



**HAL**  
open science

## Ramanujan summation of divergent series

B Candelpergher

► **To cite this version:**

| B Candelpergher. Ramanujan summation of divergent series. 2015. hal-01150208v1

**HAL Id: hal-01150208**

**<https://univ-cotedazur.hal.science/hal-01150208v1>**

Preprint submitted on 9 May 2015 (v1), last revised 29 Mar 2017 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Ramanujan summation of divergent series

B.Candelpergher

## **Abstract**

In Chapter VI of his second Notebook Ramanujan introduce the Euler-MacLaurin formula to define the "constant" of a series. When the series is divergent he uses this "constant" like a sum of the series. We give a rigorous definition of Ramanujan summation and some properties and applications of it. These properties of the summation seems very unusual so in the last chapter we give a general algebraic view on summation of series that unify Ramanujan summation with the classical summations procedures.



# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1 Ramanujan summation</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The Ramanujan constant of a series . . . . .	1
1.2 Ramanujan summation . . . . .	5
1.2.1 The functions $\varphi_f$ and $R_f$ . . . . .	5
1.2.2 A difference equation . . . . .	6
1.3 The summation . . . . .	10
1.3.1 Definition and examples . . . . .	10
1.3.2 The $\varphi_f$ function . . . . .	14
1.3.3 Relation to usual summation. . . . .	15
<b>2 Properties of the Ramanujan summation</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Some elementary properties . . . . .	17
2.1.1 The unusual property of the shift. . . . .	17
2.1.2 Functional relations for $\varphi_f$ . . . . .	19
2.1.3 Summation on $\mathbb{Z}$ . . . . .	22
2.2 Summation and derivation . . . . .	22
2.3 The case of an entire function . . . . .	25
2.3.1 Expression of Catalan's constant . . . . .	28
2.3.2 The sum $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-zn}}{n}$ . . . . .	29
2.4 A surprising relation . . . . .	30
2.5 The case of a Laplace transform . . . . .	32
2.6 Analyticity with respect to a parameter . . . . .	35
2.6.1 The theorem of analyticity . . . . .	35
2.6.2 Analytic continuation of Dirichlet series . . . . .	40
2.6.3 The zeta function associated to Laplacian on the sphere $S^2$ . . . . .	41
2.6.4 Zeta regularization of divergent products . . . . .	42
2.7 Integration with respect to a parameter . . . . .	43
2.7.1 Interchanging $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\int_I$ . . . . .	43
2.7.2 The functional equation for zeta . . . . .	45
2.7.3 The Muntz formula . . . . .	46
2.8 Heat equation . . . . .	48
2.9 Link with Borel summation . . . . .	49
2.9.1 Ramanujan summation in term of Bernoulli numbers . . . . .	49
2.9.2 An integral formula . . . . .	51
2.10 Double Ramanujan sums . . . . .	52
2.10.1 Definitions and properties . . . . .	52
2.10.2 The case of convergence . . . . .	54
2.10.3 The sums $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n}{n^p}$ . . . . .	56
2.10.4 The sums $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^p(m+n)^q}$ . . . . .	58

<b>3</b>	<b>Ramanujan summation of alternating series.</b>	<b>61</b>
3.1	Definition . . . . .	61
3.2	Relation to usual summation. . . . .	64
3.3	Properties of the summation . . . . .	65
3.3.1	The shift property . . . . .	65
3.3.2	Summation of even and odd terms. . . . .	66
3.3.3	Derivation and integration . . . . .	68
3.4	Application: Expression of the Stieltjes constants . . . . .	70
3.5	Other alternate sums . . . . .	71
3.6	Generalization . . . . .	75
<b>4</b>	<b>Formal transforms and numerical evaluations</b>	<b>79</b>
4.1	Formal transforms . . . . .	79
4.2	Newton interpolation series . . . . .	80
4.3	Another formula for Ramanujan summation . . . . .	82
<b>5</b>	<b>A general algebraic view on summation of series</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	85
5.2	An algebraic formalism . . . . .	86
5.3	Examples . . . . .	90
<b>6</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>97</b>
7.1	Euler-MacLaurin and Euler-Boole formulas . . . . .	97
7.1.1	A Taylor formula . . . . .	97
7.1.2	Euler-MacLaurin formula . . . . .	98
7.1.3	Euler-Boole formula . . . . .	99
<b>8</b>	<b>The chapter VI of the second Ramanujan Notebook</b>	<b>101</b>

# Introduction

It is possible to give a meaning to the sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 + 8 + 9 + \dots$$

which appears in the study of Casimir effect, by the analytic continuation of the Riemann zeta function defined for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  by  $\zeta : s \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}$ . This function has an analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$  and thus we can set for example  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n = \zeta(-1)$ . But this strategy does not work with the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{9} + \dots$$

since the zeta function has a pole at  $s = 1$ .

These series are examples of divergent series. The classical definition of convergence of a series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n$  by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \quad (\text{when this limit is finite})$$

was introduced by Cauchy in order to avoid frequent mistakes in working with series.

But non convergent series that is "divergent series" appear elsewhere in analysis. Thus some other methods of summation of series have been introduced by several mathematicians such that Cesaro, Euler, Abel, Borel and others, in particular Ramanujan. These methods of summation assign to a series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n$  a number  $S$  obtained by taking the limit of some means of the partial sums  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ .

In the last chapter of his book "Divergent series" Hardy introduced the method employed by Ramanujan. This method is based on the Euler-MacLaurin summation formula which is an asymptotic expansion when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  of the partial sum  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(k)$  where  $f$  is a sufficiently regular function. For Ramanujan we can always find, in this expansion, a natural constant (not depending on  $n$ ) which he call "the constant of the series" and treats like a sort of sum of the series.

The precise definition of this summation is not given explicitly by Ramanujan who uses intuitive and formal calculations. We define this summation in limiting us with the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$  when  $f$  is a function not too increasing.

The coherence of our definition constrains us to deal, in the case of convergence of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$ , with an integral term which is the difference between the Ramanujan-sum and the classical Cauchy-sum of the series. There is also the apparition of an integral when we compare the sums of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n+1)$ .

If we account for these properties we can give a precise meaning to some formal manipulations and obtain rigorous results in applying Ramanujan summation to some divergent series.

These properties of the summation seems very unusual so in the last chapter we give a general algebraic view on summation of series that unify Ramanujan summation with the classical summations procedures.

In appendix we give the classical Euler-MacLaurin and Euler-Boole formula.

Evidently we can not claim that our version of the Ramanujan summation is exactly the summation procedure that Ramanujan had in his powerful mind, so we give an exact copy of the Chapter VI of the second notebook in which Ramanujan introduce the "constant of a series".

My warmest thanks to M.A. Coppo, E.Delabaere, H.Gopalkrishna Gadiyar and R.Padma for their interest and contributions to the study of Ramanujan summation.



# Chapter 1

## Ramanujan summation

### 1.1 The Ramanujan constant of a series

Let  $f$  a smooth function defined for real  $x > 0$ . In the beginning of Chapter VI of his Notebook 2, Ramanujan introduce the sum

$$f(1) + f(2) + f(3) + f(4) + \dots + f(x) = \phi(x),$$

which is solution of

$$\phi(x) - \phi(x-1) = f(x) \quad \text{with} \quad \phi(0) = 0$$

Let the numbers  $\mathcal{B}_r$  are defined when  $r = 2, 4, 6, \dots$  by (second notebook chapter V, entry 9)

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{2k}}{(2k)!} x^{2k}$$

then Ramanujan writes the Euler-McLaurin series

$$\phi(x) = c + \int f(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} f(x) + \frac{\mathcal{B}_2}{[2]} f'(x) - \frac{\mathcal{B}_4}{[4]} f'''(x) + \frac{\mathcal{B}_6}{[6]} f^V(x) + \frac{\mathcal{B}_8}{[8]} f^{VII}(x) + \dots$$

and he said about the constant  $c$ : *The algebraic constant of a series is the constant obtained by completing the remaining part in the above theorem. We can substitute this constant which is like the centre of gravity of a body instead of its divergent infinite series.*

In Ramanujan notation  $\mathcal{B}_{2n} = (-1)^{n-1} B_{2n}$ , where the  $B_n$  are the usual Bernoulli numbers given by  $B_n = B_n(0)$  defined by

$$\frac{ze^{zx}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!}$$

Thus we can write the above Euler-McLaurin series in the form

$$\varphi(x) = c + \int f(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} f(x) + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(x)$$

Unfortunately in this last formula the series  $\sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(x)$  can be a *divergent* series and in the integral of  $f$  the *low limit of integration is not precisely defined*. Thus we must replace this series by the finite sum and give a precise meaning to the integral. Then we get the **Euler-MacLaurin formula** (cf. appendix):

$$f(1) + \dots + f(n) = C_m + \int_1^n f(x) dx + \frac{f(n)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(n) - \int_n^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(x)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(x) dx$$

where

$$C_m = \frac{f(1)}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(1) + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(x)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(x) dx$$



and the  $b_n$  are the periodic Bernoulli functions defined by  $b_n(x) = B_n(x - [x])$ , In this formula it is assumed that the function  $f$  is an infinitely differentiable function and that the integral  $\int_1^{+\infty} b_{2m+1}(x) \partial^{2m+1} f(x) dx$  is convergent. If it is convergent for all  $m \geq M$  then by integration by parts we verify that the constant  $C_m$  does not depend on  $m$  if  $m \geq M$  thus we set  $C_m = C$ .

We use the notation

$$C(f) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \frac{f(1)}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(1) + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(x)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(x) dx$$

and call the constant  $C(f)$  the *Ramanujan sum of the series*.

### Example

If  $f$  is a constant function then  $\partial f = 0$  thus  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \frac{f(1)}{2}$ . Thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} 1 = \frac{1}{2}}$$

If  $f(x) = x$  then  $\partial^3 f = 0$  thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{B_2}{2} = \frac{5}{12}}$$

### The case of convergence

Assume that the integrals  $\int_1^{+\infty} b_n(x) \partial^n f(x) dx$  are convergent for  $n \geq 1$ .

Then the Euler-MacLaurin formula is valid for  $m = 0$  and we get

$$f(1) + \dots + f(n) = C(f) + \int_1^n f(x) dx + \frac{f(n)}{2} - \int_n^{+\infty} b_1(x) \partial f(x) dx$$

Since  $\int_n^{+\infty} b_1(x) \partial f(x) dx \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = C(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (f(1) + \dots + f(n) - \int_1^n f(x) dx - \frac{f(n)}{2})$$

Note that if the series  $\sum f(n)$  and the integral  $\int_1^{+\infty} f(x) dx$  are convergent we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} f(n) - \int_1^{+\infty} f(x) dx \tag{1.1}$$

Remark: Since  $b_1(x) = x - [x] - 1/2$  we have also the integral formula

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \frac{f(1)}{2} + \int_1^{+\infty} (x - [x] - 1/2) f(x) dx$$

### Examples

1) If  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^z}$  with  $Re(z) > 1$  then  $|\partial f(x)| = |z| \frac{1}{x^{Re(z)+1}}$  thus  $\int_1^{+\infty} |\partial f(x)| dx < +\infty$  and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^z} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^z} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^z} dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^z} - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

thus for  $Re(z) > 1$  we have

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^z} = \zeta(z) - \frac{1}{z-1}}$$

2) If  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \text{Log}(n) - \frac{1}{2n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \text{Log}(n) \right)$$

Thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \gamma}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant.

3) If  $f(x) = \text{Log}(x)$  then by Euler-MacLaurin

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Log}(k) - (n \text{Log}(n) - n + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(n)) \right)$$

Thus the Stirling formula we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Log}(k) - (n \text{Log}(n) - n + \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(n)) = \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi})$$

is equivalent to

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) = \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1}$$

4) We have by Euler-MacLaurin

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \text{Log}(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k \text{Log}(k) - \text{Log}(n) \left( \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{12} \right) + \frac{n^2}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{3}$$

Thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \text{Log}(n) = \text{Log}(A) - \frac{1}{3}}$$

where  $A$  is the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant (cf. Srivastava and Choi p.39).

### Remark

Note that if the derivatives  $\partial^m f(x)$  are sufficiently decreasing at infinity for  $m \geq M$  so that  $\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{b_m(x)}{m!} \partial^m f(x) dx$  is convergent, then for all  $a > 0$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) + \dots + f(n) &= \\ \int_1^a f(x) dx + \frac{f(1)}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(1) + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(x)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(x) dx \\ + \int_a^n f(x) dx + \frac{f(n)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(n) - \int_n^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(x)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

thus we see that the constant  $C(f) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$  is replaced by

$$C_a(f) = \int_1^a f(x) dx + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$$

It seems that Ramanujan let the possibility for the constant on the series that the choice of  $a$  is on the series in consideration:

\* If the series  $\sum f(n)$  and the integral  $\int_1^{+\infty} f(x)dx$  are convergent then with  $a = +\infty$  we get

$$C_\infty(f) = \int_1^{+\infty} f(x)dx + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$$

and with our preceding formula (1.1) we get

$$C_\infty(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(n)$$

This is compatible with an affirmation of Ramanujan in Chapter 6 p.62 of Notebook2:

"If  $f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(x)$  be a convergent series then its constant is the sum of the series."

\* If for example  $f(x) = x$  then  $C_\infty(f)$  is not defined but

$$C_0(f) = \int_1^0 xdx + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n = -\frac{B_2}{2}$$

To get simple properties of Ramanujan summation we fix the parameter  $a$  in the integral, we make the choice  $a = 1$  in order to have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \gamma$$

With the use of Euler-Maclaurin formula we have the definition of the constant of a series by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (f(1) + \dots + f(n) - [\int_1^n f(x)dx + \frac{f(n)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(n)]) \quad (1.2)$$

this needs convergence of the integral  $\int_1^{+\infty} b_{2m+1}(x) \partial^{2m+1} f(x)dx$ .

This hypothesis is not always satisfied, for example by a simple series like  $\sum e^n$ . Thus we need to avoid the systematic use of Euler-McLaurin formula and define in another way the Ramanujan summation.

### Remark

A nice example of this Ramanujan's flexibility in the choice of  $a$  is the following derivation of the functional equation for the zeta function by Ramanujan.

For  $r = 2, 4, 6, \dots$  we have the classical Euler formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\pi)^r}{r!} \mathcal{B}_r$$

thus (second notebook chapter V, entry 25) Ramanujan define  $\mathcal{B}_r$  for  $r > 1$  by

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\pi)^r}{r!} \mathcal{B}_r = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} = \zeta(r)$$

Let  $r$  a positive integer the Euler-MacLaurin formula gives for  $f(x) = x^r$

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^x t^r dt + C_0(r) + \frac{x^r}{2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{2k}}{(2k)!} r(r-1)\dots(r-(2k-2))x^{r-(2k-1)}$$

where the series in the right side is a finite sum.

If  $r = 2m + 1$  the value at  $x = 0$  of the right side is

$$\phi(0) = C_0(2m + 1) + \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_{2k}}{(2k)!} r(r-1)\dots(r-2k+2) \text{ with } k = m + 1$$

thus it is

$$\phi(0) = C_0(2m + 1) + \frac{(-1)^m \mathcal{B}_{2m+2}}{2m + 2}$$

The equation  $\phi(0) = 0$  gives for  $r = 2m + 1$

$$C_0(r) = -\frac{(-1)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \mathcal{B}_{r+1}}{r+1} = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \mathcal{B}_{r+1}}{r+1} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{r+1}}{r+1} \cos\left(\pi \frac{r+1}{2}\right)$$

Thus for  $r = 2m$

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}_r}{r} \cos\left(\pi \frac{r}{2}\right) = C_0(r-1) = \text{constant of } \sum x^{r-1}$$

In Chapter V entry 4 Ramanujan extend this formula and replace  $r$  by  $1 - r$  this gives

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}_{1-r}}{1-r} \cos\left(\pi \frac{1-r}{2}\right) = \text{constant of } \sum x^{-r}$$

and Ramanujan note that for  $r > 1$  we have

$$\text{constant of } \sum x^{-r} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^r} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\pi)^r}{r!} \mathcal{B}_r$$

(note that the constant is now  $C_\infty(x^{-r})$ ) thus he obtain

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}_{1-r}}{1-r} \cos\left(\pi \frac{1-r}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\pi)^r}{r!} \mathcal{B}_r$$

With this formal derivation Ramanujan get the functional equation

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}_{1-r}}{1-r} \sin\left(\pi \frac{r}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\pi)^r}{r!} \mathcal{B}_r$$

With  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\pi)^r}{r!} \mathcal{B}_r = \zeta(r)$  this gives the classical functional equation for the zeta function

$$\zeta(1-r) 2\Gamma(1-r) (2\pi)^{r-1} \sin\left(\pi \frac{r}{2}\right) = \zeta(r)$$

We shall give later a more rigorous proof of the functional equation.

## 1.2 Ramanujan summation

### 1.2.1 The functions $\varphi_f$ and $R_f$

In his notebooks Ramanujan use the function  $\varphi(x) = f(1) + \dots + f(x)$ , it seems he has in mind a *sort of unique interpolation function*  $\varphi_f$  of the partial sums of the series associated to  $f$ . This function must verify

$$\varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x-1) = f(x)$$

and Ramanujan gives the additional condition  $\varphi_f(0) = 0$ .

This gives for  $n$  integer  $\geq 1$

$$\varphi_f(n) - \varphi_f(0) = f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(n)$$

thus if the series  $\sum f(n)$  is convergent we must have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_f(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(n)$ .

Our usual Euler-Mclaurin formula

$$f(1) + \dots + f(n) = C(f) + \int_1^n f(t) dt + \frac{f(n)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(n) - \int_n^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(t)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(t) dt$$

writes

$$\boxed{\varphi_f(n) = C(f) + f(n) - R_f(n)}$$

with

$$R_f(n) = \frac{f(n)}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(n) + \int_n^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(t)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(t) dt - \int_1^n f(t) dt \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$C(f) = \frac{f(1)}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(1) + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(x)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(x) dx = R_f(1)$$

The relations  $\varphi_f(n) = C(f) + f(n) - R_f(n)$  and  $f(n+1) = \varphi_f(n+1) - \varphi_f(n)$  gives the difference equation

$$R_f(n) - R_f(n+1) = f(n)$$

## 1.2.2 A difference equation

By the preceding section it seems natural to define Ramanujan summation of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$  by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = R(1)$$

where the function  $R$  is solution of the difference equation

$$R(x) - R(x+1) = f(x)$$

But clearly that this equation is not sufficient to determine the function  $R$ , we need other conditions on the function  $R$ . Let us try to find these conditions.

We see by the preceding definition of  $R = R_f$  (eq. 1.3) that if  $f$  and his derivatives are sufficiently decreasing at  $+\infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} R(n) = - \int_1^{+\infty} f(x) dx$$

This condition involves the integral  $\int_1^{+\infty} f(x) dx$  which in the general case can be divergent thus we translated it in another form. Suppose we have a smooth function  $R_f$  solution of the difference equation

$$R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x) \text{ for all } x > 0$$

Integrating between  $k$  and  $k+1$  for all integer  $k \geq 1$ , and and summing on  $k$  we get

$$\int_1^{+\infty} f(x) dx = \int_1^2 R_f(x) dx - \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} R_f(x)$$

Thus the condition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} R_f(x) = - \int_1^{+\infty} f(x) dx$$

is equivalent for  $R_f$  to the condition

$$\int_1^2 R_f(x) dx = 0$$

Thus we can try to define the function  $R_f$  by the difference equation  $R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x)$  with the preceding condition. Unfortunately this does not specify the function  $R_f$  because we can add to  $R_f$  any combination of periodic functions  $x \mapsto e^{2i\pi kx}$ . To avoid this we add the hypothesis that  $R_f$  is analytic for  $Re(x) > 0$  of exponential type  $< 2\pi$ .

### Definition

A function  $g$  analytic for  $Re(x) > a$  is of exponential type  $< \alpha$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) if there exist  $\beta < \alpha$  such that

$$|g(x)| \leq C e^{\beta|x|} \text{ for } Re(x) > a$$

We define  $\mathcal{O}^\alpha$  the space of functions  $g$  analytic for  $Re(x) > a$  with some  $a < 1$  and of exponential type  $< \alpha$ . We say that  $f$  is of *moderate growth* if  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma 1**

Let  $R \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi}$  solution of  $R(x) - R(x+1) = 0$  with  $\int_1^2 R(x)dx = 0$ , then  $R = 0$ .

Proof

By the condition  $R(x) - R(x+1) = 0$ , we see that  $R$  can be extended to an entire function. And we can write

$$R(x) = R_0(e^{2i\pi x}),$$

with  $R_0$  is the analytic function given in  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  defined by  $R_0(z) = R(\frac{1}{2i\pi} \text{Log}(z))$  (where  $\text{Log}$  is defined by  $\text{Log}(re^{i\theta}) = \ln(r) + i\theta$  with  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ ).

The Laurent expansion  $R_0(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n z^n$  gives

$$R(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2i\pi n x},$$

where

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} R_0(re^{it}) e^{-int} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} R\left(\frac{t}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \ln(r)\right) e^{-int} dt \text{ for } r > 0.$$

The condition that  $R$  is of exponential type  $< 2\pi$  gives

$$|c_n| \leq \frac{1}{r^n} C e^{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} |\ln(r)|} \text{ with } \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} < 1.$$

If we let  $r \rightarrow 0$  we get  $c_n = 0$  for  $n < 0$  and if we let  $r \rightarrow +\infty$  then we get  $c_n = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . The condition  $\int_1^2 R(x)dx = 0$  then gives  $c_0 = 0$ .

□

**Theorem 1** If  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \leq 2\pi$  there exist a unique function  $R_f \in \mathcal{O}^\alpha$  such that  $R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x)$  with  $\int_1^2 R_f(x)dx = 0$ . This function is

$$R_f(x) = - \int_1^x f(t)dt + \frac{f(x)}{2} + i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(x+it) - f(x-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt \quad (1.4)$$

Proof


a) The unicity is given by the preceding lemma.

b) The function  $R_f$  defined by (2.3) is clearly in  $\mathcal{O}^\alpha$ .

c) Let us prove that  $R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x)$ , by analyticity it is sufficient to prove this for real  $x$ . Consider the integral

$$\int_\gamma f(z) \frac{1}{2i} \cot(\pi(z-x)) dz$$

with  $\gamma$  the path



By the residue theorem we have  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) \frac{1}{2i} \cot(\pi(z-x)) dz = f(x)$ . To evaluate the different contributions of the integral we use the formulas:

$$\frac{1}{2i} \cot(\pi(z-x)) = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{e^{-2i\pi(z-x)} - 1} \text{ when } \text{Im}(z) > 0$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2i} \cot(\pi(z-x)) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e^{2i\pi(z-x)} - 1} \text{ when } \text{Im}(z) < 0.$$

Let us examine the different contributions of the integral:

\* the semicircular path at  $x$  and  $x+1$  gives when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(x+1)$$

\* the horizontal lines gives

$$-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_x^{x+1} f(t+iy) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_x^{x+1} f(t-iy) dt$$

and two other terms which  $\rightarrow 0$  when  $y \rightarrow +\infty$  by the hypothesis that  $f$  of exponential type  $< 2\pi$ .


\* the vertical lines gives

$$i \int_{\varepsilon}^y \frac{f(x+it) - f(x-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt - i \int_{\varepsilon}^y \frac{f(x+1+it) - f(x+1-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^y f(x+it) idt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^y f(x-it) idt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^y f(x+1+it) idt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^y f(x+1-it) idt$$

If we add this term with the contributions of the horizontal lines we obtain the sum of the integrals of  $f$  on the paths



By Cauchy theorem this sum is

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_x^{x+1} f(t+i\varepsilon) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_x^{x+1} f(t-i\varepsilon) dt$$

which gives the contribution  $\int_x^{x+1} f(t) dt$  when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Finally we get when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $y \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{2}f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(x+1) \\ &+ i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(x+it) - f(x-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt \\ &- i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(x+1+it) - f(x+1-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt \\ &+ \int_x^{x+1} f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

This is  $f(x) = R_f(x) - R_f(x+1)$  with  $R_f$  given by (1.3).

d) It remains to prove that  $\int_1^2 R_f(x)dx = 0$ . By Fubini's theorem

$$\int_1^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(x+it) - f(x-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt dx = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_1^2 (f(x+it) - f(x-it)) dx \frac{1}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

We have for  $1 < x < 2$

$$\int_1^2 f(x+it) dx - \int_1^2 f(x-it) dx = F(2+it) - F(2-it) - (F(1+it) - F(1-it))$$

where  $F(x) = \int_1^x f(t)dt$ . Thus

$$\int_1^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(x+it) - f(x-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt dx = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(2+it) - F(2-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt - \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(1+it) - F(1-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

By the preceding result (applied with  $F$  in place of  $f$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \frac{1}{2}F(x) - \frac{1}{2}F(x+1) \\ &+ i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x+it) - F(x-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt \\ &- i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x+1+it) - F(x+1-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt \\ &+ \int_x^{x+1} F(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

With  $x = 1$  we get

$$i \int_1^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(x+it) - f(x-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt dx = -\frac{F(1) + F(2)}{2} + \int_1^2 F(t)dt$$

This gives

$$\int_1^2 R_f(x)dx = -\int_1^2 F(x)dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(x)dx - \left(\frac{F(1) + F(2)}{2}\right) + \int_1^2 F(t)dt = 0$$

□

### Remark: the Plana formula

The relation  $R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x)$  gives

$$\varphi_f(n) = f(1) + \dots + f(n) = R_f(1) + f(n) - R_f(n)$$

thus by (1.4) we get **the Plana formula**

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_f(n) &= \frac{f(1)}{2} + i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(1+it) - f(1-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt \\ &+ \frac{f(n)}{2} + \int_1^n f(t)dt - i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(n+it) - f(n-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt \end{aligned}$$



## 1.3 The summation

### 1.3.1 Definition and examples

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi}$  by the preceding theorem we can try to define the Ramanujan summation of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$  by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = R_f(1)$$

where  $R_f$  is the unique solution in  $\mathcal{O}^{2\pi}$  of

$$R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = 0 \text{ with } \int_1^2 R_f(x) dx = 0.$$

With this definition let us look at the sum

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sin(n\pi)$$

Let  $f(x) = \sin(\pi x)$  then

$$\sin(\pi x) - \sin(\pi(x+1)) = 2 \sin(\pi x)$$

thus

$$R_f(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{2} - \int_1^2 \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{2} dx = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi}$$

and we get the surprising result

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sin(\pi n) = \frac{1}{\pi}$$

On the other hand we have  $\sin(\pi n) = 0 = g(n)$  with the function  $g = 0$ . And we have  $R_g = 0$  which gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} 0 = 0$$

Thus we see that with the preceding definition the summation of  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$  not only on the values  $f(n)$  for integers  $n \geq 1$  but specially of the interpolation function  $f$ . To avoid this phenomenon we set the condition that  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ , with this condition we can apply Carlson's theorem which gives that the interpolation function  $f$  is uniquely determined by values  $f(n)$  for integers  $n \geq 1$ . Note that in this case the function  $R_f$  given by theorem 1 is also in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ .

