

SEA MONSTERS AND CETACEANS: SLOW EMERGENCE OF SCIENCE AND PERSISTENCE OF IMAGINATION

Odile Gannier, Alexandre Gannier

► To cite this version:

Odile Gannier, Alexandre Gannier. SEA MONSTERS AND CETACEANS: SLOW EMERGENCE OF SCIENCE AND PERSISTENCE OF IMAGINATION. European Cetacean Society, 19th Annual Conference, 2005, La Rochelle, France. hal-02147709

HAL Id: hal-02147709 https://univ-cotedazur.hal.science/hal-02147709v1

Submitted on 4 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SEA MONSTERS AND CETACEANS: SLOW EMERGENCE OF SCIENCE AND PERSISTENCE OF IMAGINATION



(1) Groupe de Recherche sur les Cétacés, BP 715, 06633 Antibes Cedex, France.



INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the shape and external anatomy of most cetaceans are supposed to be well known, even if the smallest details of all species are not yet precisely described. However, we can point out how surprisin-

gly fanciful some representations can be, even until in the last years, including in scientific drawing.

EARLY CONCEPTIONS

In the early Mediterranean Sea, dolphins were often drawn, because they were common animals. As far as they are rather small, they don't threaten fishermen, and are even supposed to be friendly because they can be seen on the fishing zones. Most of the legends are very positive: Arion saved by a dolphin, or such other stories all over the orld: in some o vilization, drowned men are said to be reincarnated as dolphins, so that it's a crime to kill them. They are bigger than most fishes, but considered as much more

it's a crime to kill them. They are bigger than most fishes, but considered as much more intelligent, and often seem to play with boars. The correctness of representation of dolphins is facilitated by this general friend-ly attitude. Hence dolphins have been rather exactly drawn since a long time (Fig.1), maybe also because stranded animals are quite similar to living ones. However, early Mediterranean people worshipped sea creatures, some as friend-ly spirits and some as hostile ones, in a propriatory way. Unlike dolphins, whales are not common close to the shore. Early Greek and Roman fishermen didn't zee them often and thus didn't know them well Their size

Roman fishermen didn't see them often, and thus didn't know them well. Their size could be terrific, and the size of their mouth or their fluke led to suspicious attitudes towards them. Lucian of Samosate (2nd AD) tells the story of a ship swallowed by a sal whale, in which some people was already living and even growing vegetables The huge size of whales caught imagination.







Fig 4 : Belon, Neptune's horse



MONSTERS

A lot of maps represent whales in the seas, but their shape is curious, and tho drawing are out of proportion to countries or ships: some "monsters" are longer than the half way between Europe and America. The excessive length may signify its extraordi-nary danger or the high number of these creatures to be found across the sea. (Fig.2) But a lot of strange creatures began to appear at the right moment European people start crosssing occans all over the world. Sailing towards unknown regions, they met unknown and actually big whales: the middle age even creates island-whales. Furthermore, people at this period liked monsters and marvels and imagine a lot of fabulous creatures living in occans, as the Bible had said (Fig.3). The sea snake has got a great success, as well as occasis, as the broke had said (11g.). The sca static has got a great success, as were as the marine cow: all of them are of great narrative interest for travel writers, especially during long and monotonous journeys. Even objective travellers like Léry (in 1578) can describe dolphins and whales, mixing real observations and representations coming from the science of his age

Fig 8 : Belon, strange sperm whale -

Fig 9 : Lacépède, sperm whate





EVOLUTION OF SCIENCE: THE SCARCER, THE STRANGER

Belon and Rondelet – who told about whales in *Fishes* (1555) –, were good observers of the natural shape of cetaceans and their account was not outside their scope. Their classification itself was organizing the actual knowledge. Scientists in the folloclassification test was organizing the actual knowledge. Scientists in the for-centrities developed a wider range of cetaceans: nevertheless, some species are so the that the representation can't but be only probable. Scientists during and after the 18th century tried to get progressively rid of fabu-scientists during and after the 18th century tried to get progressively rid of fabu-

lous creatures and evident mistakes. But they went on giving credit to some previous conceptions. (Fig.8)

Lacépède for example published in 1804 the Natural history of cetaceans aiming a general view of all the cetaceans who have been ever seen until that moment, one species after the other, using the classification Limé had proposed, in *Systema natu-ae* (10th edition in 1758), on anatomic – and no longer ecological – bases (with two orders: cetaceans without teeth, cetaceans with teeth). But Lacépède particularly persis-

orders: cetaceans without teeth, cetaceans with teeth). But Lacépède paricularly persis-ted in imagining cetaceans with extraordinary life expectancy, length and fertility, and other aspects of their anatomy or habits. (Fig.10) The last wrong and widely spread belief concerns whales blowing. Belon had definitely established that whales or dolphins didn't have homs on the forehead, as sorts of chinneys, for breathing. But Lacépéde sill believed that cetaceans throw water by heir blowholes – and persisted in picturing them with a little fountain on top of the head –, and so does everyone until the end of the 19th century. In 1878 Jules Verne still explai-ned that point in a novel based on contemporaneous science (A fifteen years old Capatain). (Fig. 9) Melville, in Mohy Dick (1851), presented the adventure of Capatin Ahab and Isomael from the whaler's north of view: besides a symbolic interventain and some

Mervine, in *Motoy Dick* (1651), presented the adventure of capital Atha and Ishmael from the whaler's point of view: besides a symbolic interpretation and some chapters dedicated to general observations on cetaceans, Melville related the slaughte-ring of sperm whales: this way of approaching whales has been, in fact, the main obses-sion of the 19th century, up to about 1950. Pseudo-scientific description interfered in the whaling, but sounded like a pretext, observations concerning essentially whales' size (as a sign of profilability) and ability to escape far away or to defend themselves to the wha-lers cost. (Fig. 11) Actually science didn't progress a lot with whaling reports, as is still the case novadivs.

the case nowadays. And yet, Fleurieu in the Marchand's *Voyage around the world* had already gathe-red a little encyclopaedia for mariners, so that they could observe more accurately and give in turn useful data to scientists.

