals  $\mathcal{J}_{k,1}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_{k,3}$  for all positive integers  $k$  (see Theorem 1 and Corollary 1).

Recently, the relation between  $\mathcal{J}_{k,1}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_{k,3}$  has been generalized by Candelpergher [5] (see Theorem 2). This allows us to give, as a corollary, an expression of the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n^s}$$

which is valid for any complex number  $s$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$  (see Corollary 2).

## 2 Generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants

**Definition 1** ([8, 10]). For any integer  $k \geq 0$ , the constant  $A_k$  are usually defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \ln A_0 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \ln n - \left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln N + N \right\}, \\ \ln A_1 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n \ln n - \left(\frac{N^2}{2} + \frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{12}\right) \ln N + \frac{N^2}{4} \right\}, \\ \ln A_2 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^2 \ln n - \left(\frac{N^3}{3} + \frac{N^2}{2} + \frac{N}{6}\right) \ln N + \frac{N^3}{9} - \frac{N}{12} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and more generally

$$\ln A_k = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^k \ln n - P_k(N) \ln N + Q_k(N) \right\},$$

where  $P_k$  and  $Q_k$  are polynomials of degree  $k+1$  that can be explicitly computed (see e.g. [10, Eq. (1.1)]). The numbers  $A_k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  are called the *generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants* (sometimes called the *Bendersky constants*). Adamchik [1, Proposition 4] has given an alternative expression of the constants  $A_k$  in terms of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function. More precisely, we have

$$A_k = \exp \left\{ \frac{H_k B_{k+1}}{k+1} - \zeta'(-k) \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $H_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j}$  is the  $k$ -th harmonic number with the usual convention  $H_0 = 0$ .

**Example 1.** The constant  $A_0 = \exp(-\zeta'(0)) = \sqrt{2\pi}$  is the Stirling constant,

$$A_1 = \exp \left( \frac{1}{12} - \zeta'(-1) \right)$$

is the classical Glaisher-Kinkelin constant, and for  $k = 2$ , we have

$$A_2 = \exp(-\zeta'(-2)) = \exp\left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{4\pi^2}\right).$$

The following relations are easily derived by differentiation of the Riemann functional equation for the zeta function:

$$\zeta'(-2k) = (-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{2(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta(2k+1) \quad (k \geq 1),$$

and

$$\zeta'(1-2k) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k)!}{k(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta'(2k) + \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} (H_{2k-1} - \gamma - \ln 2\pi) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

This enable to deduce from Adamchik's formula (1) the expressions

$$A_{2k-1} = \exp\left\{(-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta'(2k) + \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} (\gamma + \ln 2\pi)\right\} \quad (k \geq 1), \quad (2)$$

and

$$A_{2k} = \exp\left\{(-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k)!}{2(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta(2k+1)\right\} \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (3)$$

In particular, we can easily deduce from formulas (2) and (3) the following binomial identity which will be useful in the proof of the forthcoming theorem 1.

**Lemma 1.** For  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \ln A_j &= \frac{\ln 2\pi}{k+1} - \frac{k-1}{k+1} \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j-1} \frac{(2j)!}{j(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta'(2j) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j} \frac{(2j)!}{2(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta(2j+1). \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 1.* Bendersky [2] introduced for the first time the sequence of numbers  $A_k$  without any consideration of their relation with the  $\zeta$ -function. From the point of view of the summation of divergent series, the constants  $A_k$  can be interpreted

as follows: let  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \ln n$  denotes the  $\mathcal{R}$ -sum of the divergent series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^k \ln n$  (i.e. the sum of the series in the sense of Ramanujan's summation method [4]), then, for any integer  $k \geq 0$ , we have (see [4, p. 68] and [2, p. 280]):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \ln n &= -\zeta'(-k) - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \\ &= \ln A_k - \frac{H_k B_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \\ &= \int_0^1 \ln \Gamma_k(x+1) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma_k$  is the Bendersky generalized gamma function [2, p. 279]. This function verifies in particular

$$\Gamma_k(n+1) = 1^{1^k} 2^{2^k} \dots n^{n^k} \quad \text{for any integer } n \geq 1.$$

**Example 2.** For the first values of  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \ln n &= \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi - 1 = \int_0^1 \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx, \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \ln n &= \ln A_1 - \frac{1}{3} = \int_0^1 \ln K(x+1) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \Gamma_1$  is the Kinkelin-Bendersky hyperfactorial function which can be defined by the relation (see [2, Eq. p. 302] and [9, Definition 3])

$$\ln K(x) = \frac{x^2 - x}{2} - \frac{x}{2} \ln 2\pi + \int_0^x \ln \Gamma(u) du \quad (x \geq 0).$$

*Remark 2.* Unaware of Bendersky's work and following an idea of Milnor, Kurokawa and Ochiai [7, Theorem 2] have given an expression of the function  $\Gamma_k$  in terms of the derivative of the Hurwitz zeta function  $\zeta(s, x)$  at  $s = -k$ . Precisely, they showed that

$$\Gamma_k(x) = \exp \{ \zeta'(-k, x) - \zeta'(-k) \} \quad \text{for } x > 0,$$

a formula that can be seen as the analogue of Adamchik's formula for  $A_k$ .