#### Definition

If  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$ , then there exist a unique solution  $R_f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  of

$$R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x) \text{ with } \int_1^2 R_f(x) dx = 0$$

and we set

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = R_f(1)$$

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \frac{f(1)}{2} + i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(1+it) - f(1-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

We call this procedure, the Ramanujan summation of series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$ .

**Linearity**

Note that if  $a$  and  $b$  are complex numbers and  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ , then we verify Ramanujan summation has the property of linearity

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} af(n) + bg(n) = a \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + b \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(n)$$

**Real and imaginary**

Let  $g \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  is such that  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then for all  $t > 0$  we have by the reflection principle  $g(1 - it) = \overline{g(1 + it)}$  thus  $i(g(1 + it) - g(1 - it)) \in \mathbb{R}$  and we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(n) \in \mathbb{R}$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  let the functions  $Rf : x \mapsto \operatorname{Re}(f(x))$  and  $If : x \mapsto \operatorname{Im}(f(x))$  defined for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If the functions  $Rf$  and  $If$  have an analytic continuations that are in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$  then we can define the sums  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Re}(f(n))$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Im}(f(n))$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Re}(f(n)) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} Rf(n) \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Im}(f(n)) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} If(n) \end{aligned}$$

By linearity we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} Rf(n) + i \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} If(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} Rf(n) + i \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} If(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Re}(f(n)) + i \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Im}(f(n))$$

Since  $Rf(x)$  and  $If(x)$  are real for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)\right) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Re}(f(n)) \\ \operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)\right) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Im}(f(n)) \end{aligned}$$

**Remark**

Note that generally we can not write  $\overline{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \overline{f(n)}$  since the function  $\overline{f}$  is not analytic (if  $f$  is non constant). For example if  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z+i}$  then  $\overline{f}(z) = \frac{1}{\bar{z}+i}$  is not analytic but  $Rf(z) = \frac{z}{z^2+1}$  and  $If(z) = \frac{-1}{z^2+1}$  are analytic functions in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+i}\right) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{n}{n^2+1} \\ \operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+i}\right) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{-1}{n^2+1} \end{aligned}$$

**Examples**

1) If  $f(x) = e^{ax}$  with  $a \neq 0$  we have

$$\frac{e^{ax}}{1-e^a} - \frac{e^{a(x+1)}}{1-e^a} = e^{ax}$$

thus  $R_f(x) = \frac{e^{ax}}{1-e^a} - \int_1^2 \frac{e^{ax}}{1-e^a} dx$  this gives

$$R_f(x) = \frac{e^{ax}}{1-e^a} + \frac{e^a}{a}$$

If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a < \pi$  or  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|a| < \pi$  we get for  $a \neq 0$

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{an} = \frac{e^a}{1 - e^a} + \frac{e^a}{a}} \quad (1.5)$$

Note that for  $a = 0$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{an} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} 1 = \frac{1}{2} = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{e^a}{1 - e^a} + \frac{e^a}{a} \right)$$

Then if  $|t| < 1$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{i\pi nt} = \frac{1}{2} i \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi t}{2}}}{\sin(\frac{\pi t}{2})} - i \frac{e^{i\pi t}}{\pi t}$$

Thus if  $-1 < t < 1$

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \cos(\pi nt) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t} - \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sin(\pi nt) = \frac{1}{2} \cot\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) - \frac{\cos(\pi t)}{\pi t}}$$

2) By the definition  $\sum_n \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} z^n = \frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1}$  we verify that the Bernoulli polynomials are solution of

$$\frac{B_{k+1}(x+1)}{k+1} - \frac{B_{k+1}(x)}{k+1} = x^k$$

and we have

$$\int_1^2 \frac{B_{k+1}(x)}{k+1} dx = \int_0^1 \frac{B_{k+1}(x+1)}{k+1} dx = \int_0^1 x^k dx = \frac{1}{k+1}$$

Thus if  $f(x) = x^k$  where  $k$  is an integer  $\geq 0$  then

$$R_f(x) = \frac{1 - B_{k+1}(x)}{k+1}$$

Thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k = \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \text{ if } k \geq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} 1 = \frac{1}{2}}$$

Thus  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$  can be evaluated in terms of Bernoulli numbers for any polynomial  $f$ .

3) Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^z}$  for  $Re(z) > 1$  then

$$R_f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^z} - \int_1^2 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^z} dx$$

The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^z}$  is uniformly convergent for  $x \in [1, 2]$  then

$$\int_1^2 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^z} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int_1^2 \frac{1}{(n+x)^z} dx = \frac{1}{z-1} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{(n+1)^{z-1}} - \frac{1}{(n+2)^{z-1}} \right)$$

thus

$$R_f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^z} - \frac{1}{z-1} = \zeta(z, x) - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

with  $(x, z) \mapsto \zeta(z, x)$  the Hurwitz zeta function.

Note that for all  $z \neq 1$  the Hurwitz zeta function  $(x, z) \mapsto \zeta(z, x)$  is defined and we have

$$\zeta(z, x) - \zeta(z, x+1) = \frac{1}{x^z}$$

and  $\int_1^2 \zeta(z, x) dx = \frac{1}{z-1}$ . Thus

$$R_{1/x^z}(x) = \zeta(z, x) - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

and for all  $z \neq 1$

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^z} = \zeta(z) - \frac{1}{z-1}}$$

4) If  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  then

$$R_f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+x} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) - \int_1^2 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+x} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) dx$$

This last integral is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\text{Log}(n+1) - \text{Log}(n) - \frac{1}{n}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Log}(N+1) - \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} = -\gamma$$

Thus

$$R_f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+x} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) + \gamma$$

and

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \gamma}$$

Note that the function  $\Psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$  verify

$$\Psi(x+1) - \Psi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

with  $\int_1^2 \Psi(x) dx = 0$ . Thus

$$R_{\frac{1}{x}} = -\Psi(x)$$

If  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^{k+1}}$  with  $k$  integer  $> 0$  then

$$\partial^k \Psi(x+1) - \partial^k \Psi(x) = (-1)^k k! \frac{1}{x^{k+1}}$$

with

$$\int_1^2 \partial^k \Psi(x) dx = \partial^{k-1} \psi(2) - \partial^{k-1} \psi(1) = (-1)^{k-1} (k-1)!$$

Thus

$$R_{\frac{1}{x^{k+1}}} = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} \partial^k \Psi - \frac{1}{k}$$

5) If  $f(x) = \text{Log}(x)$  then  $R_f = -\text{Log} \Gamma + \int_1^2 \text{Log} \Gamma(t) dt$  and  $\int_1^2 \text{Log} \Gamma(t) dt = -1 + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi})$  thus

$$R_{\text{Log}}(x) = -\text{Log}(\Gamma(x)) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1$$

and

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) = \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1}$$

### 1.3.2 The $\varphi_f$ function

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  and a function  $\varphi$  analytic for  $Re(x) > a$  with  $-1 < a < 0$  and of exponential type  $< \pi$  solution of

$$\varphi(x) - \varphi(x-1) = f(x) \text{ with } \varphi(0) = 0$$

If we set

$$R(x) = -\varphi(x-1) + \int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx$$

then  $R$  is an analytic function for  $Re(x) > a+1$  and of exponential type  $< \pi$  solution of  $R(x) - R(x+1) = f(x)$  with  $\int_1^2 R(x) dx = 0$ , thus  $R = R_f$  and we get

$$\varphi(x) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx - R_f(x+1)$$

The condition  $\varphi(0) = 0$  gives  $\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx = R_f(1)$ . Conversely if  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  and if we set

$$\varphi_f(x) = R_f(1) - R_f(x+1)$$

then  $\varphi_f$  is analytic for  $Re(x) > a$  with  $-1 < a < 0$  and of exponential type  $< \pi$  and is solution of

$$\varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x-1) = f(x) \text{ with } \varphi_f(0) = 0$$

Thus we get the

#### Equivalent definition of Ramanujan summation:

If  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  then there exist a unique analytic function  $x \mapsto \varphi_f(x)$  for  $Re(x) > a$  with  $-1 < a < 0$  and of exponential type  $< \pi$  solution of

$$\varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x-1) = f(x) \text{ with } \varphi_f(0) = 0$$

And we set

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \int_0^1 \varphi_f(x) dx$$

#### Remark

The relation  $\varphi_f(x) = R_f(1) - R_f(x+1)$  gives

$$\varphi_f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - R_f(x) + f(x)$$

We have

$$\varphi_f(n) = R_f(1) - R_f(n+1) = f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(n)$$

Thus the function  $\varphi_f(x)$  is an interpolation function of the partial sums of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$ , Ramanujan write

$$\varphi_f(x) = f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(x)$$

The sum  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$  is then the constant term  $C(f)$  in the MacLaurin expansion

$$\varphi_f(x) = C(f) + \int_1^x f(t) dt + \frac{f(x)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f(x) - \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}(t)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(t) dt$$

#### Examples

1) For  $z \neq 1$  we have

$$\varphi_{1/x^z}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^z} - \left( \zeta(z, x) - \frac{1}{z-1} \right) + \frac{1}{x^z}$$

thus

$$\varphi_{1/x^z}(x) = \zeta(z) - \zeta(z, x) + \frac{1}{x^z}$$

For  $z = 1$  we have

$$1 + \dots + \frac{1}{x} = \varphi_{\frac{1}{x}}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} - R_{\frac{1}{x}}(x+1) = \gamma + \Psi(x+1)$$

2) We have  $\text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1)) - \text{Log}(\Gamma(x)) = \text{Log}(x)$  and  $\text{Log}(\Gamma(1)) = 0$  thus

$$\varphi_{\text{Log}}(x) = \text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1))$$

### 1.3.3 Relation to usual summation.

By the definition of  $R_f$  we have  $R_f(1) - R_f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(k)$ . Thus the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$  is convergent if and only if  $R_f(n)$  has a finite limit when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and in this case

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\infty} f(n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} R_f(n)$$

In some cases  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} R_f(n)$  is simply related to the integral of the function  $f$ . We have

$$R_f(n) = \frac{f(n)}{2} - \int_1^n f(t)dt + i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(n+it) - f(n-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

If  $f(z) \rightarrow 0$  when  $\text{Re}(z) \rightarrow +\infty$  then by the dominated convergence theorem we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(n+it) - f(n-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

If the integral  $\int_1^{+\infty} f(t)dt$  is convergent then  $R_f(n)$  has a finite limit when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} R_f(n) = - \int_1^{+\infty} f(t)dt$$

#### Conclusion

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  with  $f(z) \rightarrow 0$  when  $\text{Re}(z) \rightarrow +\infty$ . If the integral  $\int_1^{+\infty} f(t)dt$  is convergent then the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n)$  is convergent and we have

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\infty} f(n) - \int_1^{+\infty} f(x)dx} \quad (1.7)$$

#### Remark

This relation can be obtained with other hypothesis on  $f$ :  
if the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(x+n)$  is convergent for  $\text{Re}(x) > 0$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+n) - \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+1+n) = f(x)$$

thus if  $x \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+n)$  is in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$  we get

$$R_f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+n) - \int_1^2 \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+n)dx$$

If

$$\int_1^2 \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+n)dx = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} \int_1^2 f(x+n)dx = \int_1^{+\infty} f(x)dx$$

then

$$R_f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f(x+n) - \int_1^{+\infty} f(x) dx. \quad (1.8)$$

In this case we have

$$\varphi_f(x) = R_f(1) - R_f(x+1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} (f(n) - f(n+x))$$

Note that if this last series is convergent and if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$  then we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} (f(n) - f(n+x)) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} (f(n) - f(n+x-1)) = f(x)$$

and  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} (f(n) - f(n+0)) = 0$  thus we get

$$\varphi_f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} (f(n) - f(n+x))$$

a formula that Ramanujan uses in some places.

**Example**

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+x} \right) = \varphi_{\frac{1}{x}}(x) = \Psi(x+1) + \gamma$$

Thus

$$\text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1)) = -\gamma x - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{x}{n} \right)$$

that is

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(x+1)} = e^{\gamma x} \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{x}{n} \right) e^{-\frac{x}{n}}$$

## Chapter 2

# Properties of the Ramanujan summation

### 2.1 Some elementary properties

#### 2.1.1 The unusual property of the shift.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  by linearity we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (f(n) - f(n+1))$$

Let  $g(x) = f(x) - f(x+1)$  then  $R_g(x) = f(x) - \int_1^2 f(x)dx$  thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (f(n) - f(n+1)) = f(1) - \int_1^2 f(x)dx$$

We get

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - f(1) + \int_1^2 f(x)dx}$$

We see that the usual properties of summation of convergent series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(n+1) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(n) - f(1)$$

*is not satisfied by Ramanujan summation.*

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  and  $x > 0$  if  $g(u) = f(u+x)$  then we verify immediately that

$$R_g(u) = R_f(u+x) - \int_{x+1}^{x+2} R_f(u)du$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+x) = R_f(x+1) - \int_{x+1}^{x+2} R_f(u)du$$

Integrating the equation  $R_f(u) - R_f(u+1) = f(u)$  between 1 and  $x+1$  we find that

$$\int_1^{x+1} f(u)du = - \int_{x+1}^{x+2} R_f(u)du \tag{2.1}$$

thus without any hypothesis of convergence we have



$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+x) = R_f(x+1) + \int_1^{x+1} f(u)du = R_f(x) - f(x) + \int_1^{x+1} f(t)dt \quad (2.2)$$

For a positive integer  $x = m$  we have  $R_f(m+1) = R_f(1) - \sum_{n=1}^m f(n)$  thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+m) = R_f(1) - \sum_{n=1}^m f(n) + \int_1^{m+1} f(u)du$$

### Conclusion

If  $m$  is a positive integer we have the *shift property*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+m) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n=1}^m f(n) + \int_1^{m+1} f(x)dx \quad (2.3)$$

### Examples

1) Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  and  $H_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k}$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+m} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} - H_m + \int_1^{m+1} \frac{1}{x} dx = \gamma - H_m + \text{Log}(m+1)$$

Since  $R_{\frac{1}{x}} = -\Psi(x)$  the formula (2.2) gives more generally

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+x} = -\Psi(x+1) + \text{Log}(x+1) \quad (2.4)$$

If  $0 < p < q$  are integers then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n + \frac{p}{q}} = -\Psi\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) - \frac{q}{p} + \text{Log}\left(\frac{p}{q} + 1\right)$$

Thus by Gauss formula (c.f. Lehmer) we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{p+nq} = \frac{1}{q}\gamma + \frac{1}{q}\text{Log}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right) + \frac{\pi}{2q} \cot\left(\pi\frac{p}{q}\right) - \frac{2}{q} \sum_{0 < k < q/2} \cos(2\pi k \frac{p}{q}) \text{Log}\left(\sin\left(\pi\frac{k}{q}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\text{Log}\left(\frac{p}{q} + 1\right)$$

2) For  $z \neq 1$  the formula (2.2) gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+x)^z} = \zeta(z, x) - \frac{1}{x^z} + \frac{1}{z-1} \frac{1}{(x+1)^{z-1}}$$

where  $\zeta$  is the Hurwitz zeta function.

3) For  $f(x) = \text{Log}(x)$  we get by (2.2)

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n+x) = -\text{Log}(\Gamma(x)) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1 - \text{Log}(x) + (x+1)\text{Log}(x+1) - x$$

This gives

$$\text{Log}(\Gamma(x)) = -\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{n}{x}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Log}(x) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) + x\text{Log}(x) - x + (x+1)\text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) - 1$$

thus we get the Stirling formula

$$\text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1)) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Log}(x) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) + x\text{Log}(x) - x + R(x)$$

with

$$R(x) = (x+1)\text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) - 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{n}{x}\right)$$

**Remark**

The relation  $\varphi_f(x) = R_f(1) - R_f(x+1)$  gives

$$\varphi_f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+x) + \int_1^{x+1} f(u)du$$

### 2.1.2 Functional relations for $\varphi_f$

**Theorem 2** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  and an integer  $N > 1$  then

$$R_{f(x/N)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_f\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x)dx \quad (2.5)$$

We get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(n - \frac{k}{N}\right) \right] - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_1^{k/N} f(x)dx - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x)dx \quad (2.6)$$

**Proof**

The function

$$R(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_f\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) = R_f\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) + R_f\left(\frac{x+1}{N}\right) + \dots + R_f\left(\frac{x+N-1}{N}\right)$$

satisfies

$$R(x) - R(x+1) = R_f\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - R_f\left(\frac{x}{N} + 1\right) = f\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)$$

thus

$$R_{f\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_f\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) - \int_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_f\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right)dx$$

but we have from (2.1)

$$\int_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_f\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right)dx = N \int_{\frac{1}{N}}^{\frac{1}{N}+1} R_f(x)dx = N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x)dx$$

Thus

$$R_{f(x/N)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_f\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x)dx$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_f\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x)dx$$

We have by (2.2)

$$R_f\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(n - 1 + \frac{k+1}{N}\right) - \int_1^{(k+1)/N} f(x)dx$$

thus we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(n-1 + \frac{k+1}{N}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_1^{k/N} f(x) dx - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x) dx$$

which is

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(n - \frac{k}{N}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_1^{k/N} f(x) dx - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x) dx$$

□

**Remark**

If  $g \in \mathcal{O}^{\pi/N}$  then with  $f : x \mapsto g(Nx)$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(Nn - k) \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N} \int_k^N g(x) dx - \int_1^N g(x) dx$$

Thus if  $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\pi/2}$  then we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n-1) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(x) dx \quad (2.7)$$

For  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(2)$$

thus  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2n-1} = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(2)$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\pi}$  and an integer  $N > 1$  then

$$\varphi_{f(x/N)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi_f\left(\frac{x-j}{N}\right) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) - N \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x) dx$$

which is the entry 7 Ch VI of Ramanujan Notebook corrected with the integral term.

Proof

We can write eq (2.5) in the form

$$R_{f(x/N)}(x+1) = R_f\left(\frac{x+1}{N}\right) + R_f\left(\frac{x+2}{N}\right) + \dots + R_f\left(\frac{x+N}{N}\right) - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x) dx$$

with  $R_{f(x/N)}(x+1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) - \varphi_{f(x/N)}(x)$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) - \varphi_{f(x/N)}(x) = N \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \left[ \varphi_f\left(\frac{x+1-N}{N}\right) + \varphi_f\left(\frac{x+2-N}{N}\right) + \dots + \varphi_f\left(\frac{x+N-N}{N}\right) \right] - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x) dx$$

□

**Corollary**

Since  $\varphi_{f(x/N)}(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi_f(0) = 0$  then we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \varphi_f\left(\frac{-k}{N}\right) = N \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) - N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x) dx$$

a formula that Ramanujan gives without the correcting integral term.

**Examples**

1) If  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  we have  $\varphi_f(x) = \gamma + \Psi(x+1)$  and in this case we have also  $f(x/N) = Nf(x)$  thus  $\varphi_{f(x/N)}(x) = N\varphi_f(x)$  and the preceding theorem gives

$$N(\gamma + \Psi(x+1)) = \gamma + \Psi\left(\frac{x}{N} + 1\right) + \dots + \gamma + \Psi\left(\frac{x+1}{N}\right) + N\gamma - N\gamma + N\text{Log}(N)$$

thus

$$N\Psi(x+1) = \Psi\left(\frac{x+1}{N}\right) + \dots + \Psi\left(\frac{x+N}{N}\right) + N\text{Log}(N)$$

we get the well known formula

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Psi\left(\frac{x-k}{N}\right) + \text{Log}(N)$$

2) If  $f(x) = \text{Log}(x)$  then  $\varphi_f(x) = \text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1))$  and  $f(x/N) = \text{Log}(x) - \text{Log}(N)$  thus

$$\varphi_{f(x/N)}(x) = \varphi_{\text{Log}(x)} - \varphi_{\text{Log}(N)}(x) = \text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1)) - x\text{Log}(N)$$

With the preceding theorem we get

$$\text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1)) = \sum_{k=1}^N \text{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) + \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)\text{Log}(N) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi})$$

taking the exponential we get the Gauss formula for the Gamma function.

3) If  $f(x) = \frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x}$  then  $f(x/N) = N\frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x} - N\text{Log}(N)\frac{1}{x}$ , thus

$$\varphi_{f(x/N)}(x) = N\varphi_f(x) - N\text{Log}(N)(\gamma + \Psi(x+1))$$

and we get

$$N\varphi_f(x) - N\text{Log}(N)\Psi(x+1) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi_f\left(\frac{x-j}{N}\right) + N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x)dx$$

this gives

$$\varphi_{\frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x}}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{\frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x}}\left(\frac{x-j}{N}\right) + \text{Log}(N)\Psi(x+1) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Log}^2(N)$$

which is entry 17 of chapter 8.

4) If  $f(x) = \text{Log}^2(x)$  then  $f(x/N) = \text{Log}^2(x) - 2\text{Log}(x)\text{Log}(N) + \text{Log}^2(N)$ , thus

$$\varphi_{f(x/N)}(x) = \varphi_f(x) - 2\text{Log}(N)\text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1)) + x\text{Log}^2(N)$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_f(x) - 2\text{Log}(N)\text{Log}(\Gamma(x+1)) + x\text{Log}^2(N) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi_f\left(\frac{x-j}{N}\right) \\ &+ (1-N) \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2(n) - 2\text{Log}(N)(\text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Log}^2(N) \\ &+ N \int_{1/N}^1 f(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

this gives

$$\varphi_f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi_f\left(\frac{x-j}{N}\right) + 2\text{Log}(N)\text{Log}\left(\frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + x\right)\text{Log}^2(N) - (N-1)\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2(n) - 2\right)$$

this is entry 18(ii) of chapter 8 with  $C = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2(n) - 2$  (note that the constant  $C$  in entry 18 of Ramanujan is  $C_0(f)$  and that  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2(n)$  is  $C_1(f)$  and  $C_1(f) - C_0(f) = \int_0^1 \text{Log}^2(x)dx = 2$ )

### 2.1.3 Summation on $\mathbb{Z}$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  such that the function  $x \mapsto f(-x + 1)$  is also in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ . Then we can try to define  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$  by breaking the sum in two parts

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(-n + 1)$$

But to get a coherent definition this sum must be independent on the breaking point, thus we must have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n + m) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(-n + 1 + m)$$

Now by the shift property we find easily that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n + m) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(-n + 1 + m) - \int_m^{m+1} f(x) dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(-n + 1) - \int_0^1 f(x) dx$$

Thus we get the following definition:

#### Definition

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  such that the function  $x \mapsto f(-x + 1)$  is also in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ . Then we define  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$  by

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(-n + 1) - \int_0^1 f(x) dx$$

#### Remark

With this definition in a case of convergence we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(n) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(-n + 1) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) dx$$

#### Examples

1) Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|a| < \pi$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Let  $f(x) = e^{ax}$  then the divergent calculation

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{an} = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{an} + e^a \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-an} = \frac{e^a}{1 - e^a} + \frac{1}{1 - e^{-a}} = 0$$

is perfectly rigorous if we take our preceding definition since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{an} &= \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{an} + e^a \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-an} - \int_0^1 e^{ax} dx \\ &= \left( \frac{e^a}{1 - e^a} + \frac{e^a}{a} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-a}} - \frac{1}{a} \right) - \frac{e^a - 1}{a} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Summation and derivation

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  there is a very simple relation between  $\partial R_f$  and  $R_{\partial f}$ . This is a consequence of the fact that

$$\partial R_f(x) - \partial R_f(x + 1) = \partial f(x)$$

thus  $R_{\partial f} = \partial R_f - \int_1^2 \partial R_f(x) dx$  by  $\int_1^2 \partial R_f(x) dx = -f(1)$  we get

$$\boxed{R_{\partial f}(x) = \partial R_f(x) + f(1)} \quad (2.8)$$

The relation  $\varphi_f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - R_f(x+1)$  gives also

$$\boxed{\varphi_{\partial f}(x) = \partial \varphi_f(x) - f(1) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \partial f(n)} \quad (2.9)$$

**Theorem 4** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_k}{k!} \partial^{k-1} f(1) + (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^1 R_{\partial^m f}(t+1) \frac{B_m(t)}{m!} dt \quad (2.10)$$

Thus if  $F(x) = F_1(x) = \int_1^x f(t) dt$  and for  $k \geq 2$  let  $F_k(x) = \int_1^x F_{k-1}(t) dt$ , then we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F_m(n) = (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^1 R_f(t+1) \frac{B_m(t)}{m!} dt$$

For  $m = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F(n) = \int_1^2 t R_f(t) dt$$

Proof

We have  $B_1(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$  thus

$$0 = \int_0^1 R_f(t+1) dt = \int_0^1 R_f(t+1) \partial B_1(t) dt$$

integrating by parts we get with the relation (2.8)

$$R_f(1) = \frac{1}{2} f(1) + \int_0^1 R_{\partial f}(t+1) B_1(t) dt$$

If we continue integration by parts we find

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_k}{k!} \partial^{k-1} f(1) + (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^1 R_{\partial^m f}(t+1) \frac{B_m(t)}{m!} dt$$

□

### Remark

If  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $N$  then  $\partial^{N+1} f = 0$  thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = - \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \partial^k f(1)$$

### Example

Let  $f(x) = 1/x$ . We have  $F_1(x) = \text{Log}(x)$ ,  $F_2(x) = x \text{Log}(x) - x + 1$ ,  $F_3(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \text{Log}(x) - \frac{3}{4} x^2 + x - \frac{1}{4}$  and more generally we have

$$F_k(x) = \frac{x^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \text{Log}(x) + P_k(x)$$

where the  $P_k$  are the polynomials defined by  $P_1 = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} P'_k(x) &= P_{k-1}(x) - \frac{x^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} \text{ if } k \geq 2 \\ P_k(1) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) &= - \int_0^1 \psi(t+1) B_1(t) dt \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \text{Log}(n) &= \int_0^1 \psi(t+1) \frac{B_2(t)}{2!} dt + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} 1 \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{n^2}{2} \text{Log}(n) &= - \int_0^1 \psi(t+1) \frac{B_3(t)}{3!} dt + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^2 - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} 1\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \text{Log}(n) = (-1)^{k-1} \int_0^1 \psi(t+1) \frac{B_{k+1}(t)}{(k+1)!} dt - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} P_{k+1}(n)$$

**Theorem 5** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=1}^n f(k) = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F(n) \text{ with } F(x) = \int_1^x f(t) dt$$

Proof

We use

$$x R_f(x) - (x+1) R_f(x+1) = x f(x) - R_f(x+1)$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) - R_f(n+1) = 1 R_f(1) - \int_1^2 x R_f(x) dx$$

Since  $\int_1^2 x R_f(x) dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F(n)$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} R_f(n+1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \int_1^2 x R_f(x) dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F(n)$$

With  $R_f(n+1) = R_f(1) - \sum_{k=1}^n f(k)$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} R_f(1) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=1}^n f(k) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F(n)$$

since  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} R_f(1) = \frac{1}{2} R_f(1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$  we get our assertion.

