



HAL
open science

On the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants and Blagouchine's integrals

Marc-Antoine Coppo

► **To cite this version:**

Marc-Antoine Coppo. On the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants and Blagouchine's integrals. 2021. hal-03197403v12

HAL Id: hal-03197403

<https://univ-cotedazur.hal.science/hal-03197403v12>

Preprint submitted on 26 Sep 2021 (v12), last revised 3 Jun 2024 (v23)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants and Blagouchine's integrals

Marc-Antoine Coppo*

Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, LJAD (UMR 7351), Nice, France

Abstract The main purpose of this article is to establish a close connection between a sequence of complex integrals introduced by Blagouchine and some important mathematical constants, namely the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants (also known as the Bendersky constants) which occur quite naturally in analysis and number theory. At the end of this study, we also use a formula of Candelpergher to deduce an interesting expression of the alternating series $\sum_{n \geq 2} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n^s}$ for complex values of s .

Keywords Generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, infinite series with zeta values, complex integration.

1 Introduction

The main purpose of this article is to highlight the link between the sequence of complex integrals $\{\mathcal{J}_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_k = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)}{(2k + 1 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx,$$

and some important mathematical constants, namely the generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants (also known as the Bendersky constants) which occur quite naturally in analysis and number theory [1, 10, 11]. To establish these close connections, we make use of a relation (found by Blagouchine [2]) between the integral \mathcal{J}_k and the alternating series

$$\nu_k := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k}$$

*Corresponding author. *Email address:* coppo@unice.fr

which have been thoroughly studied in [9]. This enable us to give a general expression of the integrals \mathcal{J}_k for all non-negative integers k (see Theorem 1).

Recently, this deep relation between \mathcal{J}_k and ν_k has been generalized by Candelpergher [6] (see Theorem 2), that allows us to provide, as a corollary, an interesting expression of the alternating series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n^s}$$

for any complex number s with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ (see formula (10)).

2 Generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants

Definition 1 ([10, 11]). For any integer $k \geq 0$, the constant A_k are usually defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(A_0) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \ln n - \left(N + \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln N + N \right\}, \\ \ln(A_1) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n \ln n - \left(\frac{N^2}{2} + \frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{12} \right) \ln N + \frac{N^2}{4} \right\}, \\ \ln(A_2) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^2 \ln n - \left(\frac{N^3}{3} + \frac{N^2}{2} + \frac{N}{6} \right) \ln N + \frac{N^3}{9} - \frac{N}{12} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and more generally

$$\ln(A_k) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N n^k \ln n - P_k(N) \ln N + Q_k(N) \right\},$$

where P_k and Q_k are polynomials of degree $k+1$ that can be explicitly computed (see e.g. [11, Eq. (1.1)]). The numbers A_k for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are called the *generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants* (sometimes called the *Bendersky constants*). Adamchik [1, Proposition 4] has given an alternative expression of the constants A_k in terms of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function. More precisely, we have

$$A_k = \exp \left\{ \frac{H_k B_{k+1}}{k+1} - \zeta'(-k) \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where $H_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j}$ is the k -th harmonic number with the usual convention $H_0 = 0$.

Example 1. The constant $A_0 = \exp(-\zeta'(0)) = \sqrt{2\pi}$ is the Stirling constant, and

$$A_1 = \exp\left(\frac{1}{12} - \zeta'(-1)\right)$$

is the classical Glaisher-Kinkelin constant.

The following relations are easily derived by differentiation of the Riemann functional equation for the zeta function:

$$\zeta'(-2k) = (-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{2(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta(2k+1) \quad (k \geq 1),$$

and

$$\zeta'(1-2k) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k)!}{k(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta'(2k) + \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} (H_{2k-1} - \gamma - \ln 2\pi) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

This enable to deduce from Adamchik's formula (1) the expressions

$$A_{2k-1} = \exp\left\{(-1)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta'(2k) + \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} (\gamma + \ln 2\pi)\right\} \quad (k \geq 1), \quad (2)$$

and

$$A_{2k} = \exp\left\{(-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2k)!}{2(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta(2k+1)\right\} \quad (k \geq 1). \quad (3)$$

In particular, we can easily deduce from formulas (2) and (3) the following binomial identity which will be useful later:

Lemma 1. For $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \ln(A_j) &= \frac{\ln(2\pi)}{k+1} - \frac{k-1}{k+1} \frac{\gamma}{2} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j-1} \frac{(2j)!}{j(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta'(2j) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j} \frac{(2j)!}{2(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta(2j+1). \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. Bendersky [3] introduced for the first time the sequence of numbers A_k without any consideration of their relation with the ζ -function. From the point

of view of the summation of divergent series, the constants A_k can be interpreted as follows: let $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \ln n$ denotes the \mathcal{R} -sum of the divergent series $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^k \ln n$ (i.e. the sum of the series in the sense of Ramanujan's summation method [5]), then, for any integer $k \geq 0$, we have (cf. [5, p. 68], [3, p. 280]):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \ln n &= -\zeta'(-k) - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \\ &= \ln(A_k) - \frac{H_k B_{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \\ &= \int_0^1 \ln \Gamma_k(x+1) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where Γ_k is the Bendersky generalized gamma function [3, p. 279]. This function verifies in particular

$$\Gamma_k(n+1) = 1^{1^k} 2^{2^k} \cdots n^{n^k} \quad \text{for any integer } n \geq 1.$$

Example 2. For the first values of k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \ln n &= \ln(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1 = \int_0^1 \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx, \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \ln n &= \ln(A_1) - \frac{1}{3} = \int_0^1 \ln K(x+1) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $K = \Gamma_1$ is the classical hyperfactorial K -function [7].

3 Blagouchine's first integral

We give a direct proof of [2, Theorem 2] using Cauchy's residue theorem.

Proposition 1. For any integer $k \geq 0$, let \mathcal{I}_k be the integral defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_k := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(2k+1 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{I}_k = \nu_{k-1} \tag{5}$$

where ν_k is the conditionally convergent series defined by

$$\nu_k := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n+k} \quad (k \geq -1).$$

Proof. For $k \geq 0$, let us consider the function

$$f_k(z) = \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + iz\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + k + iz\right) \cosh(\pi z)}.$$

We have $\cosh(\pi z) = 0$ if and only if $z = i/2 + in$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \geq 1$, the residue of f_k at $z = i/2 - in$ is

$$\frac{\zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)\pi \sinh(i\pi(\frac{1}{2} - n))} = \frac{\zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)i\pi \sin(\pi(\frac{1}{2} - n))} = \frac{(-1)^n \zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)i\pi}.$$

We integrate on a closed contour composed of the interval $D_R = [-R, R]$ and the lower semicircle C_R of radius R with center at 0. By the residue theorem, we can then write the following relation:

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{C_R} f_k(z) dz + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{D_R} f_k(z) dz = - \sum_{n=1}^{N_R} \text{Res}(f_k; \frac{i}{2} - in),$$

which, from the foregoing, translates into the identity

$$\int_{C_R} f_k(z) dz + \int_{D_R} f_k(z) dz = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_R} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(1+n)}{(n+k)}. \quad (6)$$

For $z \in C_R$, the parameterization $iz = Re^{it}$ with $-\pi/2 < t < \pi/2$, enables us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{C_R} f_k(z) dz \right| &= \left| \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + Re^{it}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + k + Re^{it}\right) \cosh(i\pi Re^{it})} Re^{it} dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \left| \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + Re^{it}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + k + Re^{it}\right) \cosh(i\pi Re^{it})} \right| R dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{3}{2} + Re^{it}$ is in the half-plane $\text{Re}(z) > 3/2$, its absolute value is bounded by $\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$, i.e.

$$\left| \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + Re^{it}\right) \right| \leq \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right).$$

Hence, when R increases towards infinity, we have the following limits:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_R} f_k(z) dz &= 0, \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_R} f_k(z) dz &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + k + ix\right) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = 2\mathcal{I}_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N_R} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(1+n)}{n+k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(n+1)}{n+k} = \nu_{k-1}.$$

This allows us to deduce formula (5) by passing to the limit in (6). \square

Example 3. For $k = 0$, formula (5) translates into

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(1 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n-1},$$

and for $k = 1$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2} + ix\right)}{(3 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n}.$$

The constant

$$\nu_{-1} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 1.257746\dots$$

has been thoroughly studied by Boyadzhiev [4]¹. Moreover, by a well-known series representation of Euler's constant γ , we also have

$$\nu_0 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) = \gamma = 0.577215\dots$$

4 Blagouchine's second integral

Proposition 2. For any integer $k \geq 0$, let \mathcal{J}_k be the integral defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_k := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)}{(2k + 1 + 2ix) \cosh(\pi x)} dx.$$