### 3 Blagouchine's integrals and series with zeta values

**Definition 2.** For each non-negative integer  $k$  and each positive odd integer  $p$ , the integral  $\mathcal{J}_{k,p}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,p} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{p}{2} + ix)}{(2k + p + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx.$$

**Proposition 1.** We have the following relations:

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,1} = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,p} = (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \sum_{n=\frac{p+1}{2}}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k} \quad \text{for } p = 3, 5, 7, \dots \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* For  $k \geq 0$ , let us consider the function

$$f_k(z) = \frac{\zeta(z)}{(k+z) \sin(\pi z)}.$$

The function  $f_k$  has poles at integers  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , the residue of  $f_k$  at  $z = n$  is

$$\text{Res}(f_k; n) = \frac{(-1)^n \zeta(n)}{(n+k)\pi}.$$

For  $n = 1$ ,  $f_k$  has a double pole and

$$\text{Res}(f_k; 1) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\gamma}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \right).$$

Applying the residue theorem, we get

$$-\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\text{Re}(z)=p/2} f_k(z) dz = \sum_{n > \frac{p}{2}} \text{Res}(f_k; n).$$

This leads to formulas (5) and (6). □

**Theorem 1.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{1,1} &= \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{5}{4}, \\ \mathcal{J}_{2,1} &= \frac{1}{3} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{6} \gamma - \frac{11}{18} + \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\pi^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $k \geq 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{k,1} &= \frac{1}{k+1} \ln 2\pi - \frac{k-1}{k+1} \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{k^2+3k+1}{k(k+1)^2} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j-1} \frac{(2j)!}{j(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta'(2j) - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j} \frac{(2j)!}{2(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta(2j+1). \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From [6, Proposition 1], we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k} = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \zeta'(-j) + \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} \frac{B_{j+1} H_j}{j+1} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

By Adamchik's formula (1), this expression may be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k} = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \ln A_j \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Then, using the relation (5), we get the following expression:

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,1} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \ln A_j - \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (8)$$

Hence, formula (7) results from formula (8) and Lemma 1.  $\square$

*Remark 3.* Since  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} = \gamma$  (by a well-known series representation of Euler's constant  $\gamma$ ), we also have  $\mathcal{J}_{0,1} = -1$  by (5).

The following relation

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,3} = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} - \mathcal{J}_{k,1} \quad (9)$$

which results from (5) and (6) allows us to deduce the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{1,3} &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi + 1, \\ \mathcal{J}_{2,3} &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma - \frac{1}{3} \ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\pi^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $k \geq 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{k,3} &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma - \frac{1}{k+1} \ln 2\pi + \frac{1}{k} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j-1} \frac{(2j)!}{j(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta'(2j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j} \frac{(2j)!}{2(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta(2j+1). \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

## 4 Further generalization

Using a Fourier transform method, Candelpergher [5, Eq. (7)] recently proved the following beautiful relation which is a natural generalization of (5).

**Theorem 2.** for  $k \geq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$2^{s-1} \mathcal{J}_{k,1}(s) = \frac{\gamma}{(k+1)^s} - \frac{s}{(k+1)^{s+1}} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{(n+k)^s}. \quad (11)$$

with

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,1}(s) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(2k+1+2ix)^s \cosh(\pi x)} dx$$

Applying (11) with  $k = 0$  allows us to deduce the following identity:

**Corollary 2.**

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n^s} = \gamma - s - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(\frac{1}{2} + ix)^s \cosh(\pi x)} dx \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}). \quad (12)$$

**Example 3.** For  $s = 1$ , the representation  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} = \gamma$  is regained (since  $\mathcal{J}_{0,1}(1) = -1$ ), and for  $s = 2$ , formula (12) gives the relation

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n^2} = \gamma - 2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(\frac{1}{2} + ix)^2 \cosh(\pi x)} dx.$$

## References

- [1] V. Adamchik, Polygamma functions of negative order, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **100** (1998), 191–199.
- [2] L. Bendersky, Sur la fonction gamma généralisée, *Acta Math.* **61** (1933), 263–322.
- [3] I. V. Blagouchine, A complement to a recent paper on some infinite sums with the zeta values, preprint, 2020. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00108>
- [4] B. Candelpergher, *Ramanujan Summation of Divergent Series*, Lecture Notes in Math. 2185, Springer, 2017.
- [5] B. Candelpergher, An expansion of the Riemann Zeta function on the critical line, preprint, 2021. Available at <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03271709>



- [6] M-A. Coppo, A note on some alternating series involving zeta and multiple zeta values, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **475** (2019), 1831–1841.
- [7] N. Kurokawa and H. Ochiai, Generalized Kinkelin’s formulas *Kodai Math. J.* **30** (2007), 195–212.
- [8] M. Perkins and R. A. Van Gorder, Closed-form calculation of infinite products of Glaisher-type related to Dirichlet series, *Ramanujan J.* **49** (2019), 371–389.
- [9] J. Sondow and P. Hadjicostas, The generalized-Euler-constant function  $\gamma(z)$  and a generalization of Somos’s quadratic recurrence constant, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **332** (2007), 292–314.
- [10] W. Wang, Some asymptotic expansions of hyperfactorial functions and generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, *Ramanujan J.* **43** (2017), 513–533.