□

**Example**

We have for all  $s$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^s} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s} - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^n t^{-s} dt$$

For  $\text{Re}(s) > 2$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^s} = \frac{3}{2} \zeta(s) - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{s-1} - \zeta(s-1) + \frac{1}{s-2} - \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{s-1}}\right)$$

Let  $H_n^{(s)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^s}$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} H_n^{(s)} = \frac{3}{2}\zeta(s) - \frac{s-2}{s-1}\zeta(s-1) - \frac{1}{s-1}$$

For  $s = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \log(n)$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} H_n = \frac{3}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2} - \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi})$$

For  $s = 2$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^2} - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \left(\frac{1}{n} - 1\right)$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} H_n^{(2)} = \frac{3}{2}\zeta(2) - 2$$

**Remark.** We can write the result of the theorem in the form

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \varphi_f(n) = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) - \int_1^2 x R_f(x) dx$$

This can be generalized to the sums  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)g(n)$  where  $f$  and  $g$  are of moderate growth. We have

$$R_f(x)R_g(x) - R_f(x+1)R_g(x+1) = R_f(x)g(x) + f(x)(R_g(x) - g(x))$$

and we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \varphi_f(n)g(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \varphi_g(n)f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)g(n) + \int_1^2 R_f(x)R_g(x) dx$$

For  $g = \partial f$  we have

$$\int_1^2 R_f(x)R_{\partial f}(x) dx = \int_1^2 R_f(x)\partial R_f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}(R_f(2))^2 - R_f(1)^2 = \frac{1}{2}f(1)^2 - f(1)R_f(1)$$

thus we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \varphi_f(n)\partial f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \varphi_{\partial f}(n)f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \partial f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)\partial f(n) + \frac{1}{2}f(1)^2 - f(1) \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$$

## 2.3 The case of an entire function

**Theorem 6** *Let  $f$  an entire function defined by*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{c_k}{k!} x^k \text{ with } |c_k| \leq C\tau^k$$



where  $\tau < \pi$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} c_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

By the fact that  $B_{2k+1} = 0$  for  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} f(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_{2k-1} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}$$

Thus in a case of convergence we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} f(n) = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} f(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_{2k-1} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!}$$

Proof

Let us evaluate  $R_f$ . We have

$$R_{x^k} = \frac{1 - B_{k+1}(x)}{k+1}$$

thus we consider the function

$$x \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{c_k}{(k+1)!} - \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{B_{k+1}(x)}{(k+1)!}$$

By  $\frac{te^{xz}}{e^z-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} z^n$  and the Cauchy integral formula we have for  $0 < r < 2\pi$

$$\frac{B_{k+1}(x)}{(k+1)!} = \frac{1}{2\pi r^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{xre^{it}}}{e^{re^{it}} - 1} e^{-ikt} dt$$

thus for  $Re(x) > 0$  we get

$$\left| \frac{B_{k+1}(x)}{(k+1)!} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^k} e^{r|x|} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|e^{re^{it}} - 1|} dt = C_r r^{-k} e^{r|x|}$$

For  $\tau < r < 2\pi$  this prove that the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{B_{k+1}(x)}{(k+1)!}$  is uniformly convergent and define an analytic function of exponential type  $< 2\pi$  for  $Re(x) > 0$ . By

$$\int_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{1 - B_{k+1}(x)}{(k+1)!} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k \int_1^2 \frac{1 - B_{k+1}(x)}{(k+1)!} dx = 0$$

we have

$$R_f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{1 - B_{k+1}(x)}{(k+1)!}$$

Thus

$$R_f(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{1 - B_{k+1}(1)}{(k+1)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{c_k}{(k+1)!} - c_0 B_1(1) - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{B_{k+1}(1)}{(k+1)!}$$

this gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} c_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx - \frac{1}{2} f(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

□

**Remarks**

1) If we write  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial^k f(0)}{k!} x^k$  the preceding theorem is nothing else than

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial^k f(0)}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k$$

If we use the expansion of  $f$  at 1 then we find

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial^k f(1)}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)^k = \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \partial^k f(1) \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} = - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \partial^{k-1} f(1) \frac{B_k}{k!}$$

2) The preceding theorem is not valid if  $f$  is not entire: take  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2t^2}$  then if we apply the preceding result we find

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1+x^2t^2} dx - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2t} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{which is not} \quad \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{1+n^2t^2} = \frac{\pi}{2t} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{t} \frac{1}{e^{2\pi/t} - 1}$$

**Example**

With  $p$  integer  $> 0$  and  $0 < t < \pi/p$  let  $f(x) = \frac{\sin^p(xt)}{x^p}$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $f(0) = t^p$ . This function is entire and even thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\sin^p(nt)}{n^p} = \int_0^1 \frac{\sin^p(xt)}{x^p} dx - \frac{1}{2} t^p}$$

We are in a case of convergence thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin^p(nt)}{n^p} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\sin^p(nt)}{n^p} + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin^p(xt)}{x^p} dx = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin^p(xt)}{x^p} dx - \frac{1}{2} t^p$$

this gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin^p(nt)}{n^p} = t^{p-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin^p(x)}{x^p} dx - \frac{1}{2} t^p$$

With  $p = 1$  we get for  $0 < t < \pi$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(nt)}{n} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx - \frac{1}{2} t$$

Note that for  $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$  we find easily the value of  $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(n\frac{\pi}{2})}{n} + \frac{\pi}{4} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2n-1} - \frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

**Remark**

The preceding theorem gives easily the sum of some trigonometric series.

Let  $0 < t < 1$  and  $f$  the entire function

$$f(x) = \frac{\cos(\pi xt) - 1}{x^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\pi^{2k} t^{2k}}{2k(2k-1)(2k-2)!} x^{2k-2}$$

This function is even and we have  $f(0) = -\frac{\pi^2 t^2}{2}$ .

Then by the preceding theorem we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\cos(\pi nt) - 1}{n^2} = \int_0^1 \frac{\cos(\pi xt) - 1}{x^2} dx + \frac{\pi^2 t^2}{4}$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\cos(\pi nt)}{n^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^2} + \int_0^1 \frac{\cos(\pi xt) - 1}{x^2} dx + \frac{\pi^2 t^2}{4} \quad (2.11)$$

Since we are in a case of convergence then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos(\pi nt)}{n^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} + \int_0^1 \frac{\cos(\pi xt) - 1}{x^2} dx + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\cos(\pi xt)}{x^2} dx - 1 + \frac{\pi^2 t^2}{4}$$

Integrating by parts we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\cos(\pi xt) - 1}{x^2} dx + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\cos(\pi xt)}{x^2} dx = 1 - \pi t \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\pi xt)}{x} dx = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 t$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos(\pi nt)}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 t + \frac{1}{4} \pi^2 t^2 \quad (2.12)$$

### 2.3.1 Expression of Catalan's constant

The Catalan's constant  $G$  is defined by

$$G = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}n)}{n^2}$$

Let the entire function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) - \frac{\pi}{2x} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2k+1} \frac{x^{2k-1}}{(2k+1)!}$$

We have by the preceding theorem

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}n)}{n^2} - \frac{\pi}{2n} = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t)}{t^2} - \frac{\pi}{2t}\right) dt - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2k+1} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1)!}$$

Since we are in a case of convergence then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}n)}{n^2} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}n)}{n^2} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t)}{t^2} dt = G - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t)}{t^2} dt$$

thus

$$G - \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t)}{t^2} - \frac{\pi}{2t}\right) dt + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t)}{t^2} dt - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2k+1} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1)!}$$

With

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t)}{t^2} - \frac{\pi}{2t}\right) dt + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t)}{t^2} dt = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \text{Log}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma$$

we get

$$\boxed{G = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \text{Log}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2k+1} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k+1)!}}$$

### 2.3.2 The sum $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-zn}}{n}$

For  $0 < z < \pi$  let the entire function

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-zx} - 1}{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{z^{k+1}}{k+1} x^k$$

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-zn} - 1}{n} = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-zx} - 1}{x} dx + \frac{1}{2}z - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k+1} \frac{z^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-zn}}{n} = \gamma + \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-zx} - 1}{x} dx + \frac{1}{2}z - \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k \frac{B_k}{k}$$

Since  $B_{2j+1} = 0$  for  $j \geq 1$  this last sum is  $\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} z^k \frac{B_k}{k}$  and his derivative is

$$\partial \left( \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} z^k \frac{B_k}{k} \right) = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} B_k = \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{z}{e^z - 1} - 1 + \frac{1}{2}z \right) = \frac{e^{-z}}{1 - e^{-z}} - \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2}$$

which gives

$$\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k \frac{B_k}{k} = \text{Log}(1 - e^{-z}) - \text{Log}(z) + \frac{1}{2}z$$

Finally we get for  $0 < z < \pi$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-zn}}{n} = \gamma + \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-zx} - 1}{x} dx - \text{Log}(1 - e^{-z}) + \text{Log}(z) \quad (2.13)$$

Since we are in a case of convergence then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-zn}}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-zn}}{n} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-zx}}{x} dx = -\text{Log}(1 - e^{-z}) - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-zx}}{x} dx$$

thus we get

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-zx}}{x} dx + \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-zx} - 1}{x} dx = -\gamma - \text{Log}(z) \quad (2.14)$$

#### Remark

Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn^\alpha}$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $0 < t < \pi$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn^\alpha} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-tn^\alpha} + \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-tx^\alpha} dx$$

With

$$e^{-tn^\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k t^k}{k!} n^{\alpha k}$$

and  $R_{x^{\alpha k}}(x) = \zeta(-\alpha k, x) + \frac{1}{\alpha k + 1}$  (with  $(x, z) \mapsto \zeta(z, x)$  the Hurwitz zeta function) we get

$$R_{e^{-tx^\alpha}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k t^k}{k!} \left( \zeta(-\alpha k, x) + \frac{1}{\alpha k + 1} \right)$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn^\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k t^k}{k!} \zeta(-\alpha k) + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k t^k}{k!} \frac{1}{\alpha k + 1} + \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-tx^\alpha} dx$$

and we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn^\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k t^k}{k!} \zeta(-\alpha k) + \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-tx^\alpha} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k t^k}{k!} \zeta(-\alpha k) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

Note that this formula is not valid for  $\alpha = 2$  since it gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn^2} = \zeta(0) + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

but the true formula is known to involves exponentially small terms when  $t \rightarrow 0$  (cf. Bellman)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn^2} = \zeta(0) + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{\pi} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-\pi^2 n^2 / t}$$

## 2.4 A surprising relation

Let  $f$  of moderate growth then for  $0 < z < \pi$  then we prove the "surprising relation"

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} \varphi_f(n) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} f(n) - \frac{e^{-z}}{z} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - e^{-z} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{nz} \int_1^n e^{-zt} f(t) dt}$$

Proof

By the shift property we can write for  $|z| < \pi$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} \varphi_f(n) &= e^z \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-(n+1)z} \varphi_f(n+1) - e^z \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-(n+1)z} f(n+1) \\ &= e^z \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} \varphi_f(n) - \varphi_f(1) + e^z \int_1^2 e^{-zx} \varphi_f(x) dx \\ &\quad - e^z \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} f(n) + f(1) - e^z \int_1^2 e^{-zx} f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} \varphi_f(n) &= \frac{e^z}{1 - e^z} \int_1^2 e^{-zx} (\varphi_f(x) - f(x)) dx - \frac{e^z}{1 - e^z} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} f(n) \\ &= \frac{e^z}{1 - e^z} \int_1^2 e^{-zx} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - R_f(x) \right) dx - \frac{e^z}{1 - e^z} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} f(n) \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} \varphi_f(n) = -\frac{e^{-z}}{z} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \frac{e^z}{1 - e^z} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} f(n) - \frac{e^z}{1 - e^z} \int_1^2 e^{-zx} R_f(x) dx$$

It remains to prove that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{nz} \int_1^n e^{-zt} f(t) dt = \frac{e^{2z}}{1 - e^z} \int_1^2 e^{-zt} R_f(t) dt$$

Let  $G$  the function defined by

$$G(x, z) = e^{zx} \int_1^x e^{-zt} f(t) dt$$

We can evaluate  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} G(n, z)$  by observing that the function  $G$  is the solution of the differential equation

$$\partial_x G - zG = f \text{ with } G(1) = 0$$

The condition  $G(1) = 0$  gives  $R_{\partial_x G} = \partial_x R_G$  thus the function  $R_G$  is the solution of the differential equation

$$\partial_x R_G - zR_G = R_f$$

This gives

$$R_G(x, z) = Ke^{zx} + e^{zx} \int_1^x e^{-zt} R_f(t) dt$$

With the condition  $\int_1^2 R_G(x) dx = 0$  and integration by parts we get gives

$$K = -\frac{e^z}{e^z - 1} \int_1^2 e^{-zt} R_f(t) dt$$

thus  $R_G(1, z) = Ke^z$  gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{nz} \int_1^n e^{-zt} f(t) dt = \frac{e^{2z}}{1 - e^z} \int_1^2 e^{-zt} R_f(t) dt$$

□

### Remark

Let  $F_0 = f$  and for  $k \geq 1$  let  $F_k(x) = \int_1^x F_{k-1}(t) dt$  we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} F_k(x) z^{k-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} z^k \int_1^x \frac{(x-t)^k}{k!} f(t) dt = e^{zx} \int_1^x e^{-zt} f(t) dt$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{nz} \int_1^n e^{-zt} f(t) dt = \sum_{k \geq 1} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F_k(n) \right) z^{k-1}$$

and we the "surprising relation" is simply

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} \varphi_f(n) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-z}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-nz} f(n) - \frac{e^{-z}}{z} \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F_k(n) \right) z^k}$$

that gives a relation between the sums  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \varphi_f(n)$ ,  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k f(n)$ , and  $C_k = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F_k(n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \varphi_f(n) &= \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) - C_1 \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \varphi_f(n) &= \frac{5}{12} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^2 f(n) - C_1 + C_2 \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^2 \varphi_f(n) &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^2 f(n) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^3 f(n) - C_1 + 2C_2 - 2C_3 \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

**Example**

For  $f(x) = 1/x$  we have  $F_1(x) = \text{Log}(x)$ ,  $F_2(x) = x\text{Log}(x) - x + 1$ ,  $F_3(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}\text{Log}(x) - \frac{3}{4}x^2 + x - \frac{1}{4}$  and we get the sums  $\sum_{n \geq k}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k H_n$  in terms of the constants  $\gamma$  and  $\zeta'(-j)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} H_n &= \frac{3}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2} - \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n H_n &= \frac{5}{12}\gamma + \frac{7}{8} - \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - \zeta'(-1) \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^2 H_n &= \frac{1}{3}\gamma + \frac{17}{34} - \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 2\zeta'(-1) + \zeta'(-2) \end{aligned}$$

More generally we have (Cf. Candelpergher, Gadiyar, Padma)

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} m^p H_m = \frac{1 - B_{p+1}}{p+1} \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k C_p^k \zeta'(-k) - \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) + r_p \text{ with } r_p \in \mathbb{Q}$$

**2.5 The case of a Laplace transform**

**Theorem 7** *Let  $\hat{f}$  is a continuous function on  $[0, +\infty[$  such that*

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq C e^{a\xi} \text{ with } a < 1$$

and  $f$  his Laplace transform defined for  $\text{Re}(x) > a$  by

$$f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

Then  $f$  is analytic for  $\text{Re}(x) > a$  and is of moderate growth, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = R_f(1) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

**Proof**

The function  $f$  is analytic for  $\text{Re}(x) > a$  and  $|f(x)| \leq C \frac{1}{\text{Re}(x) - a}$ , thus  $f$  is of moderate growth. Now we have

$$R_f(x) = - \int_1^x f(t) dt + \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x\xi} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

To prove this assertion write

$$R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = \int_x^{x+1} f(t) dt + \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x\xi} (1 - e^{-\xi}) \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

thus

$$R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x) + \int_x^{x+1} f(t) dt - \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x\xi} \frac{1 - e^{-\xi}}{\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

but we have by Fubini's theorem

$$\int_x^{x+1} f(t) dt = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_x^{x+1} e^{-t\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) dt d\xi = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x\xi} \frac{1 - e^{-\xi}}{\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

Thus  $R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x)$  and we can verify that  $\int_1^2 R_f(x) dx = 0$ , then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = R_f(1) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

□

**Example**

Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^k}$  for  $k > 0$  then  $f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x\xi} \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} d\xi$  and we get for  $k \neq 1$

$$\zeta(k) - \frac{1}{k-1} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^k} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \frac{1}{1-e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} d\xi.$$

and

$$\gamma = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \frac{1}{1-e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) d\xi.$$

**Theorem 8** Let  $\sum_{k \geq 1} c_k x^k$  a power series with radius  $\rho > 1$  and let  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{1}{x^k}$  then the function  $f$  is analytic in  $\{\operatorname{Re}(x) > 1/\rho\}$  and is the Laplace transform of the entire function

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = c_1 \gamma + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} c_k \left( \zeta(k) - \frac{1}{k-1} \right)$$

Proof

By hypothesis we have  $|c_k| \leq M r^k$  with  $0 < r = \frac{1}{\rho - \varepsilon} < 1$ . The series  $\sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$  is convergent for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$  and the function

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}$$

is an entire function with

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq C e^{r|\xi|}$$

For  $\operatorname{Re}(x) > r$  we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k e^{-x\xi} \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} d\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x\xi} \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} d\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{1}{x^k} = f(x)$$

the permutation is justified by

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |c_k| e^{-\operatorname{Re}(x)\xi} \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} d\xi \leq M \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} r^k e^{-\operatorname{Re}(x)\xi} \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} d\xi \leq M r \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-(\operatorname{Re}(x)-r)\xi} d\xi < +\infty$$

Thus by the preceding theorem

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \frac{1}{1-e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \frac{1}{1-e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} d\xi.$$

interchanging  $\int$  et  $\sum$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \frac{1}{1-e^{-\xi}} - \frac{1}{\xi} \right) \frac{\xi^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} d\xi.$$

and by the preceding example

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = c_1 \gamma + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} c_k \left( \zeta(k) - \frac{1}{k-1} \right)$$

□



**Remark**

Since

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \gamma \text{ and } \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^k} = \zeta(k) - \frac{1}{k-1} \text{ for } k \neq 1$$

the preceding theorem can be stated in the form of the result of a permutation of signs  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}$

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \frac{1}{n^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^k}}$$

**Examples**

1) We have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^k} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \frac{1}{n^k}$$

But

$$\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} = -\text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n} = \text{Log}(n) - \text{Log}(n+1) + \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (\text{Log}(n) - \text{Log}(n+1)) + \gamma = -2\text{Log}(2) + 1 + \gamma$$

this gives

$$\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \left(\zeta(k) - \frac{1}{k-1}\right) = -2\text{Log}(2) + 1 + \gamma$$

With

$$\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \frac{1}{k-1} = \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k-1} - \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} = 2\text{Log}(2) - 1$$

we get finally

$$\boxed{\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \zeta(k) = \gamma}$$

2) Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-z/n}}{n} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^k}{k!} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^k}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}}$$

thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-z/n}}{n} = \gamma + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left(\zeta(k+1) - \frac{1}{k}\right) z^k} \quad (2.15)$$

## 2.6 Analyticity with respect to a parameter

### 2.6.1 The theorem of analyticity

It is well known that the simple convergence of a series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n, z)$  of functions  $z \mapsto f(n, z)$  analytic in a domain  $U$  does not imply that the sum  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} f(n, z)$  is analytic in  $U$ . A very important property of Ramanujan summation is that analyticity of the terms imply analyticity of the sum. We have an illustration of this fact with

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^z} = \zeta(z) - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

where we see that the pole of *zeta* is removed.

#### Definition

Let  $(x, z) \mapsto f(x, z)$  a function defined for  $Re(x) > 0$  and  $z \in U \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $z \mapsto f(x, z)$  is analytic for  $z \in U$ . We say that  $f$  is *locally uniformly in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$*  if

- a) for all  $z \in U$  the function  $x \mapsto f(x, z)$  is analytic for  $Re(x) > 0$
- b) for any  $K$  compact of  $U$  there exist  $\alpha < \pi$  and  $C > 0$  such that for  $Re(x) > 0$  and  $z \in K$

$$|f(x, z)| \leq Ce^{\alpha|x|}$$

By Cauchy formula there is the same type of inequality for the derivatives  $\partial_z^k f$  thus  $\partial_z^k f$  is locally uniformly in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ .

#### Theorem 9 Analyticity of $z \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z)$

Let  $(x, z) \mapsto f(x, z)$  a function defined for  $Re(x) > 0$  and  $z \in U \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $z \mapsto f(x, z)$  is analytic for  $z \in U$  and  $f$  is locally uniformly in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ . Then the function

$$z \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z)$$

is analytic in  $U$  and

$$\partial_z^k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \partial_z^k f(n, z)$$

Thus if  $z_0 \in U$  and

$$f(n, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k(n)(z - z_0)^k \text{ for } |z - z_0| < \rho \text{ and } n \geq 1$$

then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a_k(n) \right] (z - z_0)^k$$

Proof

Let  $z \in K \subset U$  then we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z) = \frac{f(1, z)}{2} + i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(1 + it, z) - f(1 - it, z)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

The function

$$h : z \mapsto \frac{f(1 + it, z) - f(1 - it, z)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1}$$

is analytic in  $U$  for all  $t \in ]0, +\infty[$  and if  $z \in K$

$$\left| \frac{f(1 + it, z) - f(1 - it, z)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} \right| \leq \frac{Ae^{\alpha t}}{e^{2\pi t} - 1}$$

then by the analyticity theorem of an integral depending on a parameter we get the analyticity of  $h$  in  $U$  and for  $t > 1$  we have

$$\partial^k h(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\partial_z^k f(1+it, z) - \partial_z^k f(1-it, z)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

Thus the function  $z \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and

$$\partial_z^k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \partial_z^k f(n, z)$$

For  $z_0 \in U$  let

$$f(n, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k(n)(z - z_0)^k \text{ for } |z - z_0| < \rho \text{ and } n \geq 1$$

then  $a_k(n) = \frac{1}{k!} \partial_z^k f(n, z_0)$  and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \partial_z^k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z_0)(z - z_0)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{k!} \partial_z^k f(n, z_0)(z - z_0)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a_k(n) \right] (z - z_0)^k$$

□

### Examples

1) Let  $f(x, z) = \frac{1}{z+x}$  and  $U = \{|z| < 1\}$  we have for  $z \in U$  and  $x \geq 1$

$$f(x, z) = \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{z}{x}} = \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{x^{k+1}} z^k$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{z+n} = \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k (\zeta(k+1) - \frac{1}{k}) z^k$$

By  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{z+n} = -\Psi(z+1) + \text{Log}(z+1)$  we get

$$\boxed{\Psi(z+1) = -\gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \zeta(k+1) z^k}$$

Integrating we get

$$\text{Log}(\Gamma(z+1)) = -\gamma z + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \zeta(k) \frac{z^k}{k}$$

Note that on the other hand we have formally

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+z} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{n}{z}} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \frac{n^k}{z^{k+1}}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+z} &= \frac{1}{2z} + \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \frac{1}{z^{k+1}} \left( \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2z} + \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{z}\right) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{z^{2k}} \end{aligned}$$

This gives the asymptotic expansion

$$\Psi(z+1) = \text{Log}(z) + \frac{1}{2z} - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{z^{2k}}$$

the last series is divergent but the sum can be defined by the Borel summation procedure.