Then we have the relation

$$\mathcal{J}_k = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} - \mathcal{I}_{k+1} \quad (k \geq 0). \quad (7)$$

Proof. For $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$, let us consider the function

$$F_k(z) := \frac{\zeta(z)}{2(k+z) \cos\left(\pi z - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}.$$

The residue theorem allows us to write the relation

$$\int_{\operatorname{Re}(z)=1/2} F_k(z) dz - \int_{\operatorname{Re}(z)=3/2} F_k(z) dz = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}$$

because the right-hand side of this equation is nothing but the residue of the function F_k at $z = 1$. This enable to deduce the relation (7). \square

¹This constant is noted M in [4] and K in [8, p. 142].

Theorem 1. We have $\mathcal{J}_0 = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{5}{4}, \\ \mathcal{J}_2 &= \frac{1}{3} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{6} \gamma + \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\pi^2} - \frac{11}{19},\end{aligned}$$

and for $k \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_k &= \frac{1}{k+1} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{k-1}{2(k+1)} \gamma \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j-1} \frac{(2j)!}{j(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta'(2j) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{k}{2j} \frac{(2j)!}{2(2\pi)^{2j}} \zeta(2j+1) - \frac{k^2 + 3k + 1}{k(k+1)^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

Proof. By (5) we have $\mathcal{I}_{k+1} = \nu_k$ and, by [9, Proposition 1], we also have

$$\nu_k = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \zeta'(-j) + \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} \frac{B_{j+1} H_j}{j+1} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Using Adamchik's formula (1), this expression may be rewritten as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}_{k+1} = \frac{\gamma}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \ln(A_j) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Now, using the relation (7), we get $\mathcal{J}_0 = -1$ (since $\mathcal{I}_1 = \gamma$) and

$$\mathcal{J}_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \ln(A_j) - \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Hence, formula (8) results from formula (4) (cf. Lemma 1). \square

5 Further generalization

Using Fourier transform method, Candelpergher [6, Eq. (7)] recently proved the following beautiful relation which is the analogue of (7).

Theorem 2. for $k \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$2^{s-1} \mathcal{J}_k(s) = \frac{\gamma}{(k+1)^s} - \frac{s}{(k+1)^{s+1}} - \nu_k(s), \quad (9)$$

with

$$\mathcal{J}_k(s) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(2k + 1 + 2ix)^s \cosh(\pi x)} dx$$

and

$$\nu_k(s) := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{(n+k)^s}.$$

Applying (9) with $k = 0$ allows us to deduce the following identity:

Corollary 1.

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n^s} = \gamma - s - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(\frac{1}{2} + ix)^s \cosh(\pi x)} dx \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}). \quad (10)$$

Example 4.

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\zeta(n)}{n^2} = \gamma - 2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{(\frac{1}{2} + ix)^2 \cosh(\pi x)} dx.$$

References

- [1] V. Adamchik, Polygamma functions of negative order, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **100** (1998), 191–199.
- [2] I. V. Blagouchine, A complement to a recent paper on some infinite sums with the zeta values, preprint, 2020. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00108>
- [3] L. Bendersky, Sur la fonction gamma généralisée, *Acta Math.* **61** (1933), 263–322.
- [4] K. N. Boyadzhiev, A special constant and series with zeta values and harmonic numbers, *Gazeta Matematica* **115** (2018), 1–16.
- [5] B. Candelpergher, *Ramanujan Summation of Divergent Series*, Lecture Notes in Math. 2185, Springer, 2017.
- [6] B. Candelpergher, An expansion of the Riemann Zeta function on the critical line, preprint, 2021. Available at <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03271709>
- [7] M. W. Coffey, Integral representations of functions and Addison-type series for mathematical constants, *J. Number Theory* **157** (2015), 79–98.
- [8] H. Cohen, *Number Theory, Volume II: Analytic and Modern Tools*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 240, Springer, 2007.

- [9] M-A. Coppo, A note on some alternating series involving zeta and multiple zeta values, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **475** (2019), 1831–1841.
- [10] M. Perkins and R. A. Van Gorder, Closed-form calculation of infinite products of Glaisher-type related to Dirichlet series, *Ramanujan J.* **49** (2019), 371–389.
- [11] W. Wang, Some asymptotic expansions of hyperfactorial functions and generalized Glaisher-Kinkelin constants, *Ramanujan J.* **43** (2017), 513–533.