Fig 5 : Belon, curved dolphin



Fig 6 : Belon, dolphin



Fig 7 : Belon, porpo



WHY DO SUCH REPRESENTATIONS PERSIST? THE PROCESS OF SCIENCE

The persistence of errors in science may be due to the way scientists have always been working. Knowledge can be gained es-

DEDICIT COLOR OF CONTROL OF COLOR Shows and the set of the way scientists have always been working. Knowledge can be gained essentially two methods: on one hand observing directly things of triving creatures, on the other hand compiling available science. Aristotle (4h BC) have been working. Knowledge can be gained essentially two methods: on one hand observing directly things of triving creatures, on the other hand compiling available science. Aristotle (4h BC) have been working. Knowledge can be gained essentially things of triving in fact, the way seperally right, for example classifying whales an animatis, to control the taking precedence over observations and evidence.
At the time of Renaissance, science had encyclopacedic aims: ratural history tried to be exhaustive, and in order to describe an animation for correct for a long time, core or legs with this cardy conception was worg.
Beton, beside Rondlet, was the first great "modern" scientist is and available. Science and history tried to be exhaustive, and has boman uses of it price and all fictions or legends about it. That's why scientists could present the same way what they think to be right and what bakes more of the Science and the script of price (Latin edition 1553-French 1555) is divided in two parts: in first non. "fishes with blood", in the second one, "fishes without blood". For hum, fish is "very animal living blocide or in water", ectacaans three science and all script of present and there with science or divide the same there real shape of dophinin, whale, perpose, and the shape of the dophinin "science" of the curve of dophinis. Recause they are not of the science of adophinis were entered with a diphinis every string of the dophini was been worth the staft of the curve of dophinis were and science and any ancience relative of the curve of dophinis were entered way that they that here all science and the science and there and the science and t

DIFFICULTIES OF REPRESENTATION

Thus, from that moment, persisting errors are the result of other difficulties and ignorance.

First of all, such marine animals spend more time under water than at the surface: they are difficult to see in details. One can't be sure to notice all the characteris tic features during the very short moment one sees them, especially if the back only is in surface: one must imagine the other parts of a fleeting shadow. Some cetaceans use to jump, or like to follow the ships: they can be more easily seen, but, as Belon accu rately noticed, the view is perturbed by perspective. Some are so rare than they are described according to only one or two evidences.

On the plates, however, cetaceans are often drawn like terrestrial animals, with a line under them which represents a sort of floor: it's difficult to draw what you don't see under water. Drawers, picturing according to the stranded animal, hesitate how to show a living animal out of its natural element, exactly like "a fish out the water".

Stranded dolphins are not very different from living ones, but whales, due to their mass, are distorted, so than it's may be difficult to imagine their real shape; tongue and penis are sticked out, so some naturalists (like Fleurieu in 1797, following

Bonatere) wondered how little hydrodynamic they are. (Fig.13) Whales are often drawn with a very round body, like on Lacépède's plates. (Fig.12) This balloon-like appearance can be explained by the way they were pictured "in the natural state", but stranded: the decomposition may be causing gases inflate the corp

The pictures being often copied from a book to another, no wonder that this type of representation may seem anachronistic, and persists still now, in the case the author has no way to substitute a better drawing to the previous imperfect plate.



Fig 12 : Lacépède, right, humPback, fin whale Fig 13 : Distorted stranded whale

REFERENCES

BELON DU MANS Pierre, 1551. [Natural History of strange sea fishes, including the right description

BELON DU MANS Pierer, 1551. [Natural History of strange sea fishes, including the right description of the Dophin]. L'Histoire naturelle des estranges poisons mains, seve la varie peincture & description du Daulphin, & de plusieurs autres de son espece, observee par Pierre Belon du Mans, Paris, Imprimerie Regnaud Chaudier: 116 p. BELON DU MANS Pierre, 1555. La nature et diversité des poisons avec leurs pourtraiets, représentez an plus pres du naturel. Paris, hcc. Eculeris Estienne: 485 p. CLARET DE FLEURIEU Charles Pierre, 1797-1800. Voyage autour du monde, pendau les années 1790, (J.P.), et 1792, april Einen Marchand, précédé d'une instruduction historique; anuello na joint des recherches aur les terres australes de Drake, et un examen critique du voyage de Roggeween; avec cartes et figures. Paris, 1701, et 1702, et 2004, [Natural history of etuccons], Histoire naturelle des Cétacées, Paris, chez Prisson et VII, VII, VI, 1800.

LA CEPEDE Citoyen, 1804. [Natural h Plassan, an XII : XLIV + 329 p. LINNE Carl von, 1758. Systema natura MELVILLE Herman, Moby Dick, 1851. rae, edition decimal, Stockholm : 824 p.

wtt.+vt.Lt: tretman, nooy Dick, 1831.
RONDELET Guillaume, 1553. Libri de piscibus marinis, in quibus verae piscium effigies expressae sunt, Jyon, Matthias Bonhomme: ic21 p.; Les poissons, 1555
VERNE Jules, 1878. Un capitaine de quinze ans, Paris, Hetzel.

Fig 2 : Plate, Olaus Magnus, 1539





Fig 3 : Plate, Olaus Magnus, 1539