2) Let the function  $f(x, s) = \frac{1}{x^s}$  the preceding theorem the function  $s \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s}$  is an entire function. We have seen in chapter 1 that  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s} = \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}$  for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  thus by analytic continuation we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s} = \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} \text{ for } s \neq 1$$

If  $s = -k$  with  $k$  integer  $\geq 1$  we get

$$\zeta(-k) + \frac{1}{k+1} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k = \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \text{ if } k \geq 1$$

thus

$$\zeta(-k) = -\frac{B_{k+1}}{k+1} \text{ if } k \geq 1$$

for  $k = 0$  we get  $\zeta(0) = -1 + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} 1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

By derivation we get

$$\partial \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s} = -\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^s}$$

this gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^s} = -\zeta'(s) - \frac{1}{(s-1)^2} \text{ for } s \neq 1$$

Thus for example  $\zeta'(0) = -1 - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) = -\text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi})$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \text{Log}(n) = -\zeta'(-1) - \frac{1}{4}$  but we have seen that  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \text{Log}(n) = \text{Log}(A) - \frac{1}{3}$  where  $A$  is the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant, thus we get

$$-\zeta'(-1) - \frac{1}{4} = \text{Log}(A) - \frac{1}{3}$$

More generally

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^k(n)}{n^s} = (-1)^k \partial^k \zeta(s) - \frac{k!}{(s-1)^{k+1}} \text{ for } s \neq 1.$$

For  $s = 1$  we have the sums  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^k(n)}{n}$  which are related to the *Stieltjes constants*  $\gamma_k$  defined by the Laurent expansion of  $\zeta$  at 1

$$\zeta(s+1) = \frac{1}{s} + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \gamma_k s^k$$

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^{s+1}} = \zeta(s+1) - \frac{1}{s}$$

and the expansion

$$\frac{1}{n^{s+1}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} s^k \frac{\text{Log}^k(n)}{n}$$

gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^{s+1}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} s^k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^k(n)}{n}$$

thus

$$\gamma_k = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^k(n)}{n} \quad (2.16)$$

3) With  $f(x, z) = \text{Log}^2(z + x)$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2(z + n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2(n) + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)$$

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} z^k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^k} = \gamma_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \left(\zeta'(k) + \frac{1}{(k-1)^2}\right) z^k$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left(\zeta(k) - \frac{1}{k-1}\right) \sigma_k z^k \quad \text{with } \sigma_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{i(k-i)} = \frac{2}{k} H_{k-1}$$

By the shift property we have if  $z$  is an integer

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2(z + n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}^2(n) - \sum_{k=1}^z \text{Log}^2(k) + (z+1) \text{Log}^2(z+1) - 2(z+1) \text{Log}(z+1) + 2z$$

The function  $\varphi_{\text{Log}^2 x}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^z \text{Log}^2(k)$  is introduced by Ramanujan in his notebooks (chapter 8 entry 18). Since

$$(z+1) \text{Log}^2(z+1) - 2(z+1) \text{Log}(z+1) + 2z = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \frac{1}{(k-1)^2} z^k - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k-1} \frac{2}{k} H_{k-1} z^k$$

we get for  $z$  integer

$$\varphi_{\text{Log}^2 x}(z) = -2\gamma_1 z - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \zeta'(k) z^k - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \zeta(k) H_{k-1} z^k \quad (2.17)$$

Since  $\partial \text{Log}^2 x = 2 \frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x}$  and using the relation

$$\varphi_{\partial f}(x) = \partial \varphi_f(x) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \partial f(n) - f(1)$$

we get

$$\varphi_{\frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x}}(z) = -\gamma_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \zeta'(k) z^{k-1} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \zeta(k) H_k z^{k-1} + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n}$$

thus

$$\varphi_{\frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x}}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \zeta'(k+1) z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \zeta(k+1) H_k z^k$$

4) Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^0$  and  $0 < z < \pi$ , since we are in a case of convergence for the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-zn} f(n)$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-zn} f(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-zn} f(n) - \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-zx} f(x) dx$$

Let  $F(x) = \int_1^x f(t) dt$ , if  $e^{-zx} F(x) = O(\frac{1}{x^\alpha})$  with  $\alpha > 1$ , we have by integration by parts

$$\int_1^{+\infty} e^{-zx} f(x) dx = z \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-zx} F(x) dx$$

With

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-zn} F(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-zn} F(n) + \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-zx} F(x) dx$$

we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-zn} F(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-zn} F(n) + \frac{1}{z} \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-zx} f(x) dx$$

If  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  and  $0 < z < \pi$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-zn} \text{Log}(n) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-zn} \text{Log}(n) + \frac{1}{z} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-zx}}{x} dx \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-zn} \text{Log}(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^{k-1}}{k!} \frac{\gamma + \text{Log}(z)}{k} \end{aligned}$$

But we have by the theorem of analyticity

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-zn} \text{Log}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \text{Log}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} z^k (\zeta'(-k) + \frac{1}{(k+1)^2})$$

thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-zn} \text{Log}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} z^k \zeta'(-k) - \frac{\gamma + \text{Log}(z)}{z}}$$

### Corollary

As a consequence of the preceding theorem and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{f(x,z)}(x) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+x, z) + f(x, z) - \int_1^{x+1} f(t, z) dt \\ \varphi_{f(x,z)}(x) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, z) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+x, z) + \int_1^{x+1} f(u, z) du \end{aligned}$$

we have with the same hypothesis as in the preceding theorem the analyticity of these functions of  $z$  and by derivation with respect to  $z$  we get

$$\partial_z R_{f(x,z)}(x) = R_{\partial_z f(x,z)}(x)$$

$$\partial_z \varphi_{f(x,z)}(x) = \varphi_{\partial_z f(x,z)}(x)$$

**Example** If  $f(x, z) = \frac{1}{x^z}$  with  $z \neq 1$  then

$$\varphi_{\frac{Log(x)}{x^z}} = -\partial_z \varphi_{\frac{1}{x^z}} = -\zeta'(z) + \partial_z \zeta(z, x) - \partial_z \frac{1}{x^z}$$

we get

$$\varphi_{\frac{Log(x)}{x^z}} = -\zeta'(z) + \partial_z \zeta(z, x) + \frac{Log(x)}{x^z}$$

For  $z = 0$  then

$$\varphi_{Log(x)} = -\zeta'(0) + \partial_z \zeta(0, x) + Log(x)$$

but we know that  $\varphi_{Log(x)} = Log(\Gamma(x+1))$  thus we get the Lerch formula (c.f Berndt)

$$Log(\Gamma(x)) = -\zeta'(0) + \partial_z \zeta(0, x)$$

## 2.6.2 Analytic continuation of Dirichlet series

Let  $x \mapsto c(x)$  a function analytic for  $\text{Re}(x) > 0$  such that we have the asymptotic expansion at infinity

$$c(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \frac{1}{x^{j_k}}$$

where  $\text{Re}(j_0) < \text{Re}(j_1) < \text{Re}(j_2) < \dots < \text{Re}(j_k) < \dots$ . Then let

$$h(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{c(n)}{n^s}$$

this function is analytic for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1 - \text{Re}(j_0)$  and we have

$$h(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{c(n)}{n^s} + \int_1^{+\infty} c(x) x^{-s} dx$$

The function  $s \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{c(n)}{n^s}$  is an entire function, thus the singularities of the function  $h$  are given by the integral term

$$\int_1^{+\infty} c(x) x^{-s} dx = \int_1^{+\infty} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0}^{N-1} \alpha_k x^{-s-j_k} + O(x^{-s-j_N}) \right) dx = \sum_{k \geq 0}^{N-1} \alpha_k \frac{1}{s+j_k-1} + R_N(s)$$

Thus we get simple poles for the function  $h$  at the points  $s = 1 - j_k$  with residues  $\alpha_k$ .

### Examples

1) Let  $h(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)n^s}$  for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)n^s} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+1)n^s} + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1)x^s} dx$$

The function  $s \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+1)n^s}$  is an entire function, thus the singularities of the (analytic continuation of the) function  $h$  are the singularities of the function  $s \mapsto \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1)x^s} dx$ . Since for  $x > 1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{(x+1)x^s} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{x^{s+k+1}}$$

we get by the dominated convergence

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(x+1)x^s} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \int_1^{+\infty} x^{-s-k-1} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{s+k}$$

Thus the function  $h$  has simple poles at  $s = -k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and

$$h(s) = \frac{(-1)^k}{s+k} + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+1)n^s} + \sum_{j \neq k}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{s+j}$$

We observe that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -k} \left( h(s) - \frac{(-1)^k}{s+k} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{n^k}{n+1} + \sum_{j \neq k}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j-k}$$

2) Let  $c(x) = \Psi(x+1) + \gamma$  then  $\Psi(n) = H_n$  and for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$  let  $h(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{H_n}{n^s}$ . We have for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$

$$h(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n}{n^s} + \int_1^{+\infty} (\Psi(x+1) + \gamma) x^{-s} dx$$

The asymptotic expansion at infinity

$$\Psi(x+1) + \gamma = \text{Log}(x) + \gamma + \frac{1}{2x} - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{x^{2k}}$$

gives

$$h(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n}{n^s} + \int_1^{+\infty} \text{Log}(x) x^{-s} dx + \frac{\gamma}{s-1} + \frac{1}{2s} - \sum_{k \geq 1}^N \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{s+2k-1} + R_N(s)$$

The integral term

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \text{Log}(x) x^{-s} dx = \frac{1}{(s-1)^2}$$

gives for  $h$  a pole of order 2 at  $s=1$  with residue  $\gamma$ . We have a simple pole at  $s = 0$  with residue  $1/2$  and simple poles at  $s = 1 - 2k$  with residues  $-\frac{B_{2k}}{2k}$ .

### 2.6.3 The zeta function associated to Laplacian on the sphere $S^2$

Let  $A = -\Delta$  the Laplacian on the sphere  $S^2$  the zeta function associated to this operator is (cf. Birmingham and Sen)

$$\zeta_A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n^s(n+1)^s}$$

we have

$$\zeta_A(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{2n+1}{n^s(n+1)^s} + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{2x+1}{x^s(x+1)^s} dx$$

The function  $s \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{2n+1}{n^s(n+1)^s}$  is an entire function, thus the singularities of  $\zeta_A$  are the singularities of the function  $s \mapsto \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{2x+1}{x^s(x+1)^s} dx$ . Since for  $x > 1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{(x+1)^s x^s} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} s(s+1) \dots (s+k-1) x^{-2s-k}$$

we get by the dominated convergence

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{2x+1}{x^s(x+1)^s} dx = \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} s(s+1) \dots (s+k-1)$$

Thus the function  $\zeta_A$  has only a simple pole at  $s = 1$ . By Mellin inversion we have with  $c > 1$

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-At}) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \zeta_A(s) \Gamma(s) t^{-s} ds$$



and by the residues theorem we get the asymptotic expansion

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-At}) \sim \frac{1}{t} + \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \zeta_A(-p) t^p$$

The evaluation of  $\zeta_A(-p)$  is easily done by the Ramanujan summation

$$\zeta_A(-p) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (2n+1)n^p(n+1)^p - \frac{1}{p+1} + \sum_{k=0}^p \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (-p)(-p+1)\dots(-p+k-1)$$

We find for example

$$\zeta_A(0) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (2n+1) - 2 = -\frac{2}{3}$$

$$\zeta_A(-1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (2n+1)n(n+1) - 2 = -\frac{1}{15}$$

We see that Ramanujan summation gives a simple alternative way to the way of Mellin summation technique proposed by Birmingham and Sen.

### 2.6.4 Zeta regularization of divergent products

Let a function  $x \mapsto a(x)$  such that  $x \mapsto \text{Log}(a(x))$  is a function of moderate growth, we can use the Ramanujan summation to give the following definition of the *Ramanujan product*

$$\prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a(n) = e^{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(a(n))}$$

Thus we get for example

$$\prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n = e^{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n)} = e^{\text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi})-1} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}$$

Note that with this definition of the Ramanujan product we have for any positive constant  $C$

$$\prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (a(n)C) = e^{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(a(n)) + \text{Log}(C)} = e^{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(a(n))} e^{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(C)} = \left( \prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a(n) \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(C)}$$

thus we get the strange relation

$$\prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (a(n)C) = \sqrt{C} \prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a(n)$$

There is a well-known procedure to define infinite divergent products which avoid such strange property. That is the *zeta-regularization* of divergents products (cf. Quine Heydari Song), defined by

$$\prod_{n \geq 1}^{reg} a(n) = e^{-Z'_a(0)} \text{ with } Z_a \text{ defined analytic continuation of } s \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a(n))^s}$$

it is assumed that  $s \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a(n))^s}$  is defined for  $\text{Re}(s) > \alpha$  and  $Z_a$  is defined near 0 by analytic continuation.

There is a simple relation of this Ramanujan product with the *zeta-regularization* of divergents products.

For  $\text{Re}(s) > \alpha$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a(n))^s} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(a(n))^s} + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(a(x))^s} dx$$

The function  $\mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(a(n))^s}$  is an entire function, we assume that the function

$$Y_a : s \mapsto \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(a(x))^s} dx$$

has an analytic continuation near 0. Thus

$$Z'_a(s) = - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(a(n))}{(a(n))^s} + Y'_a(s)$$

and we get

$$\prod_{n \geq 1}^{reg} a(n) = e^{-Z'_a(0)} = e^{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(a(n))} e^{-Y'_a(0)} = e^{-Y'_a(0)} \prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a(n)$$

Thus we can use Ramanujan summation to evaluate zeta-regularized products.

### Example

We have for  $\text{Re}(z) > 0$

$$\prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n+z) = e^{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n+z)} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(z+1)} (z+1)^{z+1} e^{-(z+1)}$$

and for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$

$$Y(s) = \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(x+z)^s} dx = \frac{(z+1)^{-s+1}}{s-1}$$

thus

$$Y'(0) = (z+1)\text{Log}(z+1) - (z+1)$$

and we get

$$\prod_{n \geq 1}^{reg} (n+z) = e^{-Y'(0)} \prod_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n+z) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(z+1)}$$

## 2.7 Integration with respect to a parameter

### 2.7.1 Interchanging $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\int_I$

**Theorem 10** Let  $(x, u) \mapsto f(x, u)$  defined for  $\text{Re}(x) > 0$  and  $u \in I$  where  $I$  is an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . We suppose that

- a) for all  $\text{Re}(x) > 0$  the function  $u \mapsto f(x, u)$  is integrable on  $I$
- b)  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$  uniformly in the parameter  $u \in I$  in an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ : there is  $\alpha < \pi$  such that

$$|f(x, u)| \leq C e^{\alpha|x|} \text{ for all } \text{Re}(x) > 0 \text{ and all } u \in I$$

Then

$$\int_I \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, u) du = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_I f(n, u) du$$

Proof

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n, u) = \frac{f(1, u)}{2} + i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(1+it, u) - f(1-it, u)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

It suffices to prove that

$$\int_I \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(1+it, u) - f(1-it, u)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt \right) du = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\int_I f(1+it, u) du - \int_I f(1-it, u) du}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

This is a consequence of the Fubini theorem since

$$\frac{|f(1+it, u) - f(1-it, u)|}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} \leq A \frac{e^{\alpha t}}{e^{2\pi t} - 1}$$

□

### Examples

1) We use the equation

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \cos(\pi n t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } t \in [0, 1[.$$

and

$$\int_0^{1/2} \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \cos(\pi n t) dt = -\frac{2 \sin \frac{1}{2} \pi n}{\pi^3 n^3} + \frac{\pi n}{\pi^3 n^3}$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^{1/2} \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \cos(\pi n t) dt = -2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi n}{\pi^3 n^3} + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{\pi^2 n^2}$$

Since we are in a case of convergence

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi n}{\pi^3 n^3} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi n}{\pi^3 n^3} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi x}{\pi^3 x^3} dx = \frac{1}{\pi^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)^3} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi x}{\pi^3 x^3} dx$$

By the preceding theorem

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^{1/2} \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \cos(\pi n t) dt = \int_0^{1/2} \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \cos(\pi n t) dt = \int_0^{1/2} \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t} - \frac{1}{2}\right) dt$$

Integrating by part we have

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi x}{\pi^3 x^3} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi^3} - \frac{1}{8} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi x}{\pi x} dx$$

Thus we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)^3} = \frac{1}{32} \pi^3$$

2) We use the equation

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sin(\pi n t) = -\frac{\cos(\pi t)}{\pi t} + \frac{1}{2} \cot\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1[.$$

and

$$\int_0^{1/2} t \sin(\pi n t) dt = -\frac{\cos \frac{1}{2} \pi n}{2\pi n} + \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{2} \pi n)}{\pi^2 n^2}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^{1/2} t \sin(\pi n t) dt &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \left(-\frac{\cos \frac{1}{2} \pi n}{2\pi n} + \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{2} \pi n)}{\pi^2 n^2}\right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\cos \frac{1}{2} \pi x}{2\pi x} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)^2} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} \pi x}{\pi^2 x^2} dx \end{aligned}$$

By the preceding theorem this is

$$\int_0^{1/2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} t \sin(\pi n t) dt = \int_0^{1/2} \left( -\frac{\cos(\pi t)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} t \cot\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) \right) dt$$

Finally after an integration by parts we get

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} x \cot\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) dx = 2G + \frac{1}{2} \pi \text{Log}(2)$$

where  $G = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2n-1)^2}$  is the Catalan's constant.

And by same type of calculation

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} x^2 \cot\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) dx = 2\pi G + \frac{1}{4} \pi^2 \text{Log}(2) - \frac{35}{8} \zeta(3)$$

3) By the shift property we have for  $x > 0$  and  $x \neq 1$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+1)^x} = \zeta(x) - 1 - \frac{2^{-(x-1)}}{x-1}$$

if  $x = 1$  then this formula is extended analytically by  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+1} = \gamma - 1 + \text{Log}(2)$ . Since

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+1)^x} dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x \text{Log}(n+1)} dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{\text{Log}(n+1)}$$

We get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{\text{Log}(n+1)} = \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ \zeta(x) - 1 - \frac{2^{-(x-1)}}{x-1} \right] dx$$

## 2.7.2 The functional equation for zeta

By the formula

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \frac{f(1)}{2} + i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(1+it) - f(1-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

we get with  $x > 0$  and  $f(u) = 1/(u-1+x)$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n-1+x} = \frac{1}{2x} + i \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1/(x+it) - 1/(x-it)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

and with the shift property we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+x} = \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) - \frac{1}{2x} + 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t/(x^2+t^2)}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} dt$$

this gives

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+x} = \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) + 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} - \frac{1}{2\pi t} \right) \frac{t}{x^2 + t^2} dt}$$

Taking the Mellin transform we get for  $0 < s < 1$

a) for the left side

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+x} dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \frac{1}{n+x} dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{s-1} \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \frac{1}{1+x} dx = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{s-1}$$

b) for the right side

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) + 2 \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} - \frac{1}{2\pi t}\right) \frac{t}{x^2 + t^2} dt dx$$

since

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} \frac{1}{s}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} - \frac{1}{2\pi t}\right) \frac{t}{x^2 + t^2} dt dx &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} - \frac{1}{2\pi t}\right) \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \frac{t}{x^2 + t^2} dx dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} - \frac{1}{2\pi t}\right) t^{s-1} \frac{\pi/2}{\sin \pi s/2} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) \frac{\pi/2}{\sin \pi s/2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{s-1} = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) \frac{\pi/2}{\sin \pi s/2} + \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s}$$

since  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{s-1} - \frac{1}{s} = \zeta(1-s)$  we get

$$\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} \zeta(1-s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) \frac{\pi/2}{\sin(\pi s/2)}$$

this is the Riemann functional equation.

### 2.7.3 The Muntz formula

Let a function  $f$  integrable on  $[0, +\infty[$  such that  $f(x) = O(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha})$  with  $\alpha > 1$ , then for  $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$ . Then by interchanging  $\int_I \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_I$  we get

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(nx) dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} f(nx) dx$$

thus

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(nx) dx = \left(\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s}\right) \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} f(x) dx$$

Then since we are in a case of convergence we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(nx) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} f(nx) - \frac{1}{x} \int_x^{+\infty} f(t) dt$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(nx) - \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} f(nx) - \frac{1}{x} \int_0^{+\infty} f(t) dt$$

We get

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} f(nx) - \frac{1}{x} \int_0^{+\infty} f(t) dt \right) = \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s} \right) \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} f(x) dx - \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \left( \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt \right) dx$$

For  $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$  we get by integration by parts

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \left( \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt \right) dx = -\frac{1}{s-1} \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} f(x) dx$$

Since  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^s} = \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1}$  we obtain the Muntz formula (cf. E.C.Titchmarsh and D.R. Heath-Brown p.28)

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} f(nx) - \frac{1}{x} \int_0^{+\infty} f(t) dt \right) dx = \zeta(s) \int_0^{+\infty} x^{s-1} f(x) dx$$

### Remark

Let a function  $f$  such that  $(x, t) \mapsto x^\alpha f(xt)$  satisfies the hypothesis of the preceding theorem with  $I = ]0, 1[$  then

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^\alpha f(nt) dt = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^1 n^\alpha f(nt) dt = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{\alpha-1} \int_0^n f(u) du$$

thus if  $F_0(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{\alpha-1} F_0(n) = \int_0^1 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^\alpha f(nt) dt$$

With  $\alpha = 0$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n+1)}{n} = \int_0^1 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{1+nt} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{t}} dt = \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(1+u) - \Psi(1+u)}{u} du$$

With  $\alpha = 1$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Arctg}(n) = \int_0^1 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{n}{1+n^2 t^2} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{n}{n^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}} dt$$

since

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{n}{n^2 + a^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+ia} + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n-ia} \right) = \text{Log}(\sqrt{1+a^2}) - \frac{1}{2} (\Psi(1+ia) + \Psi(1-ia))$$

we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Arctg}(n) = \int_1^{+\infty} \text{Log}(\sqrt{1+u^2}) - \frac{1}{2} (\Psi(1+iu) + \Psi(1-iu)) du$$

Note that the same calculations with  $I = ]0, +\infty[$  gives

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^\alpha f(nt) dt = \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^{\alpha-1} \right] \int_0^{+\infty} f(t) dt$$

## 2.8 Heat equation

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $t \geq 0$ , the function

$$U(x, t) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+t} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(n+t)}}$$

is solution of heat equation

$$\partial_t U = \partial_{x_1 x_1}^2 U + \partial_{x_2 x_2}^2 U$$

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{z}{n}} = \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k (\zeta(k+1) - \frac{1}{k}) \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

then

$$f\left(\frac{|x|^2}{4}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4n}} = U(x, 0)$$

With the heat kernel we get

$$\begin{aligned} U(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{4\pi t} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} U(y, 0) dy \\ &= e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \frac{1}{4\pi t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\frac{x \cdot y}{2t}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4t}} f\left(\frac{|y|^2}{4}\right) dy \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x = (r, 0)$  with polar coordinates we get

$$U(x, t) = e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \frac{1}{4\pi t} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\frac{1}{2t} r \rho \cos(\theta)} \rho e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4t}} f\left(\frac{\rho^2}{4}\right) d\rho d\theta$$

We have for  $t > 0$

$$g(r\rho/2t) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\frac{1}{2t} r \rho \cos(\theta)} d\theta = 2\pi I_0(r\rho/2t)$$

where  $I_0$  is the Bessel function  $I_0(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} (z/2)^{2k}$

Thus

$$U(x, t) = e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \frac{1}{4\pi t} 2\pi \int_0^{+\infty} I_0(r\rho/2t) \rho e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4t}} f\left(\frac{\rho^2}{4}\right) d\rho$$

this gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+t)} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4(n+t)}} = e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^{+\infty} I_0(r\rho/2t) \rho e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4t}} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{4n}} \right) d\rho$$

With  $z = \frac{r^2}{4}$  and  $u = \frac{\rho^2}{4}$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+t} e^{-\frac{z}{n+t}} = e^{-z/t} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{+\infty} I_0(2\sqrt{zu}/t) e^{-\frac{u}{t}} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{u}{n}} \right) du$$

If  $t$  is a positive integer we have the shift property

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+t} e^{-\frac{z}{n+t}} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{z}{n}} - \sum_{n \geq 1}^t \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{z}{n}} + \int_1^{t+1} \frac{1}{x} e^{-z/x} dx$$

with  $t = 1$  we get the integral equation

$$f(z) = e^{-z} - \int_1^2 \frac{1}{x} e^{-z/x} dx + e^{-z} \int_0^{+\infty} I_0(2\sqrt{zu}) e^{-u} f(u) du \quad (2.18)$$

Note that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-\frac{z}{n}} - 1}{n} + \gamma = \gamma + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{z}{n}} - 1}{n} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{z}{t}} - 1}{t} dt$$

Let

$$g(z) = \gamma + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{z}{n}} - 1}{n}$$

this function is the exponential generating function of the zeta values

$$g(z) = \gamma + \sum_{k \geq 1} \zeta(k+1) (-1)^k \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

Since  $f(z) = g(z) - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{z}{t}} - 1}{t} dt$  it is easy to prove that the integral equation (2.19) gives now a simpler integral equation for this generating function  $g$  that is

$$g(z) = e^{-z} + e^{-z} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} I_0(2\sqrt{zu}) g(u) du$$

## 2.9 Link with Borel summation

### 2.9.1 Ramanujan summation in term of Bernoulli numbers

Let a function  $f$  given by the Borel sum

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\mathcal{B}} \alpha_k x^k$$

that is for  $\operatorname{Re}(x) > 0$

$$f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k x^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} \right) d\xi$$

We assume that the function  $(x, \xi) \mapsto \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k x^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!}$  satisfies the hypothesis of theorem 9. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k n^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} d\xi \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k n^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k n^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} d\xi \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k \left( \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \right) \frac{\xi^k}{k!} \right) d\xi \end{aligned}$$



thus we get by definition of Borel summation

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \alpha_k \left( \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \right)} \quad (2.19)$$

Note that this formula is nothing else than

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \alpha_k n^k = \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \alpha_k n^k$$

which we recall is valid under the strong hypothesis:  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k n^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k$ .

### Example

Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x} = \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\mathcal{B}} (-1)^k x^k$  that is for  $\operatorname{Re}(x) > 0$  we have  $f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} e^{-x\xi} d\xi$ . We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k n^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-n\xi} = \frac{e^{-\xi}}{1 - e^{-\xi}} + \frac{e^{-\xi}}{-\xi} = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \left( \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \right) \frac{\xi^k}{k!} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n^k$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} (-1)^k \left( \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \right)$$

but  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+1} = \gamma - 1 + \operatorname{Log}(2)$  and  $\sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} = \operatorname{Log}(2) - 1$  thus we get

$$\boxed{\gamma = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{B_{k+1}}{k+1}}$$

More generally we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+z} = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{n}{z}} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\mathcal{B}} (-1)^k \frac{n^k}{z^{k+1}}$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+z} = \frac{1}{2z} + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} (-1)^k \frac{1}{z^{k+1}} \left( \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \right) = -\frac{1}{2z} + \operatorname{Log}\left(1 + \frac{1}{z}\right) + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{z^{2k}}$$

this gives by (2.4)

$$\Psi(z+1) = \operatorname{Log}(z) + \frac{1}{2z} - \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{z^{2k}}$$

Note that we cannot make the same calculation for  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{1+n^2}$ . We have  $\frac{1}{1+x^2} = \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\mathcal{B}} (-1)^k x^{2k}$  but  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k n^{2k} \frac{\xi^k}{k!} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-n^2 \xi}$  is not defined.

### Remark

The formula (2.19) is not always valid.

Let  $f(x) = \frac{xy}{e^{xy}-1}$  for  $\operatorname{Re}(x) > 0$  and  $y > 0$ . We have  $f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\mathcal{B}} \frac{B_k}{k!} x^k y^k$ . thus if we apply (2.19) then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{ny}{e^{ny}-1} = \frac{B_0}{2} + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \frac{B_k}{k!} \left( \frac{1 - B_{k+1}}{k+1} \right) y^k$$

Since  $B_k B_{k+1} = 0$  if  $k \geq 2$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{ny}{e^{ny} - 1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{y} \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \frac{B_k}{(k+1)!} y^{k+1} - \frac{B_1 B_2}{2} y$$

this gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{ny}{e^{ny} - 1} = \frac{1}{y} \int_0^y \frac{t}{e^t - 1} dt - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{B_1 B_2}{2} y$$

Since we are in a case of convergence we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{ny}{e^{ny} - 1} = \frac{1}{y} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t}{e^t - 1} dt - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{B_1 B_2}{2} y$$

that is

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{ny}{e^{ny} - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6y} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{y}{24}$$

but unfortunately this formula is not true.

## 2.9.2 An integral formula

Let a function  $f$  given by the Borel sum  $f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\mathcal{B}} \alpha_k x^k$  that is for  $\text{Re}(x) > 0$

$$f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\xi} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k x^k \frac{\xi^k}{k!} \right) d\xi = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-u/x}}{x} \hat{f}(u) du$$

with

$$\hat{f}(u) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \frac{u^k}{k!}$$

Then by interchange of  $f$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}}$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-u/n}}{n} \hat{f}(u) du$$

But we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-u/n}}{n} = \gamma + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left( \zeta(k+1) - \frac{1}{k} \right) u^k$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ \gamma + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left( \zeta(k+1) - \frac{1}{k} \right) u^k \right] \hat{f}(u) du$$

### Example

Let  $f : x \mapsto \frac{1}{x+z}$  with  $x > 0$  and  $z > 0$  this function is given by the Borel sum  $f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\mathcal{B}} \frac{(-1)^k}{z^{k+1}} x^k$  then

$$f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-u/x}}{x} \hat{f}(u) du \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{f}(u) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{z^{k+1}} \frac{u^k}{k!} = \frac{1}{z} e^{-u/z}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+z} &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left[ \gamma + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left( \zeta(k+1) - \frac{1}{k} \right) u^k \right] \frac{1}{z} e^{-u/z} du \\ &= \gamma + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} \left[ (-1)^k \left( \zeta(k+1) - \frac{1}{k} \right) \right] z^k \\ &= \gamma + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} [(-1)^k \zeta(k+1)] z^k + \text{Log}(1+z) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{z+n} = -\Psi(z+1) + \text{Log}(z+1)$  we get for  $z > 0$

$$\Psi(z+1) = -\gamma + \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{B}} [(-1)^{k+1} \zeta(k+1)] z^k$$

## 2.10 Double Ramanujan sums

### 2.10.1 Definitions and properties

We study iterate Ramanujan summation

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n)$$

**Theorem 11** *Let a function  $(x, y) \mapsto f(x, y)$  analytic for  $\text{Re}(x) > 0$  and  $\text{Re}(y) > 0$  with*

$$x \mapsto f(x, y) \text{ in } \mathcal{O}^\pi \text{ for all } \text{Re}(y) > 0$$

$$y \mapsto f(x, y) \text{ in } \mathcal{O}^\pi \text{ for all } \text{Re}(x) > 0$$

*If there exist a function  $W$  analytic for  $\text{Re}(x) > 0$  and  $\text{Re}(y) > 0$  such that*

$$W(x, y) - W(x, y+1) - W(x+1, y) + W(x+1, y+1) = f(x, y)$$

*with  $x \mapsto W(x, y)$  in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi(P)$  for all  $\text{Re}(y) > 0$  and  $y \mapsto W(x, y)$  in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi(P)$  for all  $\text{Re}(x) > 0$  then we have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n) = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n)$$

**Proof**

Let  $R(x, y) = W(x, y) - W(x, y+1)$  then

$$R(x, y) - R(x+1, y) = f(x, y)$$

thus

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, y) = R(1, y) - \left( \int_1^2 W(x, y) dx - \int_1^2 W(x, y+1) dx \right)$$

For  $x = 1$  the equation  $W(1, y) - W(1, y+1) = R(1, y)$  gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} R(1, n) = W(1, 1) - \int_1^2 W(1, y) dy$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n) &= W(1, 1) - \int_1^2 W(1, y) dy - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^2 W(x, n) dx + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^2 W(x, n+1) dx \\ &= W(1, 1) - \int_1^2 W(1, y) dy - \int_1^2 W(x, 1) dx + \int_1^2 \int_1^2 W(x, y) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Evaluation of  $\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n)$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} W(x, y) - W(x+1, y) - (W(x, y+1) - W(x+1, y+1)) &= f(x, y) \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n) &= W(m, 1) - W(m+1, 1) - \left( \int_1^2 W(m, y) dy - \int_1^2 W(m+1, y) dy \right) \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n) &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} W(m, 1) - W(m+1, 1) - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \left( \int_1^2 W(m, y) dy - \int_1^2 W(m+1, y) dy \right) \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} W(m, 1) - \left( \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} W(m, 1) - W(1, 1) + \int_1^2 W(x, 1) dx \right) \\ &\quad - \int_1^2 W(1, y) dy + \int_1^2 \int_1^2 W(x, y) dy dx \\ &= W(1, 1) - \int_1^2 W(x, 1) dx - \int_1^2 W(1, y) dy + \int_1^2 \int_1^2 W(x, y) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n) &= W(1, 1) - \int_1^2 W(1, y) dy - \int_1^2 W(x, 1) dx + \int_1^2 \int_1^2 W(x, y) dx dy \\ \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n) &= W(1, 1) - \int_1^2 W(x, 1) dx - \int_1^2 W(1, y) dy + \int_1^2 \int_1^2 W(x, y) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem we have

$$\int_1^2 \int_1^2 W(x, y) dx dy = \int_1^2 \int_1^2 W(x, y) dy dx$$

donc  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n) = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n)$ .

□

**Examples**

1) We have by the shift property

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+m} &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \gamma - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} H_m + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(m+1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{3}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2} + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1 + 2\text{Log}2 - 1 \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\boxed{\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n+m} = -\gamma + 3\text{Log}(2) + \text{Log}(\pi) - \frac{5}{2}}$$

2) We have  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+m)^2} = \zeta(2) - H_m^{(2)}$  thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+m)^2} &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+m)^2} - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(x+m)^2} dx \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (\zeta(2) - H_m^{(2)}) - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m+1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+m)^2} = 3 - \zeta(2) - \gamma - \text{Log}(2)}$$

### Remark

By the same method we get more generally

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+m) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)f(n) + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F(n) + \int_1^2 F(y) dy$$

This is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+m) &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - S_m(f) + \int_1^{m+1} f(x) dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} S_m(f) + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^{m+1} f(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \left( \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^n f(x) dx \right) + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^{m+1} f(x) dx \\ &= - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^n f(x) dx + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^{m+1} f(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)f(n) + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \int_1^n f(x) dx + \int_1^2 \int_1^y f(x) dx dy \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)f(n) + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} F(n) + \int_1^2 F(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

□

### Example

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n+m) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)\text{Log}(n) + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} n \text{Log}(n) - 1 + \int_1^2 (y \text{Log}(y) - y) dy$$

## 2.10.2 The case of convergence

Like in one dimension we have a formula that link  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}}$  to  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}$ .

We have

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(m, n) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} f(m, n) + \int_1^{+\infty} \int_1^{+\infty} f(x, y) dx dy - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_1^{+\infty} f(x, n) dx - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_1^{+\infty} f(m, y) dy}$$

**Examples**

1) For  $Re(s) > 2$  we have

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+m)^s} = \frac{s-3}{s-1} \zeta(s-1) + \frac{2^{2-s}}{(s-1)(s-2)} + \frac{1}{s-1} - \left( \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} \right)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+m)^s} &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+m)^s} + \int_1^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)^s} dx \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (\zeta(s) - H_m^{(s)}) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s-1} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{s-1}} \end{aligned}$$

This gives the relation

$$\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+m)^s} = \zeta(s-1) - \zeta(s)$$

Independently this relation is easily deduced from the summation by packets

$$\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n+m)^s} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{m+n=k+1} \frac{1}{(n+m)^s}$$

This type of summation cannot be applied to  $\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+m)^s}$

2) With  $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{xy(x+y)}$  we know that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} f(m, n) = 2\zeta(3)$$

thus we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{mn(m+n)} = 2\zeta(3) + 2\text{Log}(2) - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(n+1)}{n^2}$$

But

$$\frac{1}{mn(m+n)} = \frac{1}{n^2 m} - \frac{1}{n^2(m+n)}$$

and by the shift property

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m+n} = \gamma - H_n + \text{Log}(n+1)$$

we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{mn(m+n)} = \gamma \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^2} - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^2} (\gamma - H_n + \text{Log}(n+1))$$

After some simplifications this gives

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\Psi(x+1) + \gamma}{x^2} dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(n+1)}{n^2}$$

### 2.10.3 The sums $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n}{n^p}$

We have by the shift property

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m+n} = \gamma - H_m + \text{Log}(m+1)$$

this gives

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n} = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m+n} = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} (\gamma - H_m + \text{Log}(m+1))$$

thus

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n} = \gamma^2 - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_m}{m} + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m}$$

On the other side

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m+n} \right) \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} (H_n - \text{Log}(n+1))$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n} = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_m}{m} - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m}$$

By the preceding theorem we get

$$\boxed{\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m} = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_m}{m} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}}$$

The sum  $\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m}$  is simply related to  $\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m)}{m}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m} &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m+1} + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m+1} \frac{1}{m} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m)}{m} + \int_1^2 \frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x} dx + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m+1} \frac{1}{m} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m)}{m} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}^2(2) + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m+1} \frac{1}{m} \end{aligned}$$

But the last series  $\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m+1} \frac{1}{m}$  is convergent and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m+1} \frac{1}{m} &= \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m(m+1)} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(x+1)}{x(x+1)} dx \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m(m+1)} - \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}^2(2) \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$\boxed{\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m)}{m} - \frac{\pi^2}{12} + \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m(m+1)} = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_m}{m} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}}$$

thus

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_m}{m} = \gamma_1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m(m+1)}$$

**Remark**

We can obtain directly the sums  $\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m)}{m}$  by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m+n-1} = \gamma - H_m + \frac{1}{m} + \text{Log}(m)$$

this gives

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n-1} = \gamma^2 - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_m}{m} + \zeta(2) - 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m)}{m}$$

Now consider the sum  $\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n-1}$ . We have if  $n = 1$

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n-1} = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^2}$$

and if  $n > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n-1} &= \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{m(m+n-1)} - \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x(x+n-1)} dx \\ &= \frac{H_{n-1}}{n-1} - \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sum  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n-1}$  is  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^2} \text{ if } n = 1 \\ &= \frac{H_{n-1}}{n-1} - \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n-1} \text{ if } n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

The function  $f$  is given by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x-1}(\gamma + \psi(x) - \text{Log}(x))$  and we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n+1) + f(1) - \int_1^2 f(x) dx$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m+n-1} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n}{n} - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n+1)}{n} + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^2} - \int_1^2 \frac{1}{x-1} (\gamma + \Psi(x) - \text{Log}(x)) dx$$

With the preceding results, after some simplifications we get

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\gamma + \Psi(x+1)}{x} dx = \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m(m+1)}$$

We have for an integer  $q > 1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2q-1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{n^k m^{2q-k}} = \frac{1}{m^{2q-1}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{n^{2q-1}(m+n)}$$



thus if  $\zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^k}$  then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2q-1} \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(k) \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(2q-k) (-1)^{k-1} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^{2q-1}(m+n)}$$

With

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m+n} = \gamma - H_m + \text{Log}(m+1)$$

we get

$$2 \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^{2q-1}(m+n)} = 2\gamma \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(2q-1) + 2 \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1) - H_m}{m^{2q-1}}$$

thus

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m^{2q-1}} - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_m}{m^{2q-1}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{2q-2} \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(k) \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(2q-k) (-1)^{k-1}$$

For  $q = 2$  we get

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(m+1)}{m^3} - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_m}{m^3} = -\frac{1}{2} (\zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(2))^2$$

#### 2.10.4 The sums $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^p(m+n)^q}$

We begin with the sum  $\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{m}{m+n}$ . We have

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{m}{m+n} = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{m+n}{m+n} - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{n}{m+n} = \frac{1}{4} - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{n}{m+n}$$

thus we get

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{m}{m+n} = \frac{1}{8}$$

#### Remark

Since  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{m}{m+n} = m(\gamma - H_m + \text{Log}(m+1))$ , we get

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{m}{m+n} = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} m(\gamma - H_m + \text{Log}(m+1)) = \frac{5}{12} \gamma - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} m H_m + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} m \text{Log}(m+1)$$

thus we find

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} m H_m = \frac{5}{12} \gamma + \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} m \text{Log}(m+1) - \frac{1}{8}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} m \text{Log}(m+1) &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (m+1) \text{Log}(m+1) - \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(m+1) \\ &= -\zeta'(-1) - \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

we have another proof of the relation

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} m H_m = \frac{5}{12} \gamma - \zeta'(-1) - \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) + \frac{7}{8}$$

**A general relation**

Let an integer  $p \geq 2$  and

$$V_p(X, m, n) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{m^k n^{p-k}} + \frac{1}{n^k m^{p-k}} \right) X^{p-k-1}$$

$$W_p(X, m, n) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{m^k (m+n)^{p-k}} + \frac{1}{n^k (m+n)^{p-k}} \right) X^{p-k-1}$$

The partial fraction decomposition of  $\frac{1}{m^k(m+n)^{p-k}} + \frac{1}{n^k(m+n)^{p-k}}$  gives the relation

$$W_p(1+X, m, n) + X^{p-2} W_p(1+1/X, m, n) = V_p(X, m, n)$$

With  $\mathcal{R}$ -summation on  $m$  and  $n$ , we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} W_p(1+X, m, n) + X^{p-2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} W_p(1+1/X, m, n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} V_p(X, m, n)$$

If we set

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(k) = \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^k}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{m^k (m+n)^{p-k}} = \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(k, p-k)$$

then the polynomials

$$S_p(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(k, p-k) X^{p-k-1}$$

satisfies the relation (cf. Zagier)

$$S_p(1+X) + X^{p-2} S_p(1+1/X) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(k) \zeta^{\mathcal{R}}(p-k) X^{p-k-1}$$



## Chapter 3

# Ramanujan summation of alternating series.

### 3.1 Definition

The sum  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^n$  is not defined in the preceding sections. This is a consequence of the fact that for the sequence  $n \mapsto (-1)^n$  there is no interpolation function  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$ .

To define the summation of alternating series we begin to use the Euler-Boole summation formula

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) - f(2) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} f(n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(1) \frac{E_k}{k!} \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(n+1) \frac{E_k}{k!} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{m!} e_m(t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

which we can write on the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} f(n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(1) \frac{E_k}{k!} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{m!} e_m(t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(n+1) \frac{E_k}{k!} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} e_m(t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

We see that we have the same structure like in the Euler-MacLaurin formula. By integration by parts we verify that the constant term

$$\tilde{C}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(1) \frac{E_k}{k!} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{m!} e_m(t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt$$

is independent on  $m$  for  $m \geq M$ .

We can define a summation for an alternating series  $\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} f(k)$ , like the preceding Ramanujan summation, by defining

$$\sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{k-1} f(k) = \tilde{C}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(1) \frac{E_k}{k!} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{1}{m!} e_m(t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt$$

We get for example:

$$\sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{k-1} = \frac{1}{2} E_0 = 1/2 \text{ and } \sum_{k \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{k-1} k = \frac{1}{2} E_0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_1}{1!} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

To obtain a definition not directly dependent on the Euler-Boole summation formula, we note

$$T_f(n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(n) \frac{E_k}{k!} - \frac{1}{2} \int_n^\infty \frac{1}{m!} e_m(t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt$$

then we have

$$f(1) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \tilde{C}(f) + T_f(n+1)$$

thus

$$(-1)^{n-1} f(n) = T_f(n+1) - T_f(n)$$

If we define  $A_f(n) = (-1)^n T_f(n)$  we get

$$A_f(n) + A_f(n+1) = f(n)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \tilde{C}(f) = -T_f(1) = A_f(1)$$

The equation  $A_f(x) + A_f(x+1) = f(x)$  does not specify an unique function  $A_f$  we must avoid the the solutions of  $A(x) + A(x+1) = 0$ , that are combinations of the functions  $e^{(2k+1)i\pi x}$ .

### Lemma 2

If  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  then there exist a unique solution  $A_f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  of

$$A_f(x) + A_f(x+1) = f(x)$$

We have

$$A_f(x) = R_{f(2x)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - R_{f(2x)}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof

The function  $x \mapsto f(2x)$  is in  $\mathcal{O}^{2\pi}$  and by the theorem 1 there is a function  $R \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi}$  which is solution of  $R(x) - R(x+1) = f(2x)$  with  $\int_1^2 R(x) dx = 0$ . And let

$$A(x) = R\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - R\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) + A(x+1) &= -R\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) + R\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) - R\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) + R\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \\ &= -R\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) + R\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \\ &= f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Unicity of the solution: if a function  $A \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  is a solution of  $A(x) + A(x+1) = 0$  then the function  $R(x) = A(x)e^{i\pi x}$  is a solution of  $R(x) - R(x+1) = 0$  of exponential type  $< 2\pi$ , thus by the Lemma 1 the function  $R$  is a constant  $C$  and we have  $A(x) = Ce^{i\pi x}$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  implies  $C = 0$ .

□

### Definition

If  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  there exist a unique function  $A_f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  solution of  $A_f(x) + A_f(x+1) = f(x)$  and we define

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = A_f(1)$$

**Remarks**

1) This definition does not contradict the preceding definition, because if  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  there is no function  $g \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  such that  $g(n) = (-1)^n f(n)$ . The condition  $g \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  is essential since in the case  $f = 1$  if we take  $g(x) = e^{i\pi x}$  then  $R_g(x) = \frac{e^{i\pi x}}{2} - \frac{1}{i\pi}$  thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{i\pi n} = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{i\pi}$$

And if we take  $g(x) = e^{-i\pi x}$  then  $R_g(x) = \frac{e^{-i\pi x}}{2} + \frac{1}{i\pi}$  thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{-i\pi n} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{i\pi}$$

2) If  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  then  $x \mapsto f(x)e^{i\pi x}$  is in  $\mathcal{O}^{2\pi}$  and by theorem 1 there is a unique function  $R_{f(x)e^{i\pi x}}$  solution of  $R(x) - R(x+1) = f(x)e^{i\pi x}$ . Then the function  $A(x) = e^{-i\pi x} R_{f(x)e^{i\pi x}}$  is solution of  $A(x) + A(x+1) = f(x)$ , but  $A$  is not  $A_f$  since it is not of exponential type  $< \pi$ .

3) We have  $\int_x^{x+1} A_f(x) dx + \int_{x+1}^{x+2} A_f(x) dx = \int_x^{x+1} f(x) dx$  thus by the preceding definition

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \int_n^{n+1} f(x) dx = \int_1^2 A_f(x) dx$$

**Examples**

1) The Euler polynomials  $E_k(x)$  given by

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{E_k(x)}{k!} z^k = \frac{2e^{xz}}{e^z + 1}$$

are solution of

$$E_k(x) + E_k(x+1) = 2x^k$$

thus  $A_{x^k} = \frac{1}{2} E_k(x)$  By Lemma 2 we have

$$A_{x^k} = \frac{2^k}{k+1} (B_{k+1}(\frac{x+1}{2}) - B_{k+1}(\frac{x}{2}))$$

By the properties of Bernoulli polynomials we deduce that for  $k$  integer  $> 0$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n^{2k} = 0$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n^{2k-1} = \frac{2^{2k}}{4k} (B_{2k}(1) - B_{2k}(\frac{1}{2}))$$

this gives

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n^{2k-1} = \frac{2^{2k}}{4k} (B_{2k} - B_{2k}(2^{1-4k} - 1))}$$

2) If  $f(x) = \text{Log}(x)$  then  $R_f(x) = -\text{Log}(\Gamma(x)) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1$  thus

$$R_{\text{Log}(2x)} = R_{\text{Log}(2)} - \text{Log}(\Gamma(x)) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1 = (\frac{3}{2} - x)\text{Log}(2) - \text{Log}(\Gamma(x)) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1$$

By Lemma 2 we get

$$A_f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(2) - \text{Log}(\Gamma(x/2)) + \text{Log}(\Gamma((x+1)/2))$$

and  $A_f(1) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Log}(2) - \text{Log}(\Gamma(1/2))$  thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Log}(2) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Log}(\pi)}$$

3) We have for  $|z| < \pi$

$$e^{ixz} + e^{i(x+1)z} = e^{ixz}(1 + e^{iz})$$

thus  $A_{e^{ixz}} = \frac{e^{ixz}}{1+e^{iz}}$  and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} e^{inz} = \frac{e^{iz}}{1 + e^{iz}}$$

We deduce that for  $-\pi < t < \pi$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \cos(nt) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \sin(nt) = \frac{1}{2} \tan\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

4) The function  $\Psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$  satisfies

$$\Psi(x) + \Psi(x+1) = 2\Psi(x) + \frac{1}{x}$$

thus

$$2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \Psi(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} = \Psi(1)$$

We know that  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} = \ln(2)$ , this gives

$$2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \Psi(n) + \ln(2) = -\gamma$$

With  $\Psi(n) + \frac{1}{n} + \gamma = H_n$  we find that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} H_n = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2$$

In the same manner

$$x\Psi(x) + (x+1)\Psi(x+1) = 2x\Psi(x) + \Psi(x) + 1 + \frac{1}{x}$$

gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n H_n = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \ln 2$$

## 3.2 Relation to usual summation.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  from the equation  $A_f(x) + A_f(x+1) = f(x)$  we get

$$A_f(1) + (-1)^n A_f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} f(k)$$

If the alternating series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$  is convergent then  $(-1)^n A_f(n)$  must have a limit when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  but with the oscillation of  $(-1)^n$  and the fact that  $A_f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  then it seems that this limit is 0. Thus in the case the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$  is a convergent series we expect that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$$

To prove this we note that if the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n f(x+n)$  is convergent for all  $\operatorname{Re}(x) > 0$  and if the function

$$x \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n f(x+n)$$

is in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ , this sum is the unique solution of  $A(x) + A(x+1) = f(x)$ . Thus we get

$$A_f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n f(x+n)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n f(n+1)$$

### Remark

The fact that for alternating series there is not a corrective integral term is also clear if we note that for  $f$  sufficiently decreasing when  $\operatorname{Re}(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_f(x) &= R_{f(2x)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - R_{f(2x)}\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+2n) - \int_1^{+\infty} f(2x) dx - \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+n+1) + \int_1^{+\infty} f(2x) dx \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+2n) - \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\infty} f(x+n+1) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n f(x+n) \end{aligned}$$

## 3.3 Properties of the summation

We have immediately the property of linearity

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} (af(n) + bg(n)) = a \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) + b \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} g(n)$$

### 3.3.1 The shift property

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  we have for any integer  $p \geq 1$

$$A_f(x+p) + A_f(x+p+1) = f(x+p)$$

thus if we note  $f(+p) : x \mapsto f(x+p)$  then  $A_{f(+p)}(x) = A_f(x+p)$  and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n+p) = A_f(p+1) = (-1)^p A_f(1) + (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{k-1} f(k)$$



This gives the usual property for the shift

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n+p) = f(p) - f(p-1) + \dots + (-1)^{p-1} f(1) + (-1)^p \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$$

thus for the special case  $p = 1$  we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^n f(n+1) = -f(1) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$$

**Remark**

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  and  $F(x) = \int_1^x f(t)dt$  as a consequence of the shift property we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} F(n) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 A_f(x) dx \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof**

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  we have

$$\int_x^{x+1} A_f(x) dx + \int_{x+1}^{x+2} A_f(x) dx = \int_x^{x+1} f(x) dx$$

thus by the preceding definition

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \int_n^{n+1} f(x) dx = \int_1^2 A_f(x) dx$$

With  $F(x) = \int_1^x f(t)dt$  this gives

$$\int_1^2 A_f(x) dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} (F(n+1) - F(n)) = -\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^n F(n+1) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} F(n)$$

and by the shift property this gives  $\int_1^2 A_f(x) dx = -2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} F(n)$ .

□

### 3.3.2 Summation of even and odd terms.

The classical properties

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(2n-1) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(2n) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f(n)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (f(2n-1) - f(2n))$$

are not satisfied by Ramanujan sums.

**Theorem 12** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n-1) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Proof

It is equivalent to prove the following assertions

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n-1) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(t) dt$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n-1) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(t) dt$$

The first assertion is simply (2.7). For the second assertion let  $g(x) = f(2x)$  thus

$$A_f(x) = R_g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - R_g\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = R_g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(n)$$

But we know by eq(10) that

$$R_g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \int_{1/2}^1 g(t) dt$$

Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(n) + \int_{1/2}^1 g(t) dt$$

thus we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n-1) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(t) dt$$

□

### Remark

From the preceding theorem we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n-1) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(t) dt$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n-1) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(t) dt$$

### Examples

1) Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2n-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n}$$

thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2n-1} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2}$$

2) Let  $f(x) = \ln(x)$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(2n) + \int_1^2 \text{Log}(t) dt$$

With

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(2n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(2) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \ln(n) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(2) + \text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1$$

we get

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)}$$

And we have also

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(2n-1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Log}(\sqrt{2\pi}) - 1) + \frac{1}{4} \text{Log}\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)$$

thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(2n-1) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Log}(2) - 1)}$$

and by the shift property

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \text{Log}(2n+1) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Log}(2) + 3\text{Log}(3)) - 1}$$

3) Let  $f(x) = \frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x}$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(2n)}{2n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 \frac{\text{Log}(x)}{x} dx$$

but

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(2n)}{2n} = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(2)}{2n} + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{2n} = \frac{\text{Log}(2)}{2} \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n}$$

thus

$$\frac{\text{Log}(2)}{2} \gamma = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n)}{n} + \frac{\text{Log}^2(2)}{4}$$

this gives

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n)}{n} = \frac{\text{Log}^2(2)}{2} - \gamma \text{Log}(2)}$$

### 3.3.3 Derivation and integration

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\pi}$  we have by theorem 8

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (2f(2n-1) - f(n)) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (f(n) - 2f(2n)) + \int_1^2 f(t) dt$$

Thus if  $f$  depend on an extra parameter  $z$  or  $t$  then the theorems of analyticity en integration of chapter 2 remains valid.

**Examples**

1) We know that for  $Re(z) < 0$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} e^{zn} H_n = \frac{\ln(e^z + 1)}{e^z + 1}$$

Thus expanding in powers of  $z$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} H_n = \frac{\ln(2)}{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n H_n = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\ln(2)}{4}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n^2 H_n = -\frac{1}{16}$$

2) For  $Re(s) > 0$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n^s} = (1 - 2^{1-s}) \zeta(s)$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} = (1 - 2^1) \zeta(0) \Rightarrow \zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$$

By derivation, for  $s \neq 1$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\ln(n)}{n^s} = -2^{1-s} (\ln 2) \zeta(s) - (1 - 2^{1-s}) \zeta'(s)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \ln(n) &= -2 (\ln 2) \zeta(0) + \zeta'(0) \\ &= \ln 2 + \zeta'(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n^2 \ln(n) = - (1 - 2^3) \zeta'(-2) = -7 \zeta(3) / (2\pi)^2$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n^k \ln(n) = -2^{k+1} (\ln 2) \zeta(-k) - (1 - 2^{k+1}) \zeta'(-k)$$

3) We have for  $-\pi < t < \pi$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} e^{int} = \frac{e^{it}}{1 + e^{it}} = \frac{e^{it/2}}{e^{-it/2} + e^{it/2}} = \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tg}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

Thus for  $-\pi < t < \pi$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \cos(nt) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \sin(nt) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tg}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

### 3.4 Application: Expression of the Stieltjes constants

**Theorem 13** All the Stieltjes constants  $\gamma_m = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^m(n)}{n}$  can be expressed in terms linear combinations of the constants  $\tilde{\gamma}_k$  given by the convergent series

$$\tilde{\gamma}_k = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^k(n)}{n}$$

More precisely we have

$$\gamma_m = -m! \sum_{\substack{k+l=m \\ l \geq -1, k \geq 0}} \frac{B_{l+1} \text{Log}^l(2) \tilde{\gamma}_k}{(l+1)! k!}$$

Proof

By the preceding theorem we have

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(2n)^{z+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^{z+1}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{z+1}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 \frac{1}{x^{z+1}} dx$$

thus

$$\sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^{z+1}} = \frac{1}{1-2^{-z}} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{z+1}} - \frac{1}{z}$$

But we can expand all the terms

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n^{z+1}} &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{e^{-z \text{Log}(n)}}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^k(n)}{n} \\ \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^{z+1}} &= \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} e^{-z \text{Log}(n)}}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k \sum_{m \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^k(n)}{n} \\ \frac{1}{1-2^{-z}} &= \frac{1}{z \text{Log}(2)} \frac{-z \text{Log}(2)}{e^{-z \text{Log}(2)} - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k B_k}{k!} \text{Log}^{k-1}(2) z^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} z^m \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^m(n)}{n} \\ &= \left[ \sum_{l=-1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l+1} B_{l+1}}{(l+1)!} \text{Log}^l(2) z^l \right] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^k(n)}{n} \right] - \frac{1}{z} \\ &= \sum_{m=-1}^{+\infty} z^m \sum_{k+l=m} \frac{(-1)^{l+1} B_{l+1}}{(l+1)!} \text{Log}^l(2) \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^k(n)}{n} - \frac{1}{z} \end{aligned}$$

the coefficient of  $z^{-1}$  in the sum is  $\text{Log}^{-1}(2) \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} = 1$  thus we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} z^m \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^m(n)}{n} = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} z^m \sum_{k+l=m} \frac{(-1)^{l+1} B_{l+1}}{(l+1)!} \text{Log}^l(2) \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^k(n)}{n}$$

By identification we get

$$\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^m(n)}{n} = - \sum_{\substack{k+l=m \\ l \geq -1, k \geq 0}} \frac{B_{l+1} \text{Log}^l(2)}{(l+1)!} \left[ \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^k(n)}{n} \right]$$

□

**Examples**For  $m = 0$ 

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = -\frac{1}{\text{Log}(2)} \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n)}{n} \right] - B_1 \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \right]$$

thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n)}{n} = -\gamma \text{Log}(2) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}^2(2)$$

For  $m = 1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n} &= -\frac{1}{\text{Log}(2)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^2(n)}{n} \right] \\ &\quad - B_1 \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n)}{n} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{B_2 \text{Log}(2)}{2!} \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \right] \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n} = -\frac{1}{\text{Log}(2)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^2(n)}{n} \right] + \frac{1}{2} [-\gamma \text{Log}(2)] + \frac{1}{6} \text{Log}^2(2)$$

For  $m = 2$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\text{Log}^2(n)}{n} &= -\frac{1}{\text{Log}(2)} \left[ \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^3(n)}{n} \right] \\ &\quad - B_1 \left[ \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}^2(n)}{n} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{B_2 \text{Log}(2)}{2!} \left[ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n)}{n} \right] \end{aligned}$$

### 3.5 Other alternate sums

**Theorem 14** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n f(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$$

Proof

We write

$$(-1)^{n-1} R_f(n) - (-1)^{n-1} R_f(n+1) = (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$$

then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} R_f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^n R_f(n+1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$$

but

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^n R_f(n+1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} R_f(n) + R_f(1)$$

thus

$$2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} R_f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$$

□

### Example

We have

$$- \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(\Gamma(n+1))$$

thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \text{Log}(n!) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Log}\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)}$$

**Theorem 15** *Let We now study series of type*

$$S_n^A(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} f(k)$$

*If  $f$  is of moderate growth then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} S_n^A(f) &= \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n f(n) \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} S_n^A(f) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} F(n) \text{ with } F(x) = \int_1^x f(u) du \end{aligned}$$

Proof

We have  $S_n^A(f) = A_f(1) + (-1)^n A_f(n) + (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$  thus the sums

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} S_n^A(f) \text{ and } \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} S_n^A(f)$$

are well defined if  $f$  is of moderate growth and it is equivalent to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} A_f(n) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n f(n) \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} A_f(n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 A_f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$xA_f(x) + (x+1)A_f(x+1) = A_f(x+1) + xf(x)$$

thus

$$A_f(1) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} A_f(n+1) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} n f(n)$$

but  $A_f(1) + (-1)^{n-1} A_f(n+1) = S_n^A(f)$  this gives the first assertion.

For the second assertion we use

$$A_f(x) - A_f(x+1) = 2A_f(x) - f(x)$$

and the function  $R(x) = A_f(x) - \int_1^2 A_f(x) dx$  is solution of

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) - R(x+1) &= 2A_f(x) - f(x) \\ \int_1^2 R(x) dx &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$A_f(1) - \int_1^2 A_f(x) dx = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} A_f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) - \int_1^2 A_f(x) dx = 2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} A_f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$$

We have  $\int_1^2 A_f(x) dx = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} (F(n+1) - F(n))$  with  $F(x) = \int_1^x f(u) du$

$$\int_1^2 A_f(x) dx = -2 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} F(n)$$

Finally we note that

$$(-1)^{n-1} A_f(n) = A_f(1) - S_n^A(f) + (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$$

thus

$$A_f(n) = A_f(1) - 1)^{n-1} - (1)^{n-1} S_n^A(f) + f(n)$$

□

### Example

For  $f(x) = 1/x$  let  $H_n^A = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{k}$  then

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} H_n^A = \frac{3}{2} \ln(2) - \frac{1}{2}}$$

**Theorem 16** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are of moderate growth then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) S_n^A(g) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} g(n) S_n(f) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) g(n) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} g(n)$$

Proof

Multiplying by  $R_f(x)$  the equation  $A_g(x) + A_g(x+1) = g(x)$  we get

$$A_g(x) R_f(x) + A_g(x+1) R_f(x) = g(x) R_f(x)$$



or

$$A_g(x)R_f(x) + A_g(x+1)(R_f(x+1) + f(x)) = g(x)R_f(x)$$

This gives

$$A_g(x)R_f(x) + A_g(x+1)R_f(x+1) = g(x)R_f(x) - f(x)A_g(x+1)$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_g(1)R_f(1) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} (g(n)R_f(n) - f(n)A_g(n+1)) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} g(n)R_f(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)A_g(n+1) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} g(n) \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + f(n) - S_n(f) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) \left( (-1)^{n-1} S_n^A(g) - (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} g(n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$0 = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)g(n) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} g(n)S_n(f) - \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)S_n^A(g) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} g(n)$$

□

### Example

With  $f = g$  the preceding theorem gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)S_n^A(f) + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)S_n(f) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} [f(n)]^2 + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n)$$

For  $f(x) = 1/x$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{H_n}{n} + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n^A}{n} = \gamma \ln 2 + \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n^2} = \gamma \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)$$

We have (cf. Srivastava and Choi p.357 (40))

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{H_n}{n} = \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2) - \frac{1}{2} (\text{Log}(2))^2$$

We get a formula that generalize a formula of Sitaramachandrarao ([4] A formula of Ramanujan, th 3.5).

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{H_n^A}{n} = \gamma \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2} (\ln 2)^2}$$

where  $H_n^A = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{k}$ .

### 3.6 Generalization

Let  $N$  integer  $> 1$  and  $\omega$  a root of unity  $\omega = e^{2i\pi m/N}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, N-1$ , we can define the Ramanujan summation of the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \omega^{n-1} f(n)$$

Let  $N$  integer  $> 1$  we note simply  $f(Nx)$  the function

$$: x \mapsto f(Nx)$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\omega$  a root of unity  $\omega = e^{2i\pi m/N}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, N-1$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi/N}$  then the equation

$$R(x) - \omega R(x+1) = f(x)$$

has a unique solution  $R_f^\omega \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi/N}$ . We have

$$R_f^\omega(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega^k R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) \quad (3.3)$$

Proof

The function  $R_f^\omega$  defined by (5.3) verify

$$R_f^\omega(x) - R_f^\omega(x+1) = R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{x}{N} + 1\right) = f(x)$$

For the unicity we note that if  $R \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi/N}$  is solution of

$$R(x) - \omega R(x+1) = 0$$

then

$$\omega^x R(x) - \omega^{x+1} R(x+1) = 0$$

and  $T : x \mapsto \omega^x R(x)$  is in  $\mathcal{O}^{2\pi}$  and is solution of  $T(x) - T(x+1) = 0$ . Thus  $T$  is constant and

$$R(x) = C\omega^{-x}$$

With  $R \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi/N}$  this implies  $C = 0$ .

□

#### Definition

Let  $\omega$  a root of unity  $\omega = e^{2i\pi m/N}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, N-1$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi/N}$  we define

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \omega^{n-1} f(n) = R_f^\omega(1)$$

where  $R_f^\omega \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi/N}$  is the unique solution of

$$R(x) - \omega R(x+1) = f(x)$$

**Theorem 17** We have for  $\omega = e^{2i\pi m/N}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, N-1$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \omega^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega^k \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(Nn+k+1-N) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega^k \int_{k+1}^N f(x) dx$$

For  $k = 1, \dots, N$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(Nn+k-N) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} e^{-\frac{2i\pi m}{N}k} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{2i\pi m}{N}n} f(n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \int_1^k f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Proof

We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \omega^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega^k R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right)$$

which is true for  $\omega = e^{2i\pi m/N}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, N-1$ .

If  $\omega = 1$  the function  $R$  given by

$$R(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right)$$

is solution of

$$R(x) - R(x+1) = f(x)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) - \int_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) dx \\ \int_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) dx &= N \int_{1/N}^{1+1/N} R_{f(Nx)}(x) dx = N \int_{1/N}^1 f(Nx) dx = \int_1^N f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{x+k}{N}\right) - \int_1^N f(x) dx \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) - \int_1^N f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

The system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \int_1^N f(x) dx \\ \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2i\pi m}{N}k} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) &= e^{-\frac{2i\pi m}{N}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{2i\pi m}{N}n} f(n), \quad m = 1, \dots, N-1 \end{aligned}$$

is of type  $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} b_k e^{\frac{2i\pi m}{N}k} = a_m$  and can be solved by and  $b_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{-\frac{2i\pi m}{N}k} a_m$  thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) &= \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \int_1^N f(x) dx \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} e^{-\frac{2i\pi m}{N}k} e^{-\frac{2i\pi m}{N}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{2i\pi m}{N}n} f(n) \end{aligned}$$

With

$$\begin{aligned} R_{f(Nx)}\left(\frac{k+1}{N}\right) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(Nn - N + 1 + k) - \int_1^{\frac{1+k}{N}} f(Nx) dx \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(Nn + k + 1 - N) + \frac{1}{N} \int_{k+1}^N f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

we get for  $k = 0, \dots, N-1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(Nn + k + 1 - N) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} e^{-\frac{2i\pi m}{N}(k+1)} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{2i\pi m}{N}n} f(n) \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \int_1^{k+1} f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark. Relation with the usual summation**

Let  $\omega$  a root of unity  $\omega = e^{2i\pi m/N}$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, N - 1$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi/N}$ . If the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \omega^{n-1} f(n+x-1)$  is convergent for  $Re(x) > 0$  then the function

$$R(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \omega^{n-1} f(n+x-1)$$

is solution of

$$R(x) - \omega R(x+1) = f(x)$$

If  $R \in \mathcal{O}^{2\pi/N}$  then by unicity of the solution we obtain

$$R_f^\omega(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \omega^{n-1} f(n+x-1)$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{+\infty} \omega^{n-1} f(n)$$

**Examples**

1)  $N = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n-1) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^1 \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{2i\pi m}{2}n} f(n) \\ \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(2n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^n f(n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^2 f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

2)  $N = 3$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(3n-2) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{3} e^{-\frac{2i\pi}{3}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}n} f(n) + \frac{1}{3} e^{-\frac{4i\pi}{3}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{4i\pi}{3}n} f(n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(3n-1) &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{3} e^{-\frac{4i\pi}{3}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}n} f(n) + \frac{1}{3} e^{-\frac{2i\pi}{3}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{4i\pi}{3}n} f(n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \int_1^2 f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(3n) &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}n} f(n) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} e^{\frac{4i\pi}{3}n} f(n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \int_1^3 f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$



# Chapter 4

## Formal transforms and numerical evaluations

### 4.1 Formal transforms

We know that the Ramanujan summation is related to the series  $-\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{B_k}{k!} \partial^{k-1} f(1)$  by the formulas

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = -\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_k}{k!} \partial^{k-1} f(1) + (1)^{m+1} \int_0^1 R_{\partial^m f}(t+1) \frac{B_m(t)}{m!} dt$$

or

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = -\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_k}{k!} \partial^{k-1} f(1) + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{b_{m+1}(x)}{(m+1)!} \partial^{m+1} f(x) dx$$

The relation with the series  $-\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{B_k}{k!} \partial^{k-1} f(1)$  can also be viewed in an operator setting.

Let  $E$  the shift operator defined by

$$Eg(x) = g(x+1)$$

by Taylor formula we have formally  $E = e^\partial$ , and the equation  $R(x) - R(x+1) = f(x)$  is

$$(I - e^\partial)R = f$$

and we have

$$R = \frac{I}{I - E} f = -\frac{\partial}{e^\partial - I} \partial^{-1} f = -\partial^{-1} f - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{B_k}{k!} \partial^{k-1} f$$

With  $\partial^{-1} f(x) = \int_1^x f(t) dt$  we get formally

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = -\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \partial^k f(1)}$$

Unfortunately this last series is often divergent for the usual Cauchy summation. A more useful formula is obtained if we work with the difference operator

$$\Delta g(x) = g(x+1) - g(x)$$

Thus we write  $\Delta = E - I$ , and translate the equation  $R(x) - R(x+1) = f(x)$  in the form

$$-\Delta R = f$$

To get an expansion of  $\frac{I}{\Delta}$  we use that  $\frac{I}{\log(I+\Delta)} - \frac{I}{\Delta}$  can be expanded in powers of  $\Delta$  and that formally we have

$$\frac{I}{\log(I+\Delta)} = \frac{I}{\log(e^\partial)} = \partial^{-1}$$

Thus define the *modified Bernoulli numbers*  $\beta_n$  by

$$\frac{t}{\log(1+t)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\beta_n}{n!} t^n = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} t^{n+1}$$

We get

$$\frac{I}{\log(I+\Delta)} - \frac{I}{\Delta} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \Delta^n$$

Thus

$$R = -\frac{I}{\Delta} f = -\partial^{-1} f + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \Delta^n f$$

This gives formally

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} (\Delta^n f)(1)} \quad (4.1)$$

### Remark

The modified Bernoulli numbers  $\beta_{n+1}$  are given by

$$\frac{t}{\log(1+t)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\beta_n}{n!} t^n$$

Thus they are given by  $\beta_0 = 1$  and the relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\beta_n}{n!} \frac{(-1)^k}{n-k+1} = 0$$

this gives

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \beta_2 = -\frac{1}{12}, \beta_3 = \frac{1}{24}, \beta_4 = -\frac{19}{720}, \beta_5 = \frac{3}{160}, \beta_6 = -\frac{863}{60480}, \dots$$

We can give an integral expression of these numbers if we write

$$\frac{t}{\log(1+t)} = \int_0^1 e^{x \text{Log}(1+t)} dx = \int_0^1 (1+t)^x dx = \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \int_0^1 \frac{x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1)}{n!} dx$$

thus we get

$$\beta_n = \int_0^1 x(x-1)\dots(x-n+1) dx$$

This formula and the sum  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} (\Delta^n f)(1)$  in (4.1) show that Ramanujan summation can be related to Newton interpolation series.

## 4.2 Newton interpolation series

The Newton interpolation series are series of type

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{(z-1)\dots(z-n)}{n!}$$

with the convention  $\frac{(z-1)\dots(z-n)}{n!} = 1$  si  $n = 0$ . They have the following property:

If for  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{(x_0-1)\dots(x_0-n)}{n!}$  is convergent then the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{(z-1)\dots(z-n)}{n!}$  is uniformly convergent on every compact of the half plane  $\{Re(z) > x_0\}$  thus defining an analytic function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n \frac{(z-1)\dots(z-n)}{n!} \text{ for } z \in \{Re(z) > x_0\} \quad (4.2)$$

If for  $x_0 < 1$  the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{(x_0-1)\dots(x_0-n)}{n!}$  is convergent then the coefficients  $a_n$  are related to the values  $f(1), f(2), \dots$  of the function  $f$  by

$$f(k+1) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-n+1)}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n C_k^n$$

a relation that we can invert to get an expression of the coefficients  $a_n$

$$a_n = \Delta^n f(1) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(k+1) C_n^k (-1)^{n-k}$$

Thus for  $z \in \{Re(z) > x_0\}$  we get the *Newton interpolation formula*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \Delta^n f(1) \frac{(z-1)\dots(z-n)}{n!}$$

**Remark: Ramanujan interpolation formula**

To get the expansion of a function  $f$  in Newton series we have to evaluate the terms  $\Delta^n f(1)$ , this can be done by the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta^n f(1) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^n f(k+1) \frac{t^n}{k!(n-k)!} (-1)^{n-k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} f(k+1) \frac{t^k}{k!} \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^l t^l}{l!}$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta^n f(1) \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{-t} \sum_{k \geq 0} f(k+1) \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

Now if we write

$$(z-1)\dots(z-k) = (-1)^k \frac{1}{\Gamma(-z+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} t^{k-z} dt$$

then we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} (z-1)\dots(z-k) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-z+1)} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k (\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} t^{k-z} dt$$

and interchanging  $\sum_{k \geq 0}$  and  $\int_0^{+\infty}$  we get

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} (z-1)\dots(z-k) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-z+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-z} e^{-t} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k (\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} t^k dt$$

But

$$e^{-t} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k (\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} t^k = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} f(k+1) t^k$$

thus the Newton interpolation formula become the *Ramanujan interpolation formula*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-z+1)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-z} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} f(k+1) t^k dt$$



### 4.3 Another formula for Ramanujan summation

Let  $f$  be given by the Newton interpolation formula, then for any integer  $n \geq 1$

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} (n-1)\dots(n-k)$$

To evaluate the sum  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n)$  we thus evaluate the sums  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)\dots(n-k)$  and try to prove that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)\dots(n-k)$$

We first note that

$$(x-1)\dots(x-(k+1)) - x(x-1)\dots(x-k) = -(k+1)(x-1)\dots(x-k)$$

thus

$$R_{(x-1)\dots(x-k)} = -\frac{1}{k+1}(x-1)\dots(x-(k+1)) + \frac{1}{k+1} \int_1^2 (x-1)\dots(x-(k+1))dx$$

and we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)\dots(n-k) = \frac{1}{k+1} \int_1^2 (x-1)\dots(x-(k+1))dx$$

We verify that

$$\frac{1}{k+1} \int_1^2 (x-1)\dots(x-(k+1))dx = \frac{1}{k+1} \int_0^1 x(x-1)\dots(x-k)dx = \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{k+1}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)\dots(n-k) = \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{k+1}$$

and the formula (4.1) is simply

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (n-1)\dots(n-k)$$

**Theorem 18** *Let  $f$  analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > x_0$  with*

$$|f(z)| \leq C e^{|z| \operatorname{Log}(2)}$$

*Let*

$$\beta_{k+1} = \int_0^1 x(x-1)\dots(x-k)dx$$

*and*

$$\Delta^k f(1) = \sum_{j=0}^k f(j+1) C_k^j (-1)^{k-j}$$

*then the series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (\Delta^k f)(1)$  is convergent and*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (\Delta^k f)(1)$$

Proof

This is a consequence of the following theorems of Nörlund (Sur les series d'interpolation, Gauthier-Villars, 1926):

Theorem 1 of Nörlund

Let  $x_0 < 1$ .

If the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{(x_0-1)\dots(x_0-n)}{n!}$  is convergent then the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n \frac{(z-1)\dots(z-n)}{n!}$$

is analytic for  $Re(z) > x_0$  and

$$|f(z)| \leq C e^{|z|^{\frac{\pi}{2}}} |z|^{x_0 + \frac{1}{2}}$$

Theorem 2 of Nörlund

If a function  $f$  is analytic for  $Re(z) > x_0$  and verify

$$|f(z)| \leq C e^{|z| \text{Log}(2)}$$

then for  $Re(z) > \sup(x_0, 1/2)$  we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \Delta^n f(1) \frac{(z-1)\dots(z-n)}{n!}$$

this expansion is uniformly convergent for  $Re(z) > \sup(x_0, 1/2) + \varepsilon$

By the Theorem 2 of Nörlund we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} (x-1)\dots(x-k)$$

this expansion being uniformly convergent in every compact of  $Re(z) > \sup(x_0, 1/2)$ . The series

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{(k+1)!} (x-1)\dots(x-(k+1))$$

is also convergent for  $Re(x) > \sup(x_0, 1/2)$ . This can be proved by

$$\frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{(k+1)!} (x-1)\dots(x-(k+1)) = \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{k!} (x-1)\dots(x-k) \frac{x-(k+1)}{k+1}$$

and applying the classical summation by part. Thus this series define an analytic function

$$R(x) = - \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\Delta^k f)(1)}{(k+1)!} (x-1)\dots(x-(k+1))$$

for  $Re(x) > \alpha = \sup(x_0, 1/2)$ . This function verify

$$R(x) - R(x+1) = f(x)$$

and by the Theorem 1 of Nörlund we have

$$|R(x)| \leq C e^{|x|^{\frac{\pi}{2}}} |x|^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}$$

Thus  $R$  is in  $\mathcal{O}^\pi$ , it suffices now to define  $R_f$  by

$$R_f(x) = R(x) - \int_1^2 R(t) dt$$

By uniform convergence of the series defining  $R$  on the interval  $[1, 2]$  we get the result.

□

**Example**

If  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta^n f(1) \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{-t} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{t^k}{(k+1)!} = \frac{e^{-t}}{t} (e^t - 1) = \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{t}$$

thus

$$\Delta^n f(1) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1}$$

and

$$\gamma = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

**Remark**

Note that for any integer  $m \geq 1$  we have if  $g(x) = f(x+m)$

$$\Delta^n g(1) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(k+m+1) C_n^k (-1)^{n-k} = \Delta^n f(m+1)$$

and by the shift property

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} g(n) + \sum_{n=1}^m f(n) - \int_1^{m+1} f(x) dx$$

thus for any integer  $m \geq 1$  we get

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} f(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (\Delta^k f)(m+1) + \sum_{n=1}^m f(n) - \int_1^{m+1} f(x) dx}$$

This can be used in some cases to get numerical evaluations of the Ramanujan sums, for example if we set  $f(x) = \frac{1}{(x+1)\text{Log}(x+1)}$  we get with  $m = 20$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n+1)\text{Log}(n+1)} = 0.42816572487123\dots$$

**The case of alternating series**

For the use of  $\Delta$  in the case of summation of alternating series we write the equation  $A(x) + A(x+1) = f(x)$  in the form

$$(2I + \Delta)A = f$$

Thus

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \frac{I}{I + \frac{1}{2}\Delta} f$$

This gives formally

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} (-1)^{n-1} f(n) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n+1}} (\Delta^n f)(1)$$

and we see that the Ramanujan summation of alternating series is simply the classical Euler summation which is defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^{Euler} v_n = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k v_k$$

# Chapter 5

## A general algebraic view on summation of series

### 5.1 Introduction

The Ramanujan summation differs of the classical summations methods by the fact that for convergent series the Ramanujan summation does not give the usual sum. And also there is the shift property which seems very strange for a summation procedure. Thus it is necessary to give a general algebraic formalism to unify Ramanujan summation and the classical methods of summation of series.

To introduce this formalism we begin with the analysis of the example of Borel summation. The Borel summation is formally given by the interversion formula

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} \frac{t^n}{n!} dt = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) dt$$

More precisely let a complex sequence  $(a_n)$ , then if the series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

is convergent for  $x$  near 0 then the function  $f$  is analytic near 0 and such that for all  $n \geq 0$

$$a_n = \partial^n f(0)$$

And formally we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \partial^n f(0) = \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \partial^n f \right)(0) = ((I - \partial)^{-1} f)(0)$$

Thus  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n = R(0)$  with  $R$  solution of the equation

$$(I - \partial)R = f$$

Assume that  $f$  has an analytic continuation near  $[0, +\infty[$  and take the solution

$$R(x) = e^x \int_x^{+\infty} e^{-t} f(t) dt$$

if this integral is convergent, thus we get formally

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n = R(0) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} f(t) dt$$

The problem is that the differential equation  $(I - \partial)R = f$  has an infinity of solutions thus we must introduce a condition to get a unique solution.

Let  $E$  the space of complex analytic functions  $f$  near  $[0, +\infty[$  such that  $e^{-x}f(x)$  has a finite limit when  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

And let

$$\begin{aligned} D(f)(x) &= \frac{df}{dx}(x) = \partial f(x) \\ v_0(f) &= f(0) \\ v_\infty(f) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-x}f(x) \end{aligned}$$

A sequence  $(a_n)$  has the generating function  $f \in E$  if

$$a_n = \partial^n f(0)$$

Since  $f$  is analytic near 0 then in a small disk  $D(0, \rho)$  we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \partial^n f(0) \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

The differential equation

$$R - \partial R = f$$

gives the general solution

$$R(x) = -e^x \int_0^x e^{-t} f(t) dt + K e^x$$

The condition  $R \in E$  is equivalent to the convergence of the integral  $\int_\alpha^{+\infty} e^{-t} f(t) dt$  and the condition  $v_\infty(R) = 0$  gives

$$K = \int_\alpha^{+\infty} e^{-t} f(t) dt$$

Finally we see that the equation  $R - D(R) = f$  with the condition  $v_\infty(R_f) = 0$  gives the unique solution

$$R(x) = e^x \int_x^{+\infty} e^{-t} f(t) dt$$

Thus the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n$  is Borel-summable if the the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$  is convergent for  $x$  near 0 and define by analytic continuation a function  $f \in E$  then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^B a_n = v_0(R) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} f(t) dt$$

## 5.2 An algebraic formalism

Let a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $E$  with a linear operator  $D : E \rightarrow E$  and two linear operators  $v_0, v_\infty : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that :

(\*) The solutions of  $Dg = g$  form a one dimensional subspace of  $E$  generated by  $\alpha \in E$  with

$$v_0(\alpha) = v_\infty(\alpha) = 1$$

(\*\*) If  $v_0(D^n g) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$  then  $g = 0$

### Remark

By the property (\*) we have

$$\text{If } Dg = g \text{ and } v_\infty(g) = 0 \text{ then } g = 0$$

**Definition**

If  $(a_n)$  is a complex sequence then we say that  $(a_n)$  is generated by  $f \in E$  if

$$a_n = v_0(D^n f) \text{ for all } n \geq 0$$

then by (\*\*) this element  $f$  is unique.

Formally the sum  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n$  is defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n = \sum_{n \geq 0} v_0(D^n f) = v_0\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} D^n f\right) = v_0((I - D)^{-1} f)$$

thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n = v_0(R) \text{ with } (I - D)R = f$$

If  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are solutions of the equation  $R - DR = f$  then  $g = R_1 - R_2$  is solution of  $Dg = g$  thus to get unicity we use the preceding remark and we add to the equation  $R - DR = f$  the condition  $v_\infty(R) = 0$ .

**Definition**

Let  $\mathcal{T} = (E, D, v_0, v_\infty)$  as above. Let  $(a_n)$  a complex sequence generated by  $f \in E$  and assume that there is  $R_f \in E$  solution of

$$R_f - DR_f = f \text{ with } v_\infty(R_f) = 0$$

then this  $R_f$  is unique and we define

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n = v_0(R_f)$$

**Remark**

Since  $R_f - DR_f = f$  we have for any positive integer  $k$

$$D^k R_f - D^{k+1} R_f = D^k f$$

thus we get for any integer  $N \geq 1$

$$R_f - D^N R_f = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} D^k f$$

This gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k + v_0(D^N R_f)$$

and also

$$v_\infty(D^N R_f) = - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v_\infty(D^k f)$$

**Examples****1) The usual Cauchy summation**

Let  $E$  the vector space of convergent complex sequences  $u = (u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ . Let the operators

$$\begin{aligned} D &: (u_n) \mapsto (u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots) \\ v_0 &: (u_n) \mapsto u_0 \\ v_\infty &: (u_n) \mapsto \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v_0(D^n f) = f_n$  a complex sequence  $(a_n) \in E$  has the generating element

$$f = (a_n)$$

The equation  $R - D(R) = f$  is

$$(R_0, R_1, R_2, \dots) - (R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots) = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

this gives  $R_n - R_{n+1} = a_n$  thus

$$R_{n+1} = R_0 - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$$

and the condition  $v_\infty(R) = 0$  gives  $R_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$  thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_k \rightarrow R_0 = v_0(R)$$

We see that the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n$  is Cauchy-summable if  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k$  has a finite limit when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and we write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n = v_0(R) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$$

we said simply that the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n$  is convergent.

## 2) The Ramanujan summation.

We have defined the Ramanujan summation for series  $\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a_n$ , indexed by  $n \geq 1$ , these can be seen series indexed by  $n \geq 0$  if we let

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a_n = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{R}} b_n \text{ with } b_n = a_{n+1}$$

Let the space  $E = \mathcal{O}^\pi$  and the operators

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x) &= f(x+1) \\ v_0(f) &= f(1) \\ v_\infty(f) &= \int_1^2 f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

The condition (\*) is simply that a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  which is 1-periodic is constant and we get  $\alpha = 1$ . The condition (\*\*\*) is a consequence of Carlson theorem's.

A complex sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1} = (b_n)_{n \geq 0}$  has the generating element  $f \in \mathcal{O}^\pi$  if for all integer  $n \geq 0$  we have

$$b_n = v_0(D^n f) = f(n+1)$$

that is for all integer  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$a_n = f(n)$$

The equation

$$R_f - DR_f = f$$

is simply our difference equation

$$R_f(x) - R_f(x+1) = f(x)$$

and the condition  $v_\infty(R_f) = 0$  is simply the condition  $\int_1^2 R_f(t) dt = 0$  that we have in the Ramanujan summation.

We write as usual

$$\sum_{n \geq 1}^{\mathcal{R}} a_n = v_0(R_f) = R_f(1)$$

**Properties****1) The linearity property**

We have clearly for  $C \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n + C b_n = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n + C \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} b_n}$$

**2) The shift property**

If  $(a_n)$  is generated by  $f$ , for any integer  $N \geq 1$  we have the shift property

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_{n+N} = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v_{\infty}(D^k f)}$$

**Proof**

If  $(a_n)$  is generated by  $f \in E$  then for any integer  $N \geq 1$

$$a_{n+N} = v_0(D^{n+N} f) = v_0(D^n(D^N f))$$

thus the sequence  $(a_{n+N})$  is generated by  $D^N f \in E$ .

The equation  $R_f - DR_f = f$  gives

$$D^N R_f - D(D^N R_f) = D^N f$$

but generally we don't have  $v_{\infty}(D^N R_f) = 0$ .

If we add  $-v_{\infty}(D^N R_f)\alpha$  to  $D^N R_f$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} [D^N R_f - v_{\infty}(D^N R_f)\alpha] - D[D^N(R_f) - v_{\infty}(D^N R_f)\alpha] &= D^N f \\ v_{\infty}[D^N R_f + -v_{\infty}(D^N R_f)\alpha] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_{n+N} = v_0[D^N(R_f) - v_{\infty}(D^N R_f)\alpha] = v_0(D^N(R_f)) - v_{\infty}(D^N R_f)$$

Since and by the preceding remark we have

$$-v_{\infty}(D^N R_f) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v_{\infty}(D^k f)$$

this gives the shift property

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_{n+N} = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v_{\infty}(D^k f)$$

□

In the special case  $N = 1$  we get

$$\boxed{\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_{n+1} = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n - a_0 + v_{\infty}(f)}$$

Note that if we have the additional property:

(\*\*\*) If  $v_{\infty}(g) = 0$  then  $v_{\infty}(Dg) = 0$



then  $v_\infty(R_f) = 0$  gives  $v_\infty(D^N R_f) = 0$  for all positive integer thus the shift property is the usual property

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_{n+N} = \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n$$

This is the case for most summations but not for the Ramanujan summation.

**Remark: Generalized limit**

If we define the generalized limit of a sequence  $(a_n)$  by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} (a_n - a_{n+1}) = a_0 - \lim_{\mathcal{T}} a_n$$

then the shift property gives

$$\lim_{\mathcal{T}} a_n = v_\infty(f)$$

Thus the generalized limit associated to the summation is nothing else than  $v_\infty$ .

To see that the summation is related to the partial sums by this generalized limit let the sequence  $(r_n)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= \sum_{n \geq 0}^{\mathcal{T}} a_n \\ r_n &= r_0 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \text{ for } n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since we have  $r_0 = v_0(R_f)$  and (by the preceding remark) for any integer  $n \geq 1$

$$r_n = v_0(D^n R_f)$$

we see that the sequence  $(r)_n$  is generated by  $R_f$  thus

$$\lim_{\mathcal{T}} r_n = v_\infty(R_f) = 0$$

and finally we get

$$\lim_{\mathcal{T}} (r_0 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k) = 0$$

## 5.3 Examples

### 1) Cesaro summation

Let  $E$  the vector space of complex sequences  $u = (u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u_0 + \dots + u_{n-1}}{n} \text{ is finite}$$

And let the operators

$$\begin{aligned} D &: (u_n) \mapsto (u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots) \\ v_0 &: (u_n) \mapsto u_0 \\ v_\infty &: (u_n) \mapsto \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u_0 + \dots + u_{n-1}}{n} \end{aligned}$$

A sequence  $(a_n) \in E$  is generated by

$$f = (a_n)$$

The equation  $R - DR = f$  is  $R_k - R_{k+1} = a_k$  thus

$$R_0 - R_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k = S_{n-1}$$

this gives

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 - R_1 &= a_0 = S_0 \\ R_0 - R_2 &= a_0 + a_1 = S_1 \\ &\dots \\ R_0 - R_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k = S_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$R_0 - \frac{R_1 + \dots + R_n}{n} = \frac{S_0 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n}$$

We see that  $R = (R_n) \in E$  if and only if  $\frac{S_0 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n}$  has a finite limit when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since

$$\frac{S_0 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n} = \frac{S_0 + \dots + S_{n-2}}{n-1} \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{S_{n-1}}{n}$$

this implies that  $\frac{S_{n-1}}{n}$  has a finite limit when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus automatically we get  $f \in E$ .

The condition  $v_\infty(R) = 0$  is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R_1 + \dots + R_n}{n} = 0$  this gives

$$R_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_0 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n}$$

Thus series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n$  is Cesaro-summable if the sequence  $(\frac{S_0 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n})$  has a finite limit when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and we write

$$\sum_{n=0}^c a_n = v_0(R) = R_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_0 + \dots + S_{n-1}}{n}$$

### Remark

This can be generalized to Toeplitz summations in this case we let

$$v_\infty(u_n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{t,n} u_n$$

where  $(a_{t,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of sequences indexed by  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

a) For all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} |a_{t,n}|$  is convergent. There is  $M > 0$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |a_{t,n}| \leq M$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ .

b)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{t,n} = 1$ .

c)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \alpha} a_{t,n} = 0$  for all  $n$ .

### 2) Euler summation

Let  $E$  the vector space of complex sequences  $u = (u_n)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u_n}{2^n}$  is finite. And let the operators

$$\begin{aligned} D &: (u_n) \mapsto (u_{n+1} - u_n) \\ v_0 &: (u_n) \mapsto u_0 \\ v_\infty &: \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u_n}{2^n} \end{aligned}$$

We have for all  $n \geq 0$

$$v_0(D^n f) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k f_k (-1)^{n-k}$$

thus we get

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^n v_0(D^k f) C_n^k$$

Let a complex sequence  $(a_n)$  and the sequence  $f = (f_n)$  defined by

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k C_n^k$$

Assume that  $f \in E$ , we have  $v_0(D^n f) = a_n$ , thus the sequence  $(a_n)$  is generated by  $f$ . The equation  $R - DR = f$  is

$$2R_k - R_{k+1} = f_k$$

this gives

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= \frac{1}{2}R_1 + \frac{1}{2}f_0 \\ \frac{1}{2}R_1 &= \frac{1}{2^2}R_2 + \frac{1}{2^2}f_1 \\ \frac{1}{2^2}R_2 &= \frac{1}{2^3}R_3 + \frac{1}{2^3}f_2 \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$R_0 = \frac{1}{2}f_0 + \frac{1}{2^2}f_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}f_n - \frac{1}{2^n}R_n$$

We have  $R \in E$  if and only if the sequence  $(\frac{R_n}{2^n})$  has a finite limit, in this case the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f_n$  is convergent and this implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f_n = 0$  thus we have automatically  $f \in E$ .

The condition

$$0 = v_\infty(R) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} R_n$$

gives

$$R_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f_0 + \frac{1}{2^2} f_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f_n$$

Finally the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n$  is Euler-summable if the series

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n a_k C_n^k \right)$$

is convergent and we write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{E}} a_n = v_0(R) = R_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n a_k C_n^k \right)$$

### 3) Abel summation

Let  $E$  the vector space of analytic functions on  $] - 1, 1[$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1-x)f(x) \text{ is finite}$$

Let the operators

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x) &= \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} \text{ if } x \neq 0 \text{ and } Df(0) = f'(0) \\ v_0(f) &= f(0) \\ v_\infty(f) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (1-x)f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in E$  is analytic on  $] - 1, 1[$  we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_m x^m \text{ with } \alpha_m = \frac{\partial^m f(0)}{m!}$$

and we have

$$Df(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{m+1} x^m$$

thus for all  $n \geq 0$

$$D^n f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{m+n} x^m$$

and we get

$$v_0(D^n f) = \alpha_n = \frac{\partial^n f(0)}{n!}$$

Let a sequence  $(a_n)$  and assume that the series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n x^n$$

is convergent for  $x \in ] - 1, 1[$  and define a function  $f \in E$ .

Then

$$a_n = \frac{\partial^n f(0)}{n!} = v_0(D^n f)$$

and the sequence  $(a_n)$  is generated by  $f$ .

The equation  $R - DR = f$  gives

$$R(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} (R(0) - xf(x))$$

Thus  $R$  is analytic on  $] - 1, 1[$  and  $R \in E$  if and only if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)$  is finite then automatically  $f \in E$ .

The condition  $v_\infty(R) = 0$  gives

$$R(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)$$

Finally if the series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$  is convergent for all  $x \in [-1, 1[$  and if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n x^n$  is finite, then  $f \in E$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n$  is Abel-summable

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{A}} a_n = v_0(R) = R(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n x^n$$



# Chapter 6

## References

- 1) T.M.Apostol and T.H.Vu. Dirichlet series related to the Riemann zeta function. Journal of Number Theory 19 (1984) 85-102.
- 2) R. Bellman. A brief introduction to theta functions. Holt 1961.
- 3) D.Birmingham and S.Sen. A Mellin transform summation technique. J.Phys. A: Math.Gen. 20 (1987) 4557-4560.
- 4) B.C. Berndt Ramanujan Notebooks I,II,III,IV,V Springer Verlag
- 5) K.N.Boyadzhiev, H.G.Gadiyar, R.Padma. Alternating Euler sums at the negative integers. arxiv.org/pdf/0811.4437
- 6) B.Candelpergher, M.A.Coppo, E.Delabaere. La sommation de Ramanujan. L'Enseignement mathematique 43 (1997) 93-132
- 7) B.Candelpergher, H.G.Gadiyar, R.Padma. Ramanujan summation and the exponential generating function  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k} \zeta'(k)$ . Ramanujan Journal (2010) 21 (99-122)
- 8) M.A.Coppo. Sur les sommes d'Euler divergentes. Expositiones Mathematicae 18 (2000) 297-308
- 9) G.H.Hardy. Divergent series Clarendon. Oxford (1949)
- 10) D.H. Lehmer. Euler constants for arithmetical progressions. Acta Arithmetica XXVII (1975)
- 11) R.Sitaramachandrarao. A formula of S.Ramanujan. Journal of number theory 25 (1987)
- 12) H.M.Srivastava and Junesang Choi. Zeta and q-Zeta Functions an Associated Series and Integrals. Elsevier
- 13) T.Tao. The Euler-MacLaurin formula, Bernoulli numbers, the zeta function, and real variable analytic continuation. terrytao.wordpress.com/2010/04/10/the-euler-maclaurin-formula-bernoulli-num...
- 14) E.C.Titchmarsh and D.R. Heath-Brown The theory of the Riemann Zeta-function Clarendon Press Oxford
- 15) K.Yoshino. Difference equation in the space of holomorphic functions of exponential type and Ramanujan summation. ams.org.epr.uca/ma/mathscinet/search
- 16) D.Zagier. Quelques consequences surprenantes de la cohomologie de  $Sl_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Leons de mathmatiques d'aujourd'hui. Cassini 2000



# Chapter 7

## Appendix

### 7.1 Euler-MacLaurin and Euler-Boole formulas

#### 7.1.1 A Taylor formula

The classical Taylor formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(0) \frac{x^k}{k!} + \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^m}{m!} \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt$$

can be generalized if we replace the polynomial  $\frac{x^k}{k!}$  by other polynomials.

#### Définition

Let  $\mu$  a linear form on  $C^0(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\mu(1) = 1$ , we define the polynomials  $(P_n)$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= 1 \\ \partial P_n &= P_{n-1}, \mu(P_n) = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

#### Generating function for the $P_n$

We have formally

$$\partial_x \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} P_k(x) z^k \right) = \sum_{k \geq 1} P_{k-1}(x) z^k = z \sum_{k \geq 0} P_k(x) z^k$$

thus

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} P_k(x) z^k = C e^{xz}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_x \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} P_k(x) z^k \right) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \mu_x(P_k(x)) z^k = 1 \\ \mu_x \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} P_k(x) z^k \right) &= \mu_x(C e^{xz}) = C \mu_x(e^{xz}) \end{aligned}$$

this gives  $C = \frac{1}{\mu_x(e^{xz})}$ . Thus the generating function of the sequence  $(P_n)$  is

$$\sum_n P_n(x) z^n = e^{xz} / M_\mu(z)$$

where the function  $M_\mu$  is defined by  $M_\mu(z) = \mu_x(e^{xz})$ .

#### Examples

1)  $\mu(f) = f(0)$ ,  $P_n(x) = \frac{x^n}{n!}$ ,  $M_\mu(z) = 1$ ,  $\sum P_n(x) z^n = e^{xz}$



$$2) \mu(f) = \int_0^1 f(t)dt, P_n(x) = \frac{B_n(x)}{n!}, M_\mu(z) = \sum \int_0^1 \frac{t^n}{n!} z^n dt = \sum \frac{z^n}{(n+1)!} = \frac{1}{z}(e^z - 1)$$

$$\sum_n \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} z^n = \frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1}$$

The  $B_n(x)$  are the Bernoulli polynomials and the  $B_n = B_n(0)$  the Bernoulli numbers. With the generating function we verify that  $B_0 = 1, B_1 = -1/2, B_{2n+1} = 0$  if  $n \geq 1, B_n(1-x) = (-1)^n B_n(x)$ .

$$3) \mu(f) = \frac{1}{2}(f(0) + f(1)), P_n(x) = \frac{E_n(x)}{n!}$$

$$\sum_n \frac{E_n(x)}{n!} z^n = \frac{2e^{xz}}{e^z + 1}$$

The  $E_n(x)$  are the Euler polynomials and we call  $E_n = E_n(0)$  the Euler numbers.

With the generating function we verify that  $E_0 = 1, E_1 = -1/2$  if  $n \geq 1, E_n(1-x) = (-1)^n E_n(x)$ .

### Taylor formula

Let  $f$  be a  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  function, then we have

$$f(x) = f(y) + \int_y^x \partial P_1(x+y-t) \partial f(t) dt$$

and by integration by parts we get for every  $m \geq 1$

$$f(x) = f(y) + \sum_{k=1}^m (P_k(x) \partial^k f(y) - P_k(y) \partial^k f(x)) + \int_y^x P_m(x+y-t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt$$

Applying  $\mu$  at this function as a function of  $y$  this gives a **general Taylor formula**: for every  $m \geq 0$

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \mu_y(\partial^k f(y)) P_k(x) + \mu_y \left( \int_y^x P_m(x+y-t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \right)$$

### 7.1.2 Euler-MacLaurin formula

We can transform the Taylor formula to get a summation formula. Taking  $x = 0$  we get

$$f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^m \mu_y(\partial^k f(y)) P_k(0) - \mu_y \left( \int_0^y P_m(y-t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \right)$$

In the case of  $\mu : f \mapsto \int_0^1 f(t) dt$  we have

$$f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{B_k}{k!} \partial^{k-1} f|_0^1 - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^y \frac{B_m(y-t)}{m!} \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \right) dy$$

Replacing  $m$  by  $2m$  and with  $B_1 = -1/2$  and  $B_{2k+1} = 0$ , we get

$$f(0) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2}(f(0) - f(1)) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f|_0^1 - \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^y \frac{B_{2m}(y-t)}{(2m)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(t) dt \right) dy$$

The last integral can easily be evaluated by Fubini, we obtain

$$f(0) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2}(f(0) - f(1)) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f|_0^1 + \int_0^1 \frac{B_{2m+1}(t)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(t) dt \quad (7.1)$$

Let  $j$  be a positive integer, by replacing  $f$  by  $x \mapsto f(j+x)$  in the last formula we obtain

$$f(j) = \int_j^{j+1} f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2}(f(j) - f(j+1)) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \partial^{2k-1} f|_j^{j+1} + \int_j^{j+1} \frac{b_{2m+1}(t)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(t) dt$$

where  $b_{2m+1}(t) = B_{2m+1}(t - [t])$ .

Summing these relations on  $j$  from 1 to  $n - 1$ , we get for  $f \in C^\infty(]0, \infty[)$  the **Euler-MacLaurin formula**

$$f(1) + \dots + f(n) = \int_1^n f(x)dx + \frac{f(1) + f(n)}{2} \quad (7.2)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} [\partial^{2k-1} f]_1^n \quad (7.3)$$

$$+ \int_1^n \frac{b_{2m+1}(x)}{(2m+1)!} \partial^{2m+1} f(x)dx \quad (7.4)$$

### 7.1.3 Euler-Boole formula

In the case of the Euler polynomials, the formula

$$f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^m \mu_y(\partial^k f(y)) P_k(0) - \mu_y \left( \int_0^y P_m(y-t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \right)$$

gives

$$f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{2} (\partial^k f(0) + \partial^k f(1)) \frac{E_k}{k!} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(-1)^m E_m(t)}{m!} \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt$$

Let  $j$  be a positive integer, by replacing  $f$  by  $x \mapsto f(j+x)$  in the last formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(j) &= \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{2} (\partial^k f(j) + \partial^k f(j+1)) \frac{E_k}{k!} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_j^{j+1} \frac{(-1)^m E_m}{m!} (t-j) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$e_m(t) = (-1)^{[t]} (-1)^m E_m(t - [t])$$

we obtain by summation on  $j$ , the **Euler-Boole summation formula**

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) - f(2) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} f(n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(1) \frac{E_k}{k!} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^m \partial^k f(n+1) \frac{E_k}{k!} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{m!} e_m(t) \partial^{m+1} f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$



## Chapter 8

# The chapter VI of the second Ramanujan Notebook

In the chapter VI of his second notebook Ramanujan gives the definition of the constant of a series. We give here an exact copy of this chapter.

p.59

CHAPTER VI

Let  $f(1) + f(2) + f(3) + f(4) + \dots + f(x) = \phi(x)$ , then

$$\phi(x) = c + \int f(x)dx + \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{B_2}{12}f'(x) - \frac{B_4}{48}f'''(x) + \frac{B_6}{168}f^{(5)}(x) + \frac{B_8}{1680}f^{(7)}(x) + \dots$$

sol.  $\phi(x) - \phi(x-1) = f(x)$  ; apply V 1. (\*)

N.B. By giving any value to  $x$ ,  $c$  can be found.

R.S. is not a terminating series except in some special cases. Consequently no constant can be

found in  $\frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{B_2}{12}f'(x) - \frac{B_4}{48}f'''(x) + \dots$  except

in those special cases. If R.S. be a terminating series, it must be some integral function of  $x$ . In this case there is no possibility of a constant (according to the ordinary sense) in  $\phi(x)$  ; for

$\phi(1) = f(1) + \phi(0)$  : But  $\phi(1) = f(1) \therefore \phi(0)$  is always 0

whether  $\phi(x)$  is rational or irrational.  $\therefore$  When  $\phi(x)$  is a rational integral function of  $(x)$  it must be divisible and hence no constant but 0 can exist. The algebraic constant of a series is the constant obtained by completing the remaining part in the above theorem. We can substitute this constant which is like the centre of gravity of a body instead of its divergent infinite series.

(\*) V 1. If  $f(x+h) - f(x) = hf'(x)$ , then  
 $f(x) = \phi(x) - \frac{h}{2}\phi'(x) + \frac{B_2}{12}h^2\phi''(x) - \frac{B_4}{48}h^4\phi^{(4)}(x) + \dots$

If  $f(x+h) + f(x) = h\phi'(x)$ , then  
 $f(x) = \frac{h}{2}\phi'(x) - (2^2-1)\frac{B_2}{12}h^2\phi''(x) + (2^4-1)\frac{B_4}{48}h^4\phi^{(4)}(x) - \dots$

Sol. If we write  $e^x$  for  $\phi(x)$ , we see that the coefficients in R.S. are the same as those in the expansion of  $\frac{h}{e^h-1}$  and  $\frac{h}{e^h+1}$  respectively.

p.60

*E.G. The constant of the series  $1 + 1 + 1 + \dots = -\frac{1}{2}$ ; for the sum to  $x$  terms  $= x = c + \int 1 dx + \frac{1}{2} \therefore c = -\frac{1}{2}$*

*We may also find the constant thus*

$$c = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + \dots$$

$$\therefore 4c = 4 + 8 + \dots$$

$$\therefore -3c = 1 - 2 + 3 - 4 + \dots = \frac{1}{(1+1)^2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\therefore c = -\frac{1}{12}$$

$$2. \phi(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} f^{n-1}(x) \cos \frac{\pi n}{2} = 0$$

*Sol. Let  $\frac{B_n}{n!} \psi(n)$  be the coeff<sup>t</sup>. of  $f^{n-1}(x)$  then we*

*see  $\psi(0) = 1, \psi(2) = -1, \psi(4) = 1, \psi(6) = -1$  &c  
 $\psi(3) = 0, \psi(5) = 0, \psi(7) = 0, \frac{B_1}{1!} \psi(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ ; but  $B_1 = \infty$*

*$\therefore \psi(1) = 0$ . Again by V 26 cor 2. (\*) we have*

$$\pi(n-1)B_n = 1 \text{ when } n = 1 \therefore \frac{B_n \psi(n)}{n!} = \frac{\pi(n-1)B_n}{n!} \cdot \frac{\psi(n)}{\pi(n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \text{ when } n = 1, \text{ i.e. } \frac{\psi(n)}{\pi(n-1)} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ when } n = 1 \therefore$$

$$\therefore \psi(n) = -\cos \frac{\pi n}{2}.$$

*3. The sum to a negative number of terms is the sum with the sign changed, calculated backwards from the term previous to the first to the given number of terms with positive sign instead of negative.*

$$\text{Sol. } \phi(x) = f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(n+x) \\ -f(1+x) - f(2+x) - \dots - f(n+x)$$

(\*) V 26 cor 2.  $\pi n B_{n+1} = 1$  when  $n = 0$

Sol.  $n S_{n+1} = \frac{(2\pi)^n}{n+1} \pi n B_{n+1} = 1$  when  $n = 0$

i.e.  $\pi n B_{n+1} = 1$  when  $n$  approaches 0.

p.61

change  $x$  to  $-x$  and put  $n = x$ , then we have

$$\phi(-x) = \phi(0) - \{f(0) + f(-1) + f(-2) + \dots + f(-x + 1)\};$$

but  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

E.G.  $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots$  to  $-5$  terms

$$= -(0 - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4) = \underline{10}$$

4.i. For finding the sum to a fractional number of terms assume the sum to be true always and if there is any difficulty in finding  $\phi(x)$ , take  $n$  any integer you choose, find  $\phi(n + x)$  and then subtract  $\{f(1 + x) + f(2 + x) + f(3 + x) + \dots + f(n + x)\}$  from the result.

ii.  $\phi(h) = \phi(n) - \{f(1 + h) + f(2 + h) + \dots + f(n + h)\} + hf(n) + \frac{\Sigma h}{1} f'(n) + \frac{\Sigma h^2}{2} f''(n) + \dots$  where  $n$  is any integer or infinity.

E.G.1.  $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$   
 $= (1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}) - (\frac{1}{1+h} + \frac{1}{2+h} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+h})$  when  $n = \infty$   
 $= c_0 + \log_e n - (\frac{1}{1+h} + \frac{1}{2+h} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+h})$  when  $n = \infty$   
 where  $c_0$  is the constant of  $\Sigma \frac{1}{n}$

2.  $\lfloor h = \frac{n^h}{(1+\frac{h}{1})(1+\frac{h}{2})\dots(1+\frac{h}{n})}$  when  $n = \infty$ .

$$\text{sol. } \lfloor h = \frac{\lfloor n+h}{\lfloor n} \cdot \frac{\lfloor n \lfloor h}{\lfloor n+h} = \frac{n^h(1+\frac{1}{n})(1+\frac{2}{n})\dots(1+\frac{h}{n})}{(1+\frac{h}{1})(1+\frac{h}{2})\dots(1+\frac{h}{n})}$$

$$\therefore \lfloor h \div (1 + \frac{1}{n})(1 + \frac{2}{n})\dots(1 + \frac{h}{n}) = \frac{n^h}{(1+\frac{h}{1})(1+\frac{h}{2})\dots(1+\frac{h}{n})}$$

p.62

$$\text{iii. } \phi(h) = xf(1) - x^{1+h}f(1+h) + x^2f(2) - x^2f(2+h) + \&c$$

5. *Def.* A series is said to be corrected when its constant is subtracted from it.

The differential coeff<sup>t</sup>. of a series is a corrected series.

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{d\{\phi(1)+\phi(2)+\dots+\phi(x)\}}{dx} = \phi'(1) + \phi'(2) + \dots \\ + \phi'(x) - c' \text{ where } c' \text{ is the constant of } \phi'(1) + \phi'(2) \\ + \phi'(3) + \dots + \phi'(x).$$

*Sol.* In the diff<sup>l</sup>. coeff<sup>t</sup>. of  $\phi(1) + \phi(2) + \dots + \phi(x)$  there can't be any constant. Therefore it should be corrected.

*N.B.* If  $f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(x)$  be a convergent series then its constant is the sum of the series

$$\text{E.G.1. } \frac{d(1+\frac{1}{2}+\dots+\frac{1}{x})}{dx} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(x+2)^2} + \frac{1}{(x+3)^2} + \&c$$

$$\text{Sol. } \frac{d\Sigma\frac{1}{x}}{dx} = -\frac{1}{1^2} - \frac{1}{2^2} - \dots - \frac{1}{x^2} - c \\ = \frac{1}{(x+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(x+2)^2} + \&c$$

2. If  $c_0$  be the constant of  $\Sigma\frac{1}{x}$ , then

$$\frac{d\lfloor x}{dx} = \lfloor x(\Sigma\frac{1}{x} - c_0)$$

$$\text{Sol. } \frac{d\lfloor x}{dx} = \lfloor x \frac{d\log_e \lfloor x}{dx} = \lfloor x(\Sigma\frac{1}{x} - c_0)$$



p.63.

$$3. \int_0^x \Sigma \frac{1}{x} dx = \log_e [x + xc_0].$$

$$4. \int_0^x (1^{13} + 2^{13} + \dots + x^{13}) dx = \frac{1}{14}(1^{14} + 2^{14} + \dots + x^{14}) - \frac{x}{12}.$$

$$5. \frac{d(1^{10} + 2^{10} + \dots + x^{10})}{dx} = 10(1^9 + 2^9 + \dots + x^9) + \frac{10}{132}.$$

$$6. \int_0^x (\sqrt{1} + \sqrt{2} + \dots + \sqrt{x}) dx = \frac{2}{3}(1\sqrt{1} + 2\sqrt{2} + \dots + x\sqrt{x}) - \frac{x}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{1\sqrt{1}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + \&c \right).$$

6. If  $f^n(x)$  stands for the  $n$  th derivative of  $f(x)$  and  $c_n$  be the constant of  $\{f^n(1) + f^n(2) + \dots + f^n(x)\}$  then  $\phi(x) = -c_1x - c_2\frac{x^2}{2} - c_3\frac{x^3}{3} - c_4\frac{x^4}{4} - \&c$

$$\text{Sol. } \phi(x) = \phi(0) + \frac{x}{1}\phi'(0) + \frac{x^2}{2}\phi''(0) + \&c$$

But from VI 5 we have  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi'(0) = -c_1$ ,  $\phi''(0) = -c_2$  &c

E.g. 1.  $\log_e [x = -S_1x + \frac{S_2}{2}x^2 - \frac{S_3}{3}x^3 + \&c$  where  $S_n$  is the constant of  $(\frac{1}{1^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{3^n} + \&c)$ .

2.  $\Sigma \frac{1}{x} = S_2x - S_3x^2 + S_4x^3 - \&c$  where  $S_n = \frac{1}{1^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} + \&c$

N.B. This is very useful in finding  $\phi(x)$  for fractional values of  $x$ .

7. If  $c'_n$  be the constant of  $f(\frac{1}{n}) + f(\frac{2}{n}) + f(\frac{3}{n}) + \dots + f(\frac{x}{n})$ , then

p. 64

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{x-1}{n}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{x-2}{n}\right) + \dots + \phi\left(\frac{x-n+1}{n}\right) - nc \\ &= f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) + \dots + f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - c'_n \end{aligned}$$

*Sol.* Let  $\psi(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{x-1}{n}\right) + \dots + \phi\left(\frac{x-n+1}{n}\right)$  then  
 $\psi(x) - \psi(x-1) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{x-n}{n}\right) = f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$   
 $\therefore \psi(x)$  &  $f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{x-1}{n}\right) + \dots + f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$  differ only by  
some constant; hence if these be corrected  
they must be equal.  $\psi(x)$  contains  $n$  terms each  
each of which is of the form  $\phi(y)$  whose constant  
is  $c$ . The constant of  $\psi(x)$  is  $nc$  & the con-  
stant of  $f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) + \dots + f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$  is  $c'_n$  by our  
supposition.

*Cor.1.*  $\phi\left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) + \phi\left(-\frac{2}{n}\right) + \dots + \phi\left(-\frac{n-1}{n}\right) = nc - c'_n$

*Sol.* Put  $x = 0$  in the above theorem.

2.i.  $\phi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = 2c - c'_2$ .

ii.  $c = c_0 = c'_1$ .

iii.  $\phi\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) + \phi\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right) = 3c - c'_3$

iv.  $\phi\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) + \phi\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right) = 2c + c'_2 - c'_4$ .

v.  $\phi\left(-\frac{1}{6}\right) + \phi\left(-\frac{5}{6}\right) = c + c'_2 + c'_3 - c'_4$ .

8.  $\phi\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) = c + \int f(x)dx - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{B_2}{[2]}f'(x) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^3}\right)\frac{B_4}{[4]}f'''(x)$

$-\&c = \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)\frac{B_n}{[n]}f^{n-1}(x) \cos \frac{\pi n}{2} \right\}$

p.65

*Sol. Put  $n = 2$ , change  $x$  to  $2x$  and apply VI 1.*

*9.i.  $S(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \&c)$  means that the series is a convergent series and its sum to infinity is required*

*ii.  $C(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \&c)$  means that the series is a divergent series and its constant is req<sup>d</sup>.*

*iii.  $G(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \&c)$  means that the series is oscillating or divergent and the value of its generating function is required.*

*N.B. Hereafter the series will only be given omitting  $S, C$  or  $G$  and from the nature of the series we should infer whether  $C, S$  or  $G$  is req<sup>d</sup>; moreover if a series appear to be equal to a finite quantity we must select  $S, C$  or  $G$  from the nature of the series.*

*10.i. The value of an oscillating series is only true when the series is deduced from a regular series.*

*For example the series  $1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \&c = \frac{1}{2}$  only when it is deduced from a regular series of*

*the form  $\phi(1) - \phi(2) + \phi(3) - \&c$ . Again if*

*we take an irregular series  $a^r - b^r + c^r - d^r$*

*+ $\&c$  we get the same series  $1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \&c$  when  $r$  becomes 0 ; yet its value is not  $\frac{1}{2}$  in this case*

*ii.  $a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + \&c$  is not equal to the series  $(a_1 - a_2) + (a_3 - a_4) + (a_5 - a_6) + \&c$  or to the series*

p.66

$a_1 - (a_2 - a_3) - (a_4 - a_5) - (a_6 - a_7) - \&c$  ; but to the series  $a_1 - (a_2 - a_3 + a_4 - \&c)$

e.g.  $1 - 2 + 3 - 4 + \&c$  is not equal to  $(1 - 2) + (3 - 4) + (5 - 6) + \&c$  or to  $1 - (2 - 3) - (4 - 5) - \&c$

$$\text{iii. } (a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - \&c) \pm (b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - \&c) \\ = (a_1 \pm b_1) - (a_2 \pm b_2) + (a_3 \pm b_3) - \&c$$

$$\text{Ex.i. shew that } (a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - \&c) + (b_1 - b_2 + \&c) \\ = a_1 + (b_1 - a_2) - (b_2 - a_3) + (b_3 - a_4) - \&c$$

$$\text{Sol. } L.S = a_1 + (b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - \&c) - (a_2 - a_3 + \&c) \\ = a_1 + (b_1 - a_2) - (b_2 - a_3) + \&c$$

$$2. a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + \&c = \frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\{(a_1 - a_2) - (a_2 - a_3) + \&c\}$$

$$3. = \frac{3a_1 - a_2}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\{(a_1 - 2a_2 + a_3) - (a_2 - 2a_3 + a_4) + \&c\}$$

$$4. = \frac{7a_1 - 4a_2 + a_3}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\{(a_1 - 3a_2 + 3a_3 - a_4) - (a_2 - 3a_3 + 3a_4 - a_5) + (a_3 - 3a_4 + 3a_5 - a_6) - \&c\}$$

$$\text{ii. } a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + \&c \\ = \frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{a_1 - a_2}{4} + \frac{a_1 - 2a_2 + a_3}{8} + \&c \\ = xa_1 - x^2a_2 + x^3a_3 - x^4a_4 + \&c \\ = x\frac{a_1}{2} - x^2\frac{a_1 - a_2}{4} + x^3\frac{a_1 - 2a_2 + a_3}{8} + \&c$$

when  $x$  approaches unity.

p.67

12. If  $\frac{a_2}{a_3}$  lies between  $\frac{a_1}{a_2}$  &  $\frac{a_3}{a_4}$ , then

$a_1 - a_2 + a_3 - a_4 + \&c$  lies between  $\frac{a_1^2}{a_1+a_2}$  &  $a_1 - \frac{a_2^2}{a_2+a_3}$

e.g.  $1 - 2 + 3 - 4 + \&c$  lies between  $\frac{1}{3}$  &  $\frac{1}{5}$  and its value is  $\frac{1}{4}$ .  $[0 - [1 + [2 - [3 + \&c$  lies between  $\frac{1}{2}$  &  $\frac{2}{3}$ ; its value is  $\frac{3}{5}$  very nearly.

But  $2 - 2\frac{1}{2} + 3\frac{1}{3} - 4\frac{1}{4} + 5\frac{1}{5} - \&c$  cannot lie

between  $\frac{2^2}{2+2\frac{1}{2}}$  &  $2 - \frac{(2\frac{1}{2})^2}{2\frac{1}{2}+3\frac{1}{3}}$  as  $\frac{2\frac{1}{2}}{3\frac{1}{3}}$  is not

lying between  $\frac{2}{2\frac{1}{2}}$  &  $\frac{3\frac{1}{3}}{4\frac{1}{4}}$ . i.e it cannot

lie between .889 & .929 as its value is 1.193

13.  $\phi_1(x) + \phi_2(x) + \phi_3(x) + \&c$  can be expanded in ascending powers of  $x$ , say  $A_0 + A_1x + A_2x^2 + \&c$  where each of  $A_1, A_2, \&c$  is a series.

Case I When  $A_n$  is a convergent series

(1) If  $A_0 + A_1x + A_2x^2 + \&c$  be a rapidly convergent series what is required is got.

(2) But if it is a slowly convergent or an oscillating series, convergent or divergent (at least for some values of  $x$ )

(a). Change  $x$  into a suitable function of  $y$  so that the new series in ascending powers

p.68

of  $y$  may be a rapidly convergent series;

e.g. let  $\frac{x}{1+\frac{x}{2}} = y$ , then  $x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{4} + \&c$

$$= y - \frac{y^3}{12} + \frac{y^5}{80} - \frac{y^7}{448} + \&c$$

(b) or convert it into a continued fraction

$$\text{e.g. } x - \frac{x^2}{3} + \frac{2}{15}x^3 - \frac{17}{315}x^4 + \&c = \frac{x}{1 + \frac{x}{3 + \frac{x}{5 + \&c}}}$$

$$\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{2}{x^3} - \frac{3}{x^4} + \&c = \frac{x}{x+1 - \frac{1^2}{x+3 - \frac{2^2}{x+5 - \&c}}}$$

(c) or transform it into another series by ap-

plying III 8; e.g.  $\frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{x^2} + \frac{5}{x^3} - \frac{15}{x^4} + \&c$

$$= \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)} + \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)(x+3)} - \&c$$

(d) or take the reciprocal of the series and try to make it a rapidly convergent series in anyway

Case II When  $A_n$  is an oscillating (convergent or divergent) or a pure divergent series

(1) Let  $C_n$  be the constant or the value of its generating function. Then the given series

$= \Psi(x) + c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3 + \&c$  where  $\Psi(x)$  can be found in special cases.

(2) But if  $c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \&c$  be a divergent series find some function of  $n$  (say  $P_n$ ) such that the value of  $P_0 + P_1x + P_2x^2 + \&c$  may be easily

p.69

found and  $c_n - P_n$  may be rapidly diminish as  $n$  increases. Then the given series =

$$F(x) + (c_0 - P_0) + (c_1 - P_1)x + (c_2 - P_2)x^2 + \&c$$

$$\text{e.g. 1. } \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{x+2} + \frac{1}{x+3} - \&c = \frac{1}{x}(1 - 1 + 1 - \&c) \\ - \frac{1}{x^2}(1 - 2 + 3 - \&c) = \frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{4x^2} + \&c$$

$$2. \frac{1}{1^2-x^2} + \frac{1}{2^2-x^2} + \frac{1}{3^2-x^2} + \&c = -\frac{1}{x^2}(1 + 1 + 1 + \&c) \\ - \frac{1}{x^4}(1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + \&c) - \frac{1}{x^4}(1^4 + 2^4 + 3^4 + \&c) = \Psi(x) \\ + \frac{1}{2x^2} = \frac{1}{2x^2} - \frac{\pi \cotg(\pi x)}{2x}$$

$$3. \frac{1}{1^x} + \frac{1}{2^x} + \frac{1}{3^x} + \&c = (1 + 1 + 1 + \&c) \\ -x(\log 1 + \log 2 + \&c) = -\frac{1}{2} - x \log \sqrt{2\pi} - \&c \\ = \frac{1}{x-1} + 1 + x + x^2 + \&c - \frac{1}{2} - x \log \sqrt{2\pi} - \&c \\ = \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{2} + (1 - .91894)x - \&c \\ = \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{2} + .8106x - \&c$$

$$14.i \frac{x}{e^x+1} + \frac{x}{e^{2x}+1} + \frac{x}{e^{3x}+1} + \&c \\ = \log 2 - \frac{x}{4} + (B_2)^2 \frac{x^2(2^2-1)}{2|2} + (B_4)^2 \frac{x^4(2^4-1)}{4|4} + \\ (B_6)^2 \frac{x^6(2^6-1)}{6|6} + \&c$$

$$\text{Sol. } \frac{x}{e^x+1} + \frac{x}{e^{2x}+1} + \frac{x}{e^{3x}+1} + \frac{x}{e^{4x}+1} + \&c \\ = \frac{x}{2}(1 + 1 + 1 + \&c) - B_2 \frac{x^2(2^2-1)}{|2} (1 + 2 + 3 + \&c) \\ + B_4 \frac{x^4(2^4-1)}{|4} (1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \&c) - \&c.$$

p.70

$$= \Psi(x) - \frac{x}{4} + (B_2)^2 \frac{x^2(2^2-1)}{2|2} + (B_4)^2 \frac{x^4(2^4-1)}{4|4} + \&c$$

Now it is req<sup>d</sup> to find  $\Psi(x)$

The given series =  $\frac{x}{e^x-1} - \frac{x}{e^{2x}-1} + \frac{x}{e^{3x}-1} - \&c$   
 = log2+ terms involving  $x$  & higher powers  
 of  $x$ .  $\therefore \Psi(x) = \log_e 2$ .

$$\text{ii. } \frac{x}{e^x-1} + \frac{x}{e^{2x}-1} + \frac{x}{e^{3x}-1} + \frac{x}{e^{4x}-1} + \&c$$

$$= C - \log_e x + \frac{x}{4} - (B_2)^2 \frac{x^2}{2|2} - B_4^2 \frac{x^4}{4|4} - B_6^2 \frac{x^6}{6|6} - \&c$$

*Sol. Proceedind as in the previous theorem*

we have the series =  $\Psi(x) + C + \frac{x}{4}$

$$- B_2^2 \frac{x^2}{2|2} - B_4^2 \frac{x^4}{4|4} - \&c$$

But we know  $\frac{x}{e^x+1} + \frac{x}{e^{2x+1}} + \frac{x}{e^{3x+1}} + \&c$

$$= \left( \frac{x}{e^x-1} + \frac{x}{e^{2x}-1} + \&c \right) - \left( \frac{2x}{e^{2x}-1} + \frac{2x}{e^{4x}-1} + \&c \right)$$

$\therefore \Psi(x) - \Psi(2x) = \log 2$  ; hence  $\Psi(x) = -\log_e x$  .

*Ex.1.shew that the constant in the series*

$$\sqrt[100]{1} + \sqrt[100]{2} + \sqrt[100]{3} + \sqrt[100]{4} + \dots + \sqrt[100]{x}$$

is  $-.4969100$

$$2. \frac{1}{2+1} + \frac{1}{2^2+1} + \frac{1}{2^3+1} + \&c = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\log_e 2}{48} \text{ nearly}$$

$$3. \frac{1}{1+\frac{10}{9}} + \frac{1}{1+(\frac{10}{9})^2} + \frac{1}{1+(\frac{10}{9})^3} + \&c = 6.331009 .$$



p.71

$$4. \frac{1}{\frac{10}{9}-1} + \frac{1}{(\frac{10}{9})^2-1} + \frac{1}{(\frac{10}{9})^3-1} + \&c = 27 \text{ nearly}$$

$$15. \text{ i. } \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x^2-1} + \frac{1}{x^3-1} + \&c$$

$$= \frac{1}{x} \frac{x+1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x^4} \frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} + \frac{1}{x^9} \frac{x^3+1}{x^3-1} + \&c$$

$$\text{ii. } \frac{1}{x-1} - \frac{1}{x^2-1} + \frac{1}{x^3-1} - \frac{1}{x^4-1} + \&c$$

$$= \frac{1}{x} \frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} - \frac{1}{x^4} \frac{x^4+1}{x^4-1} + \frac{1}{x^9} \frac{x^6+1}{x^6-1} - \&c$$

$$\text{sol. } \frac{1}{x-1} = \frac{1}{x-1}$$

$$\pm \frac{1}{x^2-1} = \pm \left\{ \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x^2(x^2-1)} \right\}$$

$$\frac{1}{x^3-1} = \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^6} + \frac{1}{x^6(x^3-1)}$$

$$\pm \frac{1}{x^4-1} = \pm \left\{ \frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{1}{x^8} + \frac{1}{x^{12}} + \frac{1}{x^{12}(x^4-1)} \right\}$$

&amp;c&amp;c&amp;c

Adding up all the terms we can get the results.

$$16. \frac{r}{1-ax} + \frac{r^2}{1-ax^2} + \frac{r^3}{1-ax^3} + \&c \text{ to } n \text{ terms}$$

$$= \frac{arx}{1-ax} + \frac{(arx^2)^2}{1-ax^2} + \frac{(arx^3)^3}{1-ax^3} + \&c \text{ to } n \text{ terms}$$

$$+ \frac{r-r^{n+1}}{1-r} + a \frac{(rx)^2 - (rx)^{n+1}}{1-rx} + a^2 \frac{(rx^2)^3 - (rx^2)^{n+1}}{1-rx^2} + \&c$$

to  $n$  terms.

$$\text{sol. } \frac{r}{1-ax} = \frac{arx}{1-ax} + r.$$

$$\frac{r^2}{1-ax^2} = \frac{(arx^2)^2}{1-ax^2} + r^2 + ar^2x^2.$$

p.72

$$\frac{r^3}{1-ax^3} = \frac{(arx^3)^3}{1-ax^3} + r^3 + ar^3x^3 + a^2r^3x^6.$$

&c&c&c

Adding up all the terms in the  $n$  rows we can get the results.

Cor.  $\frac{r}{1-ax} + \frac{r^2}{1-ax^2} + \frac{r^3}{1-ax^3} + \&c$

$$= \frac{arx}{1-ax} + \frac{(arx^2)^2}{1-ax^2} + \frac{(arx^3)^3}{1-ax^3} + \&c$$

$$+ \frac{r}{1-r} + \frac{a(rx)^2}{1-rx} + \frac{a^2(rx^2)^3}{1-rx^2} + \frac{a^3(rx^3)^4}{1-rx^3} + \&c$$

17.  $\frac{a}{1-m} + \frac{(a+h)n}{1-mx} + \frac{(a+2h)n^2}{1-mx^2} + \frac{(a+3h)n^3}{1-mx^3} + \&c$

$$= .a \cdot \frac{1-mn}{(1-m)(1-n)} + (a+b) \frac{1-mnx^2}{(1-mx)(1-nx)} (mnx)$$

$$+ (a+2b) \frac{1-mnx^4}{(1-mx^2)(1-nx^2)} (mnx^2)^2 + (a+3b) \frac{1-mnx^6}{(1-mx^3)(1-nx^3)}$$

$$+ \&c + \frac{b}{m} \left\{ \frac{mx}{(1-x)^2} + \frac{(mnx)^2}{(1-nx)^2} + \frac{(mnx^2)^3}{(1-nx^2)^2} + \&c \right\}$$

Cor 1.  $\frac{a}{1-n} + \frac{(a+b)n}{1-nx} + \frac{(a+2b)n^2}{1-nx^2} + \&c$

$$= a \cdot \frac{1+n}{1-n} + (a+b) \frac{1+nx}{1-nx} (n^2x) + (a+2b) \frac{1+nx^2}{1-nx^2} (n^2x^2)^2$$

$$+ b \left\{ \frac{x}{(1-n)^2} + \frac{n^3x^2}{(1-nx)^2} + \frac{n^5x^6}{(1-nx^2)^2} + \frac{n^7x^{12}}{(1-nx^3)^2} + \&c \right\}$$

2. If  $A_n$  denotes the no. of factors in  $n$  including 1 &  $n$  then  $\frac{A_1}{x} + \frac{A_2}{x^2} + \frac{A_3}{x^3} + \&c = \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x^2-1} + \&c$   
and hence deduce VI 